AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT

1. CONTRACT ID CODE	PAGE	OF	PAGES
J	1		19

2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NUMBER	3. EFFECTIVE DATE	4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQUISITION NUMBER	5. PROJECT NUMBER *(If applicable)*
0001	06 Jan 2026	See Continuation Sheet	WON 1363603

6. ISSUED BY CODE N40085

NAVFACSYSCOM MID-ATLANTIC
9324 VIRGINIA AVENUE, NORFOLK VA 23511-0395
NORFOLK, VA 23511-0395
UNITED STATES
AMBER STOVALL, Email: amber.k.stovall.civ@us.navy.mil Telephone: 7573410146

7. ADMINISTERED BY *(If other than Item 6)* CODE

SCD: PAS:

8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR *(Number, street, county, State and ZIP Code)*

CODE FACILITY CODE

(X)

☒ 9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NUMBER N4008526R0148

9B. DATED *(SEE ITEM 11)* 06 Jan 2026

☐ 10A. MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT/ORDER NUMBER

10B. DATED *(SEE ITEM 13)*

11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS

☒ The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offers ☐ is extended. ☒ is not extended.

Offers must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended, by one of the following methods: (a) By completing items 8 and 15, and returning 1 copies of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or electronic communication which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by letter or electronic communication, provided each letter or electronic communication makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.

12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA *(If required)*

See Section 00 70 00 - Conditions of the Contract

13. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS. IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NUMBER AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.

CHECK ONE	
☐	A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: *(Specify authority)* THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NUMBER IN ITEM 10A.
☐	B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES *(such as changes in paying office, appropriation data, etc.)* SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(b).
☐	C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:
☐	D. OTHER *(Specify type of modification and authority)*

E. IMPORTANT: Contractor ☐ is not ☐ is required to sign this document and return _____ copies to the issuing office.

14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (*Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.*)

See Schedule

Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.

15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER *(Type or print)*		16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER *(Type or print)*	
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR *(Signature of person authorized to sign)*	15C. DATE SIGNED	16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA *(Signature of Contracting Officer)*	16C. DATE SIGNED

Previous edition unusable

STANDARD FORM 30 (REV. 11/2016)
Prescribed by GSA FAR (48 CFR) 53.243

Created On:
06 Jan 2026, 20:11 PM Greenwich Mean Time

SECTION SF 30 BLOCK 14 CONTINUATION PAGE

SUMMARY OF CHANGES

Block 14 (Description of Amendment/Modification): N40085-26-R-0148 DESIGN-BID-BUILD, P-475 AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE HANGAR, MCAS BEAUFORT, SOUTH CAROLINA

The proposal due date of 05 February 2026 NLT 2:00 PM EST remains unchanged.

The Amendment is issued to incorporate the following:

1. Provide revised Wage Determination, General Decision Number NC20260008 dated 02 January 2026.
2. Provide site visit details.

Section 00 21 00 - Instructions

The following clauses were modified:

52.236-27Site Visit (Construction).Feb 1995 hereby reads as follows:

Site Visit (Construction) (Feb 1995)

(a) The clauses at 52.236-2, Differing Site Conditions, and 52.236-3, Site Investigations and Conditions Affecting the Work, will be included in any contract awarded as a result of this solicitation. Accordingly, offerors or quoters are urged and expected to inspect the site where the work will be performed.

(b) Site visits may be arranged during normal duty hours by contacting:

Date: Wednesday, January 21st, 2026 @ 1000 EST

Meeting Location: Please meet at MCAS Beaufort, Public Works Building 616 in the conference room.

S4 Public Works Division
Building 616, 200 Moore Street
MCAS Beaufort, SC

All attendees must submit Form SECNAV 5512 (Attachment J) and provide a copy of their driver's license and Social Security Card to Mark Erwin via email at mark.r.erwin2.mil@us.navy.mil and Scott Roberts via email at scott.j.roberts@usmc.mil no later than close of business January 16th, 2026.

(End of Provision)

Section 00 21 16 - Instructions to Proposers

Miscellaneous text in this section has been modified to:

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. GENERAL
1.1 GENERAL OVERVIEW OF PROCUREMENT PROCESS
1.2 GENERAL OVERVIEW OF CONTRACT

2. BASIS FOR AWARD

3. EVALUATION FACTORS FOR AWARD

4. PROPOSAL REQUIREMENTS
4.1 PRE-PROPOSAL CONFERENCE AND SITE VISIT
4.2 PROPOSAL SUBMISSION INSTRUCTIONS

4.3 PRE-PROPOSAL INQUIRIES (PPI'S)
4.4 CONTRACT OPPORTUNITIES (SAM.GOV)

5. INCORPORATION OF TECHNICAL PROPOSALS

6. ATTACHMENTS: WILL BE LOCATED ON CONTRACT OPPORTUNITIES UNDER ATTACHMENTS
6.1 ATTACHMENT A - PRE-PROPOSAL INQUIRY LOG
6.2 ATTACHMENT B - CONSTRUCTION EXPERIENCE PROJECT DATA SHEET
6.3 ATTACHMENT C - PAST PERFORMANCE QUESTIONNAIRE
6.4 ATTACHMENT D - HISTORICAL SMALL BUSINESS UTILIZATION
6.5 ATTACHMENT E - SMALL BUSINESS PARTICIPATION AND COMMITMENT DOCUMENT
6.6 ATTACHMENT F - INDIVIDUAL SMALL BUSINESS SUBCONTRACTING PLAN TEMPLATE
6.7 ATTACHMENT G - PRICE PROPOSAL FORM
6.8 ATTACHMENT H - PIEE VENDOR ACCESS INSTRUCTIONS
6.9 ATTACHMENT P - SECNAV 5512

1. GENERAL

GENERAL OVERVIEW OF PROCUREMENT PROCESS

This solicitation is being issues as UNRESTRICTED FOR FULL AND OPEN COMPETITION.

The NAICS Code for this procurement is 236220 and the Size Standard is $45,000,000.

1.1.3 This acquisition will be advertised utilizing FAR Part 15 - Contracting by Negotiation resulting in a Firm-Fixed Price (FFP) Contract for Construction Services.

1.1.4 In accordance with DFARS 236.204, the estimated magnitude of construction is between $100,000,000 and $250,000,000.

GENERAL OVERVIEW OF THE CONTRACT

The general intent of this solicitation is to award a fixed-price construction contract for design-bid-build (DBB) project P-475 Aircraft Maintenance Hangar, MCAS Beaufort, South Carolina.

This project constructs a multi-story concrete masonry unit (CMU) and metal panel construction building on pile foundation with reinforced concrete slab, structural steel framing, reinforced masonry walls, brick veneer, and standing seam metal roof. The new building will be an F-35B Joint Strike Fighter (JSF) Training Squadron and legacy compliant aircraft maintenance hangar consisting of high bay space, crew and equipment space, administrative space, and data network areas.

The hangar administration space includes a Special Access Program Facility (SAPF) area for secured handling/storage of classified material /components up to top secret classification and pilot brief and debrief rooms. The hangar includes a SAPF and Secure Internet Protocol Router Network (SIPRNET). Due to site restrictions, ground level parking is not feasible; therefore, the project includes a multi-level, reinforced concrete, parking facility of approximately 400 spaces.

2. BASIS FOR AWARD

1. The Government reserves the right to eliminate from consideration for award any or all offers at any time prior to award of the contract; to negotiate with Offerors in the competitive range; and to award the contract to the Offeror submitting the proposal determined to represent the best value--the proposal most advantageous to the Government, cost/price and other factors considered.

2. The Government intends to evaluate proposals and award a contract without discussions with Offerors (except clarifications as described in FAR 15.306(a)). The Government reserves the right to conduct discussions if the Contracting Officer later determines them to be necessary. In addition, if the Contracting Officer determines that the number of proposals that would otherwise be in the competitive range exceeds the number at which an efficient competition can be conducted, the Contracting Officer may limit the number of proposals in the competitive range to the greatest number that will permit an efficient competition among the most highly rated proposals.

3. The tradeoff process is selected as appropriate for this acquisition. The Government considers it to be in its best interest to allow consideration of award to other than the lowest priced Offeror or other than the highest technically rated Offeror.

4. All technical factors, when combined, are of equal importance to the performance confidence assessment (past performance) rating; and all technical factors and the performance confidence assessment (past performance) rating, when combined, are approximately equal to price.

5. Any proposal found to have a Deficiency in meeting the stated solicitation requirements or performance objectives will be considered ineligible for award, unless the Deficiency is corrected through discussions, if held. An Unacceptable rating in any of the non-cost/price factors will result in an overall rating of "Unacceptable" for the non-cost/price factors, unless corrected through discussions. Proposals may be found to have either a Significant Weakness or multiple Weaknesses that impact either the individual factor rating or the overall rating for the proposal. The evaluation report must document the evaluation board's assessment of the identified weakness(s) and the associated risk to successful contract performance resulting from the weakness(s). This assessment must provide the rationale for proceeding to award without discussions.

3. EVALUATION FACTORS FOR AWARD

The solicitation requires the evaluation of price and the following non-cost/price factors:

Factor 1 - Corporate Experience
Factor 2 - Management Approach and Schedule
Factor 3 - Safety
Factor 4 - Past Performance
Factor 5 - Small Business Utilization and Participation

The distinction between Corporate Experience and Past Performance is Corporate Experience pertains to the types of work and volume of work completed by a contractor that are comparable to the types of work covered by this requirement, in terms of size, scope, and complexity. Past Performance pertains to both the relevance of recent efforts and how well a contractor has performed on the contracts.

The relative order of importance of the non-cost/price evaluation factors is the technical factors (Factors 1, 2, 3, and 5) are of equal importance to each other and, when combined, are equal in importance to the past performance evaluation/performance confidence assessment factor (Factor 4). When the proposal is evaluated as a whole, the technical factors and past performance/performance confidence assessment factor (i.e., the non-cost/price evaluation factors), when combined, are approximately equal to price.

The importance of price will increase if the Offerors' non-cost/price proposals are considered essentially equal in terms of overall quality, or if price is so high as to significantly diminish the value of a non-cost/price proposal's superiority to the Government. Award will be made to the responsible Offeror(s) whose offer conforms to the solicitation and represents the best value to the Government, price and non-price factors considered.

Basis of Evaluation and Submittal Requirements for Each Factor.

Price Proposal:

(i) Solicitation Submittal Requirements:

The Offeror shall submit one (1) electronic copy via PIEE, to include the submittal requirements identified below. The Price and Non-price proposals shall be submitted in separate files and submitted in Portable Document Format (PDF). In addition, non-price/technical information included in the price proposal will not be considered; likewise, price information included in the non-price/technical proposal will not be considered. All required proposal documents shall be submitted in accordance with FAR 15.208.

All submissions shall include the following:

1. Executed SF1442. Offeror shall insert its company name and address in Block #14, telephone number in Block #15, acknowledge all amendments in Block #19 (if applicable), name and title of person authorized to sign in Block #20A, signature in Block #20B, and offer date in Block #20C of the SF1442. In addition, the Offeror shall provide a cover page with solicitation number, solicitation title, Offeror name, address, phone number, fax number, Unique Identifier Number (UEI), CAGE code, Federal Tax ID number, Point of Contact (POC), POC phone number, and POC email address with its proposal.

2. Completed Price Proposal Form. The Offeror is required to submit a complete Price Proposal Form that includes completion of all contract line items numbers (CLINs). Pricing information is not required to be completed under Section 00 10 00 - Solicitation Contract Form in SF 1442.

3. Bid Bond (SF-24). Offeror shall submit a bid bond (SF-24) in the amount of 20% of total project bid price for the project or $3M, whichever amount is less. The bid bond shall be in the name of the Offeror identified on the SF1442.

4. System for Award Management (SAM) registration. Offeror shall provide a copy of Representation and Certifications and proof of registration in System for Award Management (SAM) and ensure current registration on the SAM Website, www.sam.gov, including Annual Representations and Certifications are complete and updated for this procurement. If a Representation or Certification required by Section 00 45 00 of the RFP is not provided in SAM, include the representation or certification in your price proposal. The Contracting Officer and/or Contract Specialist will verify the Offeror's registration in SAM only at time of submission of an offer and at the time of contract award, unless an exemption applies under FAR 4.1102 (a).

5. FAPIIS. Offeror shall ensure Federal Awardee Performance and Integrity Information System (FAPIIS) is current, accurate, and complete, as required by FAR 52.209-7, Information Regarding Responsibility Matters.

6. VETS-4212 registration. Confirmation of filing of Veterans' Employment and Training (VETS) VETS-4212 for the 2024/2025 filing cycle, if the Offeror is required to submit. Offeror shall ensure a current VETS-4212 report has been submitted to the Department of Labor (DOL) website, http://www.dol.gov/vets/vets4212.htm. An email confirmation of submission can be requested and received by the Offeror from the DOL website and submitted in the price proposal. If the Offeror is not required to submit a report to VETS-4212, include a brief statement and justification explaining why the Offeror is not required to submit a report. Visit the VETS-4212 website for details concerning if your company is required to submit a VETS-4212 report and to request an email confirmation of submission.

7. SMALL BUSINESS SUBCONTRACTING PLAN (REFERENCE FAR 52.219-9 ALT II AND DFARS 252.219-7003)

In accordance with FAR 19.7, all other than small businesses shall submit a Small Business Subcontracting Plan (SBSP) utilizing the template provided as Attachment E. Acceptability of the SBSP will be considered a responsibility matter. The SBSP will not be evaluated or rated by the SSEB but reviewed by a Small Business Professional/Authorized Representative and the Contracting Officer for acceptability. The SBSP shall be negotiated and/or accepted within the time specified by the Contracting Officer. Failure to negotiate an acceptable subcontracting plan within the time limit prescribed by the Contracting Officer will render the Offeror ineligible for award of the contract. The SBSP will be incorporated into the resulting contract.

NAVFAC's command-wide small business subcontracting targets are shown below. These may be higher or lower than percentages that would represent realistic, challenging, small business subcontracting goals for this acquisition consistent with efficient and effective performance of the contract. These targets are based on a percentage of total planned subcontract dollars.

Small Business (SB) 40%
Small Disadvantaged Business (SDB) 5%
HUBZone SB 3%
Woman-Owned Small Business (WOSB) 9%
Veteran-Owned Small Business (VOSB) 3%
Service-Disabled Veteran-Owned Small Business (SDVOSB) 3%

The SBSP shall be consistent with the commitments offered in the Small Business Utilization and Participation factor. In accordance with DFARS 215.304(c)(i), when an evaluation assesses the extent that small businesses are specifically identified in proposals, those small businesses considered in the evaluation shall be listed in the SBSP.

Please note that SBSP goals and the participation rates provided in the Small Business Participation Commitment Document (SBPCD) WILL NOT be the same. The participation rates within the SBPCD are provided as percentages of the total contract value; subcontract plan goals are provided as a percentage of planned subcontracting dollars. While the percentages will differ, the types of products/services identified in the SBSP, should be the same as those products/services identified in the SBPCD. Likewise, the planned small business subcontract dollars in the SBSP will be equal to (or in some cases greater than) the extent of small business participation identified in the SBPCD.

8. Project Labor Agreement. This project is considered a "Large-scale Construction Project" as defined in FAR 22.502. Accordingly, the requirements of FAR Subpart 22.5 will apply. The Offeror shall submit a signed Project Labor Agreement with their Price Proposal submission, in accordance with FAR Provision 52.222-33 and FAR Clause 52.222-34. Offerors that do not include a signed Project Labor Agreement complying with the terms of FAR 52.222-33 and 52.222-34 shall be considered non-responsive and ineligible for award.

9. Bank Reference. One (1) Signed Bank Reference demonstrating adequate financial resources. If Offeror's firm has a line of credit, provide information on how many figures the Offeror can borrow against the line of credit (i.e. medium 6 figures - exact line of credit is not required).

10. Financial Statements. The Offeror shall provide the latest three (3) complete fiscal year financial statements for the prime contractor, certified by an independent accounting firm, if practicable, or signed by an authorized officer of the organization. Submit evidence of availability of working /operating capital, which will be used for the performance of the resultant contract. For Joint Venture arrangements, submit the latest three complete fiscal year financial statements for each company in the Joint Venture and discuss the financial responsibilities among the companies.

11. Facilities and Equipment. Provide a description of Offeror's facilities and equipment.

12. Other Work. Provide a list of Offeror's other work presently under contract.

(ii) Basis of Evaluation:

The Government will evaluate price based on the total price. Total price consists of the basic requirements and all option items, including FF&E (see Attachment G - Price Proposal Form). The Government intends to evaluate all options and has included the provision FAR 52.217-5, Evaluation of Options (JUL 1990) in Section 00 21 00 of the solicitation. In accordance with FAR 52.217-5, evaluation of options will not obligate the Government to exercise the option(s). Analysis will be performed by one or more of the following techniques to ensure a fair and reasonable price:

(i) Comparison of proposed prices received in response to the RFP.
(ii) Comparison of proposed prices with the IGCE.
(iii) Comparison of proposed prices with available historical information.
(iv) Comparison of market survey results.

Although required to be submitted with Offeror's price proposal, under the "Solicitation Submittal Requirements," Items 3 through 11 above will not be evaluated as part of the price proposal. This information will be utilized by the Government in the responsibility determination and for considering responsiveness to the solicitation requirements.

Non-Price Factors:

All submissions shall include the following:

The Offeror shall submit one (1) electronic copy via PIEE of the solicitation submittal requirements identified below. The Price and Non-price proposals shall be submitted in separate files and submitted in Portable Document Format (PDF). In addition, non- price/technical information included in the price proposal will not be considered; likewise, price information included in the non-price/technical proposal will not be considered. All required proposal documents shall be submitted in accordance with FAR 15.208.

Offeror shall provide a cover page with solicitation number, solicitation title, Offeror name, address, phone number, fax number, Unique Entity Identifier (UEI), CAGE code, Federal Tax ID number, Point of Contact (POC), POC phone number, and POC email address with its proposal.

Refer to individual factors for page limitations. Pages that exceed indicated page limitations shall not be evaluated.

Note: If proposing as a Joint-Venture (JV), the offeror must submit the POC, UEI, and CAGE Code for each JV partner.

Information submitted beyond any of the page limits below will not be considered.

(1) Factor 1, Corporate Experience:

(i) Solicitation Submittal Requirements:

The Offeror shall submit the following information:

Submit a minimum of one (1) up to a maximum of five (5) relevant construction projects for the Offeror that best demonstrate your experience on relevant projects (similar in Size, Scope, and Complexity as defined below).

Experience shall be that of the Offeror, performed as the Prime Contractor. Experience of proposed subcontractors will not be considered. Furthermore, experience of the Offeror while working as a subcontractor will not be considered.

For purposes of the evaluation, a relevant project is further defined as follows:

Size: A final construction cost of $35,000,000 or greater. Note: Final construction price is defined as the final price of the project to include the Award Price as adjusted by any and all modifications issued during performance.

Scope: New construction of or building expansion of an aircraft maintenance hangar. Neither pre-engineered building systems nor renovation projects will be considered relevant.

Complexity: For at least one relevant project (meeting the Size and Scope as defined above), the Offeror must demonstrate a column-free open hangar bay clear span not less than 200-ft.

While only one (1) relevant project needs to meet Complexity, the remaining projects must meet the above Size and Scope in order to be considered Relevant.

Projects submitted for the Offeror under Factor 1 shall be completed within the past fifteen (15) years of the date of issuance of this RFP and must clearly explain how the project meets this requirement. Aircraft Hangar projects not meeting these requirements will be considered Not Relevant.

For all submitted projects, ensure that the project description clearly addresses how the project meets the required timeframe as well as the above Size, Scope, and Complexity requirements and clearly provides the final construction cost. **The absence of any such information or information that does not clearly address the requirements may result in the project being considered Not Relevant, which could result in a rating of UNACCEPTABLE for this Factor if the minimum requirements are not met.**

A project is defined as a construction project performed under a single task order or contract. For multiple award and indefinite delivery/indefinite quantity type contracts, the contract as a whole shall not be submitted as a project; rather Offerors shall submit the work performed under a task order as a project. The submission of a multiple award and/or indefinite delivery/indefinite quantity type contract as a whole will be considered Not Relevant.

The Construction Experience Project Data Sheet (Attachment B) is **MANDATORY** and **SHALL** be used to submit project information. Except as specifically requested, the Government will not consider information submitted in addition to this form nor will the Government consider this information submitted via any other medium. Individual blocks on this form may be expanded; however, total length for each project data sheet shall not exceed two (2) pages. Information submitted beyond this page limit will not be considered.

If the Offeror is a Joint Venture (JV), relevant project experience should be submitted for projects completed by the Joint Venture entity. If the Joint Venture does not have shared experience, projects may be submitted for the Joint Venture members. Offerors may submit experience where a member of the JV performed as a JV with another entity but must clearly demonstrate how its work on that project met Size, Scope, and Complexity as defined above.

If a project was performed by a JV, and not all partners from that JV are on the JV proposed for this contract, the Offeror shall clearly demonstrate what portion of the work was performed by the JV member offering on this contract and shall not include work performed by the JV as a whole. The JV member proposed for this contract shall specifically address field work performed by that JV member including field staffing and direct field work oversight. The JV member proposed for this contract shall also address home office management performed by that JV member including the number /percentage of employees provided for the overall contract by the JV members as well as the overall percentage of work performed by the JV member. The Offeror shall also submit a SIGNED copy of the Joint Venture agreement indicating the proposed participation of each Joint Venture member. For an 8(a) Mentor-Protege Joint Venture, the Offeror shall submit the signed U.S. Small Business Administration (SBA) Mentor-Protege approval letter and signed JV agreement. Failure to include any of this information may result in the project being deemed Not Relevant. **Offerors are still limited to a total of five (5) projects combined.**

Offerors that are not submitting as a JV may submit a project where it performed as a JV with another entity but must clearly demonstrate how its work on that project met Size, Scope, and Complexity as defined above or the project will be considered Not Relevant.

If an Offeror is utilizing experience information of affiliates/subsidiaries/parent/LLC/LTD member companies (name is not exactly as stated on the SF1442), the Construction Experience Project Data Sheet (Attachment C) shall clearly demonstrate that the affiliate/subsidiary/parent firm will have meaningful involvement in the performance of the contract. The proposal shall state specific commitments of technical resources (e.g. personnel, equipment) that the affiliate/subsidiary/parent/LLC/LTD member companies commit to the performance of this contract. In particular, the proposal will clearly state the specific commitments of resources of the affiliate/subsidiary/parent/LLC/LTD member that will be located at the worksites and company offices in the city/area of the project. The proposal shall also describe specific roles of the affiliate/subsidiary/ parent/LLC/LTD member companies in terms of the work it will either self-perform or manage on behalf of the Offeror in performance of the contract. The Offeror MAY NOT utilize experience of a subcontractor to demonstrate construction experience under this evaluation factor. Failure to submit this information may result

in a lower rating.

If an offeror submits a project where it was part of a JV (whether the offeror is a single entity or JV) as well as an affiliate, the offeror must meet either the requirements set forth above for JV or the requirements set forth for an affiliate for the project to be considered Relevant, in addition to meeting the Size, Scope, and Complexity requirements. If the offeror does not clearly demonstrate its role as a JV member or affiliate, the project will be considered Not Relevant.

(ii) Basis of Evaluation:

The basis of evaluation will include the Offeror's demonstrated experience and depth of experience in performing relevant construction projects as defined in the solicitation submittal requirements. The assessment of the Offeror's relevant experience will be used as a means of evaluating the capability of the Offeror to successfully meet the requirements of the RFP. The Government will only review five (5) projects total. Any projects submitted in excess of five (5) for Corporate Experience will not be considered. The Government will review the first five projects if more are submitted. An Offeror that does not submit a minimum of one (1) relevant projects as defined above will receive a rating of "Unacceptable." An Offeror with an "Unacceptable" rating in any Factor will not be eligible for award.

Proposals that do not demonstrate relevant project experience for each JV member may be considered less favorably.

Offerors with relevant projects that demonstrate compliance with a Construction Security Plan (CSP) may be considered more favorably.

Offerors that demonstrate relevant experience on multiple projects meeting the Complexity requirement may be considered more favorably.

(2) Factor 2 - Management Approach and Schedule:

(i) Solicitation Submittal Requirements:

The Offeror shall submit a narrative and schedule for this factor. The narrative shall not exceed six (6) pages. Provide the schedule, documenting any multiple critical paths, in an Adobe PDF file. There is no page limit to the schedule.

Management Approach

Provide a written work plan that addresses major elements of work, project risks, and space planning and usage.

a) Narrative Work Plan -The work plan shall demonstrate understanding of the scope, all phases and major milestones, technical complexities, and construction schedule required to achieve the work as identified in the RFP. Identify activities that may affect the project timeline, including other/off site work activities. Identify key project risks including their likelihood and consequences, along with mitigation or management strategies to minimize or eliminate impacts to the project cost and schedule. The plan shall include, but is not limited to, the following technical complexities as detailed in the RFP:

Compliance with the Construction Security Plan (CSP)
Removal of contaminated soil and water

b) Space Planning and Usage - The Offeror shall provide a written plan identifying anticipated use of on-site options, methods for material and equipment storage both on and off site. Space use shall also provide plan for avoiding conflicts with other active construction projects and airfield operations in the project area.

Critical Path Schedule

The project schedule shall illustrate the Offeror's ability to achieve or improve on the contract completion date set out in the RFP. The total duration of the contract is 1,280 calendar days after award. Identify the overall completion time for this project, in accordance with the RFP, as well as interim completion times and key milestones for sequences as required to complete construction. The schedule shall demonstrate an understanding of the work to include the base CLIN and all options, a logical sequence of events to accomplish the work, and contain enough information to identify all of the major milestones, as defined in the phasing plans of the RFP. The schedule shall be based on a contract award date of 30 September 2026. This is for proposal purposes only and not dispositive of an anticipated/planned award date.

If an Offeror proposes a realistic and feasible schedule that is shorter than the required duration, and that Offeror is selected for award, that schedule and duration will be incorporated into the award document and replace the advertised required duration.

A schedule that proposes a contract duration longer than indicated in the RFP will be rated UNACCEPTABLE.

Offeror shall provide a critical path method (CPM) schedule for execution and completion of the work to achieve the required interim and final completion milestones for the project. At a minimum, the CPM schedule shall address the following:

Identify major activities and milestones
Provide sequencing (precedence/succession) of critical activities
Demonstrate knowledge of project regulatory constraints
Sufficiently detailed to identify critical and near-critical activities

(ii) Basis of Evaluation:

Management Approach - Offerors will be evaluated on the extent to which they demonstrate a clear understanding of the requirements of the RFP in

accordance with the above Solicitation Submittal Requirements.

An Offeror's narrative work plan that identifies areas of risk in logistics and resource management and provides a comprehensive contingency plan that addresses mitigation of any identified risks with potential solutions may be considered more favorably than those that do not.

Schedule - Offerors will be evaluated on the extent to which they demonstrate a clear understanding of the requirements of the RFP.

A schedule that proposes a realistic and feasible contract duration shorter than the required duration indicated in the RFP may be considered more favorably than those that do not.

If the offeror's schedule does not match the offeror's narrative (i.e., differences in milestones, timelines, etc., the Government may consider the response to Factor 2 less favorably.

(3) Factor 3, Safety:

(i) Solicitation Submittal Requirements:

The Offeror shall submit the following information: The Days Away from Work, Restricted Duty, or Job Transfer (DART) Rate; and Total Case Rate (TCR) for the specified five (5) Calendar Years (CY), as well as a safety narrative, as described further below. For a partnership or joint venture, the Offeror shall submit separate DART rates and TCR for the specified five (5) CY for each contractor who is part of the partnership/joint venture; however, only one safety narrative is required. Any fatalities experienced within this 5-year timeframe must be explained in detail, to include root cause and corrective actions.

NOTE: DART and TCR shall not be submitted for subcontractors

1. DART Rate: Submit five (5) previous complete calendar years' [CY2025, CY2024, CY2023, CY2022, and CY2021] worth of data (not an overall average). If the Offeror has no DART rate for any year, the offeror must affirmatively state so and explain why. Should a negative trend occur above Moderate Risk levels, an acceptable/detailed explanation is required that includes any corrective actions taken for improvement.

a. DART cases include injuries or illnesses resulting in death, days away from work, and/or restricted work or transfer to another job days beyond the day of injury/illness.

b. Calculation of DART rate: Multiply the total number of DART cases by 200,000 and then divide by the number of employee labor hours worked.

DART RATE=(Number of DART Incidents x 200,000)/(Total Number of Employee Labor Hours Worked)

2. TCR Rate: Submit five (5) previous complete calendar years' [CY2025, 2024, 2023, 2022, and 2021] worth of data (not an overall average). If the Offeror has no TCR rate for any year, the offeror must affirmatively state so and explain why. Should a negative trend occur above Moderate Risk levels, an acceptable/detailed explanation is required that includes any corrective actions taken for improvement.

a. TCR cases include injuries or illnesses resulting in death, days away from work, restricted work or transfer to another job days beyond the day of injury/illness, medical treatment beyond first aid, or loss of consciousness.

b. Calculation of TCR rate: Multiply the total number of TCR incidents by 200,000 and then divide by the number of employee labor hours worked.

TCR RATE=(Number of TCR Incidents x 200,000)/(Total Number of Employee Labor Hours Worked)

3. Technical Approach to Safety: Submit a narrative that addresses the following:

a. Describe the Offeror' s approach to implementing and executing a Safety Management System (SMS) including Management/Leadership involvement, Employee involvement, Hazard prevention, Hazard control, Worksite analysis, and Safety and health training, to include the standard(s) used to benchmark the SMS.

b. Describe the evaluation process used to select potential subcontractors.

c. Describe the processes of how the Offeror will oversee safety compliance of subcontractors at all levels throughout performance of the contract (to include the Offeror's own in-house workforce).

d. The Technical Approach to Safety narrative shall be limited to two (2) pages.

4. The Government reserves the right to review other available sources (public/Government internal) of information. These may include but are not limited to OSHA data, NAVFAC's Contractor Incident Reporting System (CIRS), Contractor Performance Assessment Reporting System (CPARS), Electronic Contract Management System (eCMS), etc.

(ii) Basis of Evaluation:

The Government is seeking to determine that the Offeror has consistently demonstrated a commitment to safety and that the Offeror plans to properly manage and implement safety procedures for itself and its subcontractors. The evaluation will collectively consider the DART rate, TCR, Technical Approach to Safety, and other sources of information available to the Government as part of such collective evaluation. The board will evaluate the DART rates and TCR to determine if the Offeror has demonstrated a history of safe work practices taking into account any negative trends and extenuating circumstances that impact the rating.

1. DART Rate: The board will evaluate trends over the last five years considering changes that take it from one risk level (or more) to the next up or down. Negative trends occurring above Moderate Risk levels require the Offeror to provide a detailed explanation that includes any corrective actions taken for improvement.

a. Missing data without an explanation is considered a Deficiency.

b. Declining trends that push the risk levels from Moderate Risk (MR) or higher to Low Risk (LR) or Very Low Risk (VLR) may indicate a Strength.

c. An increasing DART rate trend may be considered a Weakness (i.e. MR or better to High Risk (HR) or Extremely High Risk EHR)) if an acceptable explanation is not provided for any trends that rise above Moderate Risk.

d. This chart correlates the DART rate to the level of risk:

Risk DART Rate
Very Low Risk Less Than 1.0
Low Risk From 1.0 to 1.99
Moderate Risk From 2.0 to 2.99
High Risk From 3.0 to 4.0
Extremely High Risk Greater than 4.0

2. TCR Rate: The board will evaluate trends over the last five years considering changes that take it from one risk level (or more) to the next up or down. Negative trends occurring above Moderate Risk levels require the Offeror to provide a detailed explanation that includes any corrective actions taken for improvement.

a. Missing data without an explanation is considered a Deficiency.

b. Declining trends that push the risk levels from Moderate Risk (MR) or higher to Low Risk (LR) or Very Low Risk (VLR) may indicate a Strength.

c. An increasing TCR rate trend may be considered a Weakness (i.e. MR or better to High Risk (HR) or Extremely High Risk EHR)) if an acceptable explanation is not provided for any trends that rise above Moderate Risk.

d. This chart correlates the TCR rate to the level of risk:

Risk TCR Rate
Very Low Risk Less Than 2.49
Low Risk From 2.5 to 3.49
Moderate Risk From 3.5 to 4.49
High Risk From 4.5 to 5.99
Extremely High Risk Greater than 6.0

3. The Technical Approach to Safety Narrative. To determine the degree to which the Offeror:

a. Describes a viable approach to implementing and executing a Safety Management System (SMS) including Management/Leadership involvement, Employee involvement, Hazard prevention, Hazard control, Worksite analysis, and Safety and health training, to include the standard(s) used to benchmark the SMS.

b. Describes a methodical process of evaluating subcontractor's safety performance in their selection process.

c. Describes a logical management plan to hold themselves and their subcontractors accountable for adhering to the safety requirements of the contract.

d. The Technical Approach to Safety narrative shall be limited to two (2) pages. Information on pages beyond this will not be considered.

4. The Government reserves the right to review other available sources (public/Government internal) of information. These may include but are not limited to OSHA data, NAVFAC's Contractor Incident Reporting System (CIRS), Contractor Performance Assessment Reporting System (CPARS), Electronic Contract Management System (eCMS), etc.

(4) Factor 4, Past Performance:

(i) Solicitation Submittal Requirements:

Recent, relevant projects are determined within the requirements for Factor 1, Corporate Experience.

If a completed Contractor Performance Assessment Reporting System (CPARS) evaluation is available, it shall be submitted with the proposal for each project included in Factor 1. The Offeror shall include all completed CPARS evaluations (interim and final) for a project. If there is not a completed CPARS evaluation, the Past Performance Questionnaires (PPQ) included in the solicitation is provided for the Offeror to submit to the client for each project the Offeror includes in its proposal for Factor 1 - Corporate Experience. AN OFFEROR SHALL NOT SUBMIT A PPQ WHEN A COMPLETED CPARS IS AVAILABLE.

If a CPARS evaluation is not available, completed PPQs should be submitted with your proposal. If the Offeror is unable to obtain a completed PPQ

from a client for a project(s) before proposal closing date, the Offeror should complete and submit with the proposal the first page of the PPQ (Attachment C), which will provide contract and client information for the respective project(s). Offerors should ensure correct phone numbers and email addresses are provided for the client point of contact. Offerors should follow-up with clients/references to ensure timely submittal of questionnaires. If the client requests, questionnaires may be submitted directly to the Government's point of contact, Amber Stovall, via email at amber.k.stovall.civ@us.navy.mil prior to proposal closing date. Offerors shall not incorporate by reference into their proposal PPQs or CPARS previously submitted for other RFPs. However, this does not preclude the Government from utilizing previously submitted PPQ information in the past performance evaluation.

Offerors are highly encouraged to provide any information on problems encountered and the corrective actions taken on projects submitted under Factor 1 - Corporate Experience. Offerors are also highly encouraged to address any adverse past performance issues. Such explanations shall not exceed four (4) pages in total. Information beyond this page limitation will not be considered.

Sources of Past Performance Information for evaluation that may be used are as follows:
Past performance information provided by the Offeror, as solicited;
Past performance information obtained from questionnaires tailored to the circumstances of the acquisition; and
Past performance information obtained from any other sources available to the Government, to include, but not limited to, Contractor Performance Assessment Reporting System (CPARS), Federal Awardee Performance and Integrity Information System (FAPIIS), Electronic Subcontract Reporting System (eSRS), or other databases; the Defense Contract Management Agency; and interviews with Program Managers, Contracting Officers, and Fee Determining Officials.

While the Government may elect to consider data from other sources, the burden of providing detailed, current, accurate and complete past performance information rests with the Offeror.

A copy of the blank Past Performance Questionnaire to be used for requesting client references is included as Attachment C.

The Government reserves the right to contact references for verification or additional information. The Government's inability to contact any of the Offeror's references or the references' unwillingness to provide the information requested may affect the Government's evaluation of this factor.

(ii) Basis of Evaluation:

This evaluation focuses on how well the Offeror performed on the projects submitted under Factor 1 - Corporate Experience and past performance on other relevant projects currently documented in known sources. The Government will consider the currency and relevance of the information, the source of the information, context of the data, and general trends in Contractor's performance. In the case of Offerors for which there is no information on past performance, or where past performance information is not available or so sparse that no meaningful assessment can be performed, the Offeror may not be evaluated favorably or unfavorably and will instead receive a "Neutral" rating (See FAR 15.305(a)(2)(iv)). The Government may determine that a "Substantial Confidence" or "Satisfactory Confidence" past performance rating is worth more than a "Neutral Confidence" past performance rating in a best value tradeoff as long as the determination is consistent with stated selection criteria.

The Government will consider the degree to which past performance evaluations and all other past performance information reviewed by the Government (e.g., CPARS, Federal Awardee Performance and Integrity Information System (FAPIIS), Electronic Subcontract Reporting System (eSRS), performance recognition documents, and information obtained from any other source) reflect a trend of satisfactory performance considering:
A pattern of successful completion of tasks;
A pattern of deliverables that are timely and of good quality;
pattern of cooperativeness and teamwork with the Government at all levels (task managers, contracting officers, auditors, etc.); and
Recency of tasks performed that are identical to, similar to, or related to the task at hand.

Factor 5, Small Business Utilization:

It is the policy of the Government to provide maximum practicable opportunities in its acquisitions to Small Business (SB) concerns, including Small Disadvantaged Business (SDB), Woman-Owned Small Business (WOSB), Historically Underutilized Business Zone Small Business (HUBZone SB), Veteran-Owned Small Business (VOSB), Service-Disabled Veteran-Owned Small Business (SDVOSB), and other small business concerns. Further, it is the policy of the Government that such concerns will have the maximum practicable opportunity to participate in contract performance consistent with its efficient performance.

This Factor assesses both the historical achievements in utilizing small business concerns and the proposed small business participation commitment for this requirement. The Offeror is required to demonstrate its previous commitment to SBs through its record of past utilization of SBs and the level of commitment to small business if awarded a contract under this solicitation.

(i) Solicitation Submittal Requirements:

1. **All** Offerors (large, non-profits, **AND** small businesses) shall use Attachment D (Historical Small Business Utilization) to demonstrate their historical utilization of SBs. Each project submitted under Factor 1, Corporate Experience, shall be included on Attachment D. Failure to include each project submitted under Factor 1, Corporate Experience, on Attachment D, or the failure to fully complete Attachment D for each project may result in a Deficiency. Only complete Attachment D. Information provided in a different format and/or on a form created by the Offeror will not be evaluated. Attachments or addendums to Attachment D will not be evaluated. Offerors are permitted to expand portions of the form, as needed, to provide sufficient response. Instructions regarding how to complete Attachment D are included at the beginning of the attachment.

2. **All** Offerors (large, non-profits, **AND** small businesses) shall use Attachment E (Small Business Participation Commitment Document (SBPCD) to submit the following required information for evaluation of this factor:

To demonstrate commitment to use small business concerns in the performance of this contract, identify by name the subcontractors that will be used

to support each small business category, including SDB, WOSB, HUBZone SB, VOSB, and SDVOSBs. Identify the type and complexity of product /service to be subcontracted, and the type of commitment (i.e., teaming agreement, joint venture agreement, mentor protege agreement, letter of commitment, etc.) with each subcontractor.
If subcontractors are not identified by name, Offerors shall provide a detailed explanation why subcontractors are not identified. If firm written commitments with SBs are not in place, Offerors shall provide an explanation and rationale on the ability to achieve the proposed participation. (See Attachment E, paragraph 5)
If a LB Offeror does not intend to subcontract, provide a detailed explanation why subcontracting would not result in efficient contract performance. SBs intending to 100% self-perform are not required to provide an explanation. (See Attachment E, paragraph 6)

To demonstrate maximum practicable participation of small businesses, identify **(in terms of dollar value and percentage of the total contract value)** the Offeror's proposed small business participation. The minimum required small business participation (i.e. work to be performed by small business as the prime contractor and/or work to be performed by small business subcontractors) is **20%**. Offerors who are not able to meet the minimum total small business participation requirement of **20%** (of the total contract value) shall provide a detailed explanation why the minimum total small business participation requirement cannot be achieved.

Only complete Attachment E. Information provided in a different format and/or on a form created by the Offeror will not be evaluated. Attachments or addendums to Attachment E will not be evaluated.

Large business Offerors may achieve the minimum total small business participation requirement through subcontracting to small businesses.

Small business Offerors may achieve the minimum total small business participation requirement through their own performance/participation as a prime as well as through a joint venture, teaming arrangement, and/or subcontracting with other small business concerns.

(ii) Basis of Evaluation:

The Government will evaluate the likelihood of success in achieving the small business objectives of this acquisition based on the following:

1. The extent to which the Offeror is able to demonstrate a history of supporting Government policies concerning the utilization of small business concerns, including SDB, WOSB, HUBZone SB, VOSB, and SDVOSB and the success in achieving goals established by subcontracting plans on prior contracts. For projects submitted for Factor 1, Corporate Experience, that did not have established small business goals, consideration will be given to the utilization of the small business concerns and the degree of usage of small business relative to the total contract value. Historical Small Business Utilization will only be evaluated if performed by the Offeror.

2. The extent to which the Offeror has demonstrated an achievable commitment to use small businesses, including SDB, WOSB, HUBZone SB, VOSB, and SDVOSB in the performance of this contract within the SBPCD.

The minimum small business participation requirement, through work performed by the small business prime and/or subcontracting with small businesses, shall meet or exceed 20% of the total contract value, unless the Offeror provides a reasonable and well-documented explanation for why the minimum **20%** small business participation requirement cannot be achieved.
Offerors that demonstrate a commitment to providing maximum practical opportunity to small businesses may be rated higher.
Proposing less than 20% total small business participation requirement may result in a Deficiency.
Offerors that do not demonstrate commitment to providing maximum practical opportunity to small businesses may result in a Deficiency.

The SBPCD will be evaluated to determine the extent to which small business concerns are specifically identified by name and socioeconomic category in the document and the degree to which small business concerns, including SDB, WOSB, HUBZone SB, VOSB, and SDVOSB will participate relative to the total contract value. The complexity and variety of the work small business concerns will perform and the degree of commitment to use named sources through formal teaming agreements, joint venture agreements, mentor protege agreements, written/signed letters of commitment, etc. will be evaluated to assess achievability of the commitment.
The Offeror may receive a Deficiency if firm commitments with subcontractors are not provided and the Offeror fails to provide a detailed explanation for how the proposed small business participation requirement will be achieved.
The Offeror may be rated lower if they fail to provide a detailed explanation for why subcontracting would not result in efficient contract performance. (Not applicable to SBs intending to 100% self-perform or LBs and non-profits who intend to subcontract.)

4. PROPOSAL REQUIREMENTS

4.1 PRE-PROPOSAL CONFERENCE AND SITE VISIT

Date: Wednesday, January 21st, 2026 @ 1000 EST

Meeting Location: Please meet at MCAS Beaufort, Public Works Building 616 in the conference room.

S4 Public Works Division
Building 616, 200 Moore Street
MCAS Beaufort, SC

All attendees must submit Form SECNAV 5512 (Attachment J) and provide a copy of their driver's license and Social Security Card to Mark Erwin via email at mark.r.erwin2.mil@us.navy.mil and Scott Roberts via email at scott.j.roberts@usmc.mil no later than close of business January 16th, 2026.

4.2 PROPOSAL SUBMISSION INSTRUCTIONS

In response to this request for proposal, the complete proposal shall include the Price Proposal and the Non-Price Factors Proposal, submitted in accordance with the above Basis of Evaluation and Submittal Requirements for Each Factor.

Electronic Copies

Offerors shall submit electronic proposals (.pdf format) in response to this solicitation. Only the PIEE Solicitation Module is authorized for the submission of electronic proposals in response to this solicitation. Electronic proposal submissions should be no larger than 1.9 GB per file. Offerors shall ensure that the Government has received the electronic proposal prior to the date and time specified. PIEE Solicitation Vendor Access Instructions are provided via Attachment H. **Emailed submissions will not be accepted.**

Offerors shall allow adequate time to upload files which may be slower for non-DoD users and to avoid other technical difficulties that may be encountered. Offerors are also responsible for submitting files in the format specified and consistent with requirements stated elsewhere in this solicitation. Files that cannot be opened, or are otherwise missing the required content are the responsibility of the Offeror.

PIEE system will record the date and time of package submittal. The date and time of package submittal recorded in PIEE shall govern the timeliness of any proposal submission. LATE PROPOSALS WILL NOT BE CONSIDERED. PROPOSALS SUBMITTED IN RESPONSE TO THIS REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL MUST BE RECEIVED NO LATER THAN THE DATE AND TIME SPECIFIED IN BLOCK 13A OF THE SF1442. Proposals not received by the time and date specified shall be treated in accordance with FAR 52.215-1 "Instruction to Offerors - Competitive Acquisitions" and may be rejected.

PROPOSALS THAT ARE HAND CARRIED WILL NOT BE ACCEPTED.

It is the sole responsibility of the Offeror to obtain information from PIEE/Contract Opportunities (www.sam.gov), including, but not limited to, RFP files, along with any amendments.

4.3 PRE-PROPOSAL INQUIRIES (PPIs): All inquiries must be submitted in writing and received by the Contract Specialist no later than **fourteen (14) days** prior to the proposal due date in order to permit adequate time to reply to the inquiry. There is no guarantee that PPI's submitted after the cut-off date will be answered before the solicitation closes. Submit all questions to Amber Stovall at amber.k.stovall.civ@us.navy.mil with the e-mail subject line "N4008526R0148 PPI {Company Name}". Additionally, all inquiries shall be submitted using the Pre-Proposal Inquiry Form provided via Attachment. Do not submit the same inquiry more than once. Please verify that inquiries submitted by you on behalf of your subcontractors are not duplicates. A response will be published via an amendment to SAM.gov. Use of the PPI Log template is required. The PPI Log Template (Attachment A) can be found on PIEE or the Contract Opportunities website (Sam.gov) under the Attachments section.

4.4 CONTRACT OPPORTUNITIES: Amendments will be posted directly to PIEE and Contract Opportunities/Sam.gov. The drawings and specifications will be posted on PIEE/Sam.gov under the Attachments section of this RFP. **DRAWING VOLUMES 2A, 2B, AND SPECIFICATIONS VAOLUME 5 WILL NOT BE POSTED TO PIEE/SAM.GOV. IN ORDER TO RECEIVE THE DRAWINGS, PLEASE COMPLETE THE DOD-CUI NON-DISCLOSURE AGREEMENT (ATTACHMENT I) AND SUBMIT VIA EMAIL TO THE CONTRACT SPECIALIST AT AMBER.K.STOVALL.CIV@US.NAVY.MIL. DOCUMENTS WILL THEN BE SENT VIA DODSAFE.** Additionally, other postings will be made to PIEE/Sam.gov, such as the pre-proposal inquires (PPI) log, revised drawings, etc., under the Attachments section of the RFP. It is recommended contractors check PIEE/Sam.gov and the Attachments section of the RFP frequently to see if additional postings have been made. **It is the contractor's responsibility to check PIEE/Sam.gov for all postings.**

5. INCORPORATION OF TECHNICAL PROPOSALS

The Contractor's technical proposal, including revisions and amendments, made prior to contract award and a copy of which is in the possession of both parties, will be incorporated into this contract upon award by reference with the same force and effect as if set forth in full text. All contractor personnel shall meet or exceed the qualification standards, experience levels and trade background set forth in the technical proposal.

In the event of an inconsistency between the provisions of this contract and the technical proposal, the inconsistency shall be resolved by giving precedence in the following order: (i) the contract (excluding the technical proposal), and then (ii) the technical proposal.

This Contract (or Purchase Order) contains rated order quantities certified for national defense use, and you are required to follow all the provisions of the DPAS regulation (DPAS Regulation - 15 CFR Part 700.12) only as it pertains to the rated quantities.

Section 00 73 00 - Supplementary Conditions

Miscellaneous text in this section has been modified to:

AUTHORITY

1. No person other than the Contracting Officer has authority to bind the Government with respect to this contract.

2. No action or omission of any government employee or representative other than the Contracting Officer shall increase or decrease the scope of this contract or shall otherwise modify the terms and conditions of this contract.

3. In no event shall any of the following be effective or binding on the Government or imputed to the Contracting Officer with respect to this contract:
(a) An understanding or agreement between the Contractor and anyone other than the Contracting Officer;
(b) A purported modification or change order issued by anyone other than the Contracting Officer;
(c) A promise by anyone other than the Contracting Officer to provide additional funding or make payments; or
(d) An order, direction, consent, or permission from anyone other than the Contracting Officer to:
(i) Incur costs in excess of a specified estimated cost, allotment of funds, or other ceiling; or
(ii) Expend hours in excess of a specified level of effort.

(End of language)

NOTICE OF BONDING REQUIREMENTS

Within 10 days after receipt of award, the offeror to whom the award is made shall furnish the bonds required by FAR Clause 52.228-15.

Offerors are hereby notified that the contract time for purposes of fixing the completion date, default, and liquidated damages will be as stated in FAR Clause 52.211-10 Commencement, Prosecution, and Completion of Work, regardless of when performance and payment bonds or deposits in lieu of surety are executed.

(End of language)

UTILITIES FOR CONSTRUCTION AND TESTING

The Contractor shall be responsible for obtaining, either from available Government sources or local utility companies, all utilities required for construction and testing. The Contractor shall provide these utilities at his expense, paid for at the current utility rate delivered to the job site. The Contractor shall provide and maintain all temporary utility connections and distribution lines, and all meters required to measure the amount of each utility used.

(End of language)

MINIMUM INSURANCE REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with FAR 28.307-2, the coverage specified below is the minimum insurance required for this contract:

(a) Workers' compensation and employer's liability. Contractors are required to comply with applicable Federal and State workers' compensation and occupational disease statutes. If occupational diseases are not compensable under those statutes, they shall be covered under the employer's liability section of the insurance policy, except when contract operations are so commingled with a contractor's commercial operations that it would not be practical to require this coverage. Employer's liability coverage of at least $100,000 shall be required, except in States with exclusive or monopolistic funds that do not permit workers' compensation to be written by private carriers. (See 28.305(c) for treatment of contracts subject to the Defense Base Act.)

(b) General liability.

(1) The contracting officer shall require bodily injury liability insurance coverage written on the comprehensive form of policy of at least $500,000 per occurrence.

(2) Property damage liability insurance shall be required only in special circumstances as determined by the agency.

(c) Automobile liability. The contracting officer shall require automobile liability insurance written on the comprehensive form of policy. The policy shall provide for bodily injury and property damage liability covering the operation of all automobiles used in connection with performing the contract. Policies covering automobiles operated in the United States shall provide coverage of at least $200,000 per person and $500,000 per occurrence for bodily injury and $20,000 per occurrence for property damage. The amount of liability coverage on other policies shall be commensurate with any legal requirements of the locality and sufficient to meet normal and customary claims.

(d) Aircraft public and passenger liability. When aircraft are used in connection with performing the contract, the contracting officer shall require aircraft public and passenger liability insurance. Coverage shall be at least $200,000 per person and $500,000 per occurrence for bodily injury, other than passenger liability, and $200,000 per occurrence for property damage. Coverage for passenger liability bodily injury shall be at least $200,000 multiplied by the number of seats or passengers, whichever is greater.

(e) Vessel liability. When contract performance involves use of vessels, the contracting officer shall require, as determined by the agency, vessel collision liability and protection and indemnity liability insurance. The certificate shall contain an endorsement to the effect that cancellation or any material change in the policies adversely affecting the interests of the Government in such insurance shall not be effective until 30 days after written notice thereof to the Contracting Officer.
(End of language)

CONTRACTOR PERFORMANCE INFORMATION

Contractor's performance will be evaluated using the respective contractor performance evaluation report entry system located on the website http://www.cpars.gov/. Prior to commencement of work, the contractor is required to provide the government with the name, phone number, and email address of the "Contractor's Representative" that will be responsible for receipt and review of draft performance evaluations prepared by the government in the appropriated system. It is the contractor's responsibility to keep this contract information current.

DEFINITIZATION OF EQUITABLE ADJUSTMENTS FOR CHANGE ORDERS UNDER CONSTRUCTION CONTRACTS

1. No data is available regarding the timely definitization of equitable adjustments for change orders under construction contracts.

2. The REA definitization information referenced in Navy Marine Corps Acquisition Regulation Supplement (NMCARS) 5236.211(b)(2), should not be utilized.

3. There are no agency-specific policies and procedures that apply to the definitization of equitable adjustments for change orders under construction contracts.

PGI PAYMENT INSTRUCTIONS

The Procedures, Guidance and Information (PGI), Section 204.7108 link provides a standard set of payment instructions (in table format) that defines how payments should be made based on the payment request type and the service being acquired.

The link to the PGI table is as follows:

https://www.acq.osd.mil/dpap/dars/pgi/pgi_htm/current/PGI204_71.htm#payment_instructions

WAGE DETERMINATION

"General Decision Number: SC20260008 01/02/2026

Superseded General Decision Number: SC20250008

State: South Carolina

Construction Type: Building

County: Beaufort County in South Carolina.

BUILDING CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS (does not include single family
homes or apartments up to and including 4 stories).

Modification Number Publication Date
0 01/02/2026

ELEV0032-002 01/01/2025

Rates Fringes

ELEVATOR MECHANIC.....$ 52.08 38.435+a+b

PAID HOLIDAYS:

a. New Year's Day, Memorial Day, Independence Day, Labor Day,
Vetern's Day, Thanksgiving Day, the Friday after
Thanksgiving, and Christmas Day.

b. Employer contributes 8% of regular hourly rate to vacation
pay credit for employee who has worked in business more
than 5 years; 6% for less than 5 years' service.

SUSC2011-004 08/31/2011

Rates Fringes

CARPENTER, Includes Form Work....$ 15.96 0.00

ELECTRICIAN.....$ 18.33 0.00

LABORER: Common or General.....$ 10.61 1.27

LABORER: Pipelayer.....$ 12.50 1.23

OPERATOR:
Backhoe/Excavator/Trackhoe.....$ 19.14 2.62

PAINTER: Brush, Roller and
Spray.....$ 13.50 0.00

PIPEFITTER.....$ 19.75 2.80

PLUMBER.....$ 17.20 2.65

SHEET METAL WORKER (HVAC Duct
Installation Only).....$ 19.15 1.15

TRUCK DRIVER.....$ 13.50 1.27

WELDERS - Receive rate prescribed for craft performing
operation to which welding is incidental.

==========

Note: Executive Order (EO) 13706, Establishing Paid Sick Leave
for Federal Contractors applies to all contracts subject to the
Davis-Bacon Act for which the contract is awarded (and any
solicitation was issued) on or after January 1, 2017. If this
contract is covered by the EO, the contractor must provide
employees with 1 hour of paid sick leave for every 30 hours
they work, up to 56 hours of paid sick leave each year.
Employees must be permitted to use paid sick leave for their
own illness, injury or other health-related needs, including
preventive care; to assist a family member (or person who is
like family to the employee) who is ill, injured, or has other
health-related needs, including preventive care; or for reasons
resulting from, or to assist a family member (or person who is
like family to the employee) who is a victim of, domestic
violence, sexual assault, or stalking. Additional information
on contractor requirements and worker protections under the EO
is available at
https://www.dol.gov/agencies/whd/government-contracts.

Note: Executive Order 13658 generally applies to contracts subject to the Davis-Bacon Act that were awarded on or between January 1, 2015 and January 29, 2022, and that have not been renewed or extended on or after January 30, 2022. Executive Order 13658 does not apply to contracts subject only to the Davis-Bacon Related Acts regardless of when they were awarded. If a contract is subject to Executive Order 13658, the contractor must pay all covered workers at least $13.30 per hour (or the applicable wage rate listed on this wage determination, if it is higher) for all hours spent performing on the contract in 2025. The applicable Executive Order minimum wage rate will be adjusted annually. Additional information on contractor requirements and worker protections under Executive Order 13658 is available at www.dol.gov/whd/govcontracts.

Unlisted classifications needed for work not included within the scope of the classifications listed may be added after award only as provided in the labor standards contract clauses (29CFR 5.5 (a) (1) (iii)).

The body of each wage determination lists the classifications and wage rates that have been found to be prevailing for the type(s) of construction and geographic area covered by the wage determination. The classifications are listed in alphabetical order under rate identifiers indicating whether the particular rate is a union rate (current union negotiated rate), a survey rate, a weighted union average rate, a state adopted rate, or a supplemental classification rate.

Union Rate Identifiers

A four-letter identifier beginning with characters other than ""SU"", ""UAVG"", ?SA?, or ?SC? denotes that a union rate was prevailing for that classification in the survey. Example: PLUM0198-005 07/01/2024. PLUM is an identifier of the union whose collectively bargained rate prevailed in the survey for this classification, which in this example would be Plumbers. 0198 indicates the local union number or district council number where applicable, i.e., Plumbers Local 0198. The next number, 005 in the example, is an internal number used in processing the wage determination. The date, 07/01/2024 in the example, is the effective date of the most current negotiated rate.

Union prevailing wage rates are updated to reflect all changes over time that are reported to WHD in the rates in the collective bargaining agreement (CBA) governing the classification.

Union Average Rate Identifiers

The UAVG identifier indicates that no single rate prevailed for those classifications, but that 100% of the data reported for the classifications reflected union rates. EXAMPLE: UAVG-OH-0010 01/01/2024. UAVG indicates that the rate is a weighted union average rate. OH indicates the State of Ohio. The next number, 0010 in the example, is an internal number used in producing the wage determination. The date, 01/01/2024 in the example, indicates the date the wage determination was updated to reflect the most current union average rate.

A UAVG rate will be updated once a year, usually in January, to reflect a weighted average of the current rates in the collective bargaining agreements on which the rate is based.

Survey Rate Identifiers

The ""SU"" identifier indicates that either a single non-union rate prevailed (as defined in 29 CFR 1.2) for this classification in the survey or that the rate was derived by computing a weighted average rate based on all the rates reported in the survey for that classification. As a weighted average rate includes all rates reported in the survey, it may include both union and non-union rates. Example: SUFL2022-007 6/27/2024. SU indicates the rate is a single non-union prevailing rate or a weighted average of survey data for that classification. FL indicates the State of Florida. 2022 is the year of the survey on which these classifications and rates are based. The next number, 007 in the example, is an internal number used in producing the wage determination. The date, 6/27/2024 in the example, indicates the survey completion date for the classifications and rates under that identifier.

?SU? wage rates typically remain in effect until a new survey is conducted. However, the Wage and Hour Division (WHD) has the discretion to update such rates under 29 CFR 1.6(c)(1).

State Adopted Rate Identifiers

The ""SA"" identifier indicates that the classifications and prevailing wage rates set by a state (or local) government were adopted under 29 C.F.R 1.3(g)-(h). Example: SAME2023-007 01/03/2024. SA reflects that the rates are state adopted. ME refers to the State of Maine. 2023 is the year during which the state completed the survey on which the listed classifications and rates are based. The next number, 007 in the example, is an internal number used in producing the wage determination. The date, 01/03/2024 in the example, reflects the date on which the classifications and rates under the ?SA? identifier took effect under state law in the state from which the rates were adopted.

WAGE DETERMINATION APPEALS PROCESS

1) Has there been an initial decision in the matter? This can be:

a) a survey underlying a wage determination
b) an existing published wage determination
c) an initial WHD letter setting forth a position on a wage determination matter
d) an initial conformance (additional classification and rate) determination

On survey related matters, initial contact, including requests for summaries of surveys, should be directed to the WHD Branch of Wage Surveys. Requests can be submitted via email to davisbaconinfo@dol.gov or by mail to:

Branch of Wage Surveys
Wage and Hour Division
U.S. Department of Labor
200 Constitution Avenue, N.W.
Washington, DC 20210

Regarding any other wage determination matter such as conformance decisions, requests for initial decisions should be directed to the WHD Branch of Construction Wage Determinations. Requests can be submitted via email to BCWD-Office@dol.gov or by mail to:

Branch of Construction Wage Determinations
Wage and Hour Division
U.S. Department of Labor
200 Constitution Avenue, N.W.
Washington, DC 20210

2) If an initial decision has been issued, then any interested party (those affected by the action) that disagrees with the decision can request review and reconsideration from the Wage and Hour Administrator (See 29 CFR Part 1.8 and 29 CFR Part 7). Requests for review and reconsideration can be submitted via email to dba.reconsideration@dol.gov or by mail to:

Wage and Hour Administrator
U.S. Department of Labor
200 Constitution Avenue, N.W.
Washington, DC 20210

The request should be accompanied by a full statement of the interested party's position and any information (wage payment data, project description, area practice material, etc.) that

the requestor considers relevant to the issue.

3) If the decision of the Administrator is not favorable, an interested party may appeal directly to the Administrative Review Board (formerly the Wage Appeals Board). Write to:

Administrative Review Board
U.S. Department of Labor
200 Constitution Avenue, N.W.
Washington, DC 20210.

==========

END OF GENERAL DECISION
"