

ADDENDUM NO. 1

Request for Proposals No. SS4A-2026-01 Comprehensive Safety Action Plan

DATE: June 26, 2026
TO: All Prospective Proposers
FROM: Larry Wallace, Grants Manager, Spalding County
RE: Pre-Proposal Conference Questions and Responses

An optional pre-proposal conference for RFP No. SS4A-2026-01 was held virtually on June 25, 2026. This addendum documents the questions raised during the conference and provides official written responses. As stated in Section II.C of the RFP, oral responses provided during the conference are not binding; only written responses issued by addendum constitute official clarification.

All other terms, conditions, and requirements of RFP No. SS4A-2026-01 remains unchanged. Proposers are reminded that all addenda must be signed, dated, and included in the proposal package. Failure to acknowledge this addendum may result in a non-responsive determination.

PRE-PROPOSAL CONFERENCE ATTENDEES

The following individuals attended the optional pre-proposal conference held on June 25, 2026:

Name	Firm
Parris Orr	Foresite Group
Byron Rushing	Stantec
Alex Deus	Stantec
Erin Schilling	Neel-Schaffer, Inc.
Ellen Soll	Neel-Schaffer, Inc.

QUESTIONS AND RESPONSES

QUESTION 1: Will the pre-proposal conference slides be posted on the Georgia Procurement Registry?

ANSWER: Yes. The presentation slides used during the pre-proposal conference have been posted on the Georgia Procurement Registry website. Prospective proposers may access them at <https://ssl.doas.state.ga.us/gpr/index>.

QUESTION 2: Will Spalding County be collaborating with the Atlanta Regional Commission (ARC) on this project?

ANSWER: Yes. Spalding County has an existing working relationship with the Atlanta Regional Commission (ARC). ARC previously received a Safe Streets and Roads for All (SS4A) planning grant

and has partnered with the County on school safety initiatives. ARC will be a project partner, and proposers are encouraged to incorporate ARC regional safety data into their technical approach where applicable.

QUESTION 3: Will a list of pre-proposal conference attendees be distributed?

ANSWER: Yes. A list of registered conference attendees will be made available. Note that attendee names will be provided; firms will not have identified their Disadvantaged Business Enterprise (DBE) status through the conference registration process. DBE participation commitments must be addressed within each firm's proposal.

QUESTION 4: If short-listed firms are asked to present, will those interviews be conducted in person or virtually?

ANSWER: Spalding County will be flexible regarding the format of short-list interviews. Both in-person and virtual presentations are acceptable. The County will work with each firm to accommodate its circumstances. Firms should be prepared for either format.

QUESTION 5: The RFP does not explicitly call for conceptual or preliminary design work (e.g., 30% plans) for prioritized countermeasures. Would including such elements strengthen a proposal?

ANSWER: The RFP scope does not require conceptual or preliminary engineering design as a deliverable. However, proposers that have successfully advanced prior safety action plan recommendations into funded implementation projects — whether through additional SS4A Implementation grants or other sources — are encouraged to highlight those outcomes. Demonstrating a track record of translating plan recommendations into funded, constructed improvements is viewed favorably by the evaluation committee.

QUESTION 6: The RFP references a 5% Disadvantaged Business Enterprise (DBE) participation benchmark. How is DBE status verified, and how should firms identify DBE subcontractors?

ANSWER: DBE participation commitments must be addressed within the proposal. Firms are responsible for identifying and confirming DBE status for any proposed subcontractors using the applicable state and federal certification resources. The attendee list from the pre-proposal conference will not include DBE designations.

QUESTION 7: The RFP references an ADA accessibility assessment component drawn from Athens-Clarke County's experience. Is a standalone ADA Transition Plan a required deliverable, or is it aspirational?

ANSWER: An ADA accessibility assessment is not a required standalone deliverable within this scope. It is cited as a desirable component that Athens-Clarke County was able to incorporate into its plan with additional funding. Proposers are encouraged to speak to their experience with ADA accessibility gap analysis and to describe, in their technical approach, how accessibility considerations would be integrated into the crash data analysis and infrastructure gap assessment (Task 2). Any prior work involving ADA transition planning or accessibility audits of pedestrian infrastructure should be highlighted in the firm's qualifications.

ADDENDUM ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Proposers must sign and date this acknowledgment and include it in their proposal package.

Firm Name: _____	Date: _____
Authorized Signature: _____	Printed Name & Title: _____

Questions regarding this addendum may be directed to Larry Wallace at lwallace@spaldingcounty.com.