AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT

1. CONTRACT ID CODE	PAGE	OF	PAGES
J	1		6

2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NUMBER	3. EFFECTIVE DATE	4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQUISITION NUMBER	5. PROJECT NUMBER *(If applicable)*
0002	19 JUN 2026		

6. ISSUED BY CODE W912PB

0409 AQ HQ CONTRACT
KO DIRECTORATE OF CONTRACTING, UNIT 28130
APO, AE 09114
UNITED STATES
YVONNE SCHMALZREICH, EMAIL: YVONNE.V.SCHMALZREICH.LN@ARMY.MIL
TELEPHONE: 0049-09641-705268709

7. ADMINISTERED BY *(If other than Item 6)* CODE

SCD: PAS:

8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR *(Number, street, county, State and ZIP Code)*

CODE FACILITY CODE

(X)	
[X]	9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NUMBER W912PB26RA011
	9B. DATED *(SEE ITEM 11)* 02 JUN 2026
[ ]	10A. MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT/ORDER NUMBER
	10B. DATED *(SEE ITEM 13)*

11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS

[X] The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offers [ ] is extended. [X] is not extended.

Offers must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended, by one of the following methods: (a) By completing items 8 and 15, and returning 1 copies of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or electronic communication which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by letter or electronic communication, provided each letter or electronic communication makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.

12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA *(If required)*

SEE CONTINUATION

13. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS. IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NUMBER AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.

CHECK ONE	
[ ]	A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: *(Specify authority)* THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NUMBER IN ITEM 10A.
[ ]	B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES *(such as changes in paying office, appropriation data, etc.)* SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(b).
[ ]	C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:
[ ]	D. OTHER *(Specify type of modification and authority)*

E. IMPORTANT: Contractor [ ] is not [ ] is required to sign this document and return _____ copies to the issuing office.

14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (*Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.)*

See Schedule

Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.

15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER *(Type or print)*		16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER *(Type or print)*	
		ANDREA R. LUCAS	
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR	15C. DATE SIGNED	16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	16C. DATE SIGNED
(Signature of person authorized to sign)		A. Lucas *(Signature of Contracting Officer)*	16 JUN 2026

Previous edition unusable

STANDARD FORM 30 (REV. 11/2016)
Prescribed by GSA FAR (48 CFR) 53.243

SF 30 BLOCK 14 CONTINUATION PAGE

SUMMARY OF CHANGES

Block 14 (Description of Amendment/Modification): The purpose of Amendment 0002 to Solicitation W912PB26RA011 is to revise the evaluation criteria text for FAR 52.212-2 Evaluation - Commercial Products and Commercial Services, specifically updating the Supplier Performance Risk System SPRS
requirements under Evaluation Factor 2.

All other terms and conditions of the solicitation remain unchanged and in full effect.

RCO POC:
Contract Specialist
Yvonne Schmalzreich
DSN: 314-526-8709
Commercial: 09641-70-526-8709
yvonne.v.schmalzreich.ln@army.mil

Addendum to Contract Clauses

Additional Information/Notes

The following clauses were modified:

52.212-2 Evaluation-Commercial Products and Commercial Services. (Deviation) Feb 2026 hereby reads as follows:

Evaluation-Commercial Products and Commercial Services (Feb 2026) (Deviation)

(a) Evaluation factors. The Government will award a contract resulting from this solicitation to the responsible Offeror whose offer conforming to the solicitation will be most advantageous to the Government, price and other factors considered. The following factors will be used to evaluate offers:

1. Technical

2. Supplier Performance Risk

3. Price

Award will be made on the basis of the lowest evaluated price of proposals meeting the acceptability standards for nonprice factors.

FACTOR 1: TECHNICAL

Subfactor 1: Site Foreman Certification

To be deemed "Acceptable", the offeror shall submit verifiable supporting documentation establishing that all three proposed Site Foreman (one for each designated location: Grafenwoehr /Vilseck, Hohenfels and Garmisch) meet the following qualification (PWS, para 2.4.3.1.2):

a) Successfully completed apprenticeship as electrician or plumber journeymen, certified by the chamber of craft or equivalent.

b) A certificate from an authorized training institute approved by the prevailing Association of Property Insurers; in German: Verband der Schadenversicherer (VdS) (Association of damage insurers) demonstrating the following:

1. Verified specialized sprinkler attendant per German Industrial Safety Ordinance and in accordance with VdS guideline 6007: Qualified person in accordance with BetrSichV for testing automatic stationary fire extinguishing systems.

In German: Befahigte Personen nach BetrSichV und Sprinklerwart nach VdS 6007 zur Prufung von automatischen, ortsfesten Feuerloschanlagen.

2. Verified specialized Gas extinguishing system maintainer in accordance with VdS guideline 6007 Qualified person in accordance with BetrSichV for testing automatic stationary fire extinguishing systems

In German: Befahigte Personen nach BetrSichV und Gasloschanlagenwart nach VdS 6007 zur Prufung von automatischen, ortsfesten Feuerloschanlagen.

An offer is considered "UNACCEPTABLE" if the proposal fails to satisfy the minimum requirements established in Subfactor 1 or if the submitted information lacks sufficient details for verification.

Subfactor 2: Prime Contractor Experience

The offeror will be acceptable if it provides supporting documentation that the prime offeror has a minimum of one (1) year of relevant experience within the last five (5) years preceding the date of issuance of this solicitation. Proof must be provided with the proposal.

"Relevant" experience is defined as performance of efforts involving requirements that are similar in scope (for the types of services identified and defined in the PWS requirements: Maintenance, Inspection and Repair of Fire Extinguisher Systems) and Magnitude of Effort a contract for at least one (1) year within the five-year time period totaling at least $500,000 per year. The US Government reserves the right to research the contracts provided by the offeror in determining relevancy.

At a minimum, an offeror shall provide the following information for each contract that it relies upon to meet the relevant professional experience requirements:

(a) Location and customer name;

(b) Contract number (if such a designation exists);

(c) A narrative explaining the requirement and how it is relevant to the requirements of this solicitation;

(d) Contract performance dates; and

(e) Total contract value.

An offer is considered "UNACCEPTABLE" if either all the documentation as required above for Subfactor 2 is not provided or if our evaluation determines that the documentation provided is not valid or relevant.

FACTOR 2: SUPPLIER PERFORMANCE RISK

The Government will consider Risk in accordance with the provision at 252.204-7024, Notice on the Use of the Supplier Performance Risk System as supplemented by this criterion. The Government will NOT consider Item Risk or Price Risk as described in provision. For purposes of this Risk assessment, the Government will consider information contained in the Supplier Performance Risk System (SPRS). Furthermore, in accordance with DFARS 252.204-7024(e), the Contracting Officer may consider any other available and relevant information when evaluating an offer, which includes Offeror-submitted Risk Mitigation Plans. Any questions or concerns the

offeror has concerning its SPRS risk assessments should be addressed as described in paragraph (d) of DFARS 252.204-7024. To be eligible for award, the offeror must be considered Acceptable as described below. Offerors without a Supplier Risk assessment in SPRS shall be deemed Acceptable. Using the SPRS Supplier Risk Report and any submitted Risk Mitigation Plans, Offerors will be rated based on the following definitions:

Acceptable: Offeror has a Supplier Risk Score of Green, Purple, Blue, or Black; OR Offeror has a Supplier Risk Score of Yellow or Red AND has submitted a Risk Mitigation Plan that the Government determines adequately addresses the underlying SPRS data, demonstrating sufficient corrective actions to lower the anticipated risk of unsuccessful performance to an acceptable level.

Unacceptable: Offeror has a Supplier Risk Score of Grey; OR the Offeror has a Supplier Risk Score of Yellow / Red AND either failed to submit a Risk Mitigation Plan or submitted a Risk Mitigation Plan that the Government determines does not adequately mitigate the risk of unsuccessful performance.

FACTOR 3: PRICE

a. The total evaluated price (TEP) shall be the sum of all CLIN prices listed in the schedule for the base year and all ordering periods. In the event there is a difference between a unit price and the total amount, the unit price will be held to be the intended offer and the total of the CLIN and/or ELIN will be recomputed accordingly. The CLIN will also be recomputed to take into account the change in the contract ELINs. If the Offeror provides a total amount for an ELIN, but fails to enter the unit price, the total amount divided by the ELIN quantity will be held to be the intended unit price. In the event there is a discrepancy between the ELIN pricing and the CLIN price, the ELIN will be held to be the intended offer.

b. Currency: Offers submitted in response to this solicitation shall be in terms of local currency or U.S. Dollars. Offers shall be provided with only 2- digits after the decimal point. Prices provided shall be fully loaded, inclusive of all fees, overheads, G&A, insurance, profits, or any other markups. Failure to do so may cause the offer to not be considered for award. If the Government receives offers in more than one currency, the Government shall use the method described in Provision 52.225-17, Evaluation of Foreign Currency Offers, to convert local currency offers into U.S. Dollar for evaluation purposes. All supporting documentation shall reflect the same currency established in the price proposal.

c. Each offeror's proposed price will be evaluated to determine whether it is fair and reasonable as per FAR 15.404-1b.

d. The Government WILL NOT evaluate proposed prices for realism.

(b) Ordering Periods:

The Government will evaluate offers for award purposes by adding the total price for all ordering periods to the total price for the basic requirement. The Government may determine that an offer is unacceptable if the ordering periods are significantly unbalanced. Evaluation of ordering periods shall not obligate the Government to exercise the ordering period(s).

(c) *Notice of award*. A written notice of award or acceptance of an offer furnished to the successful Offeror within the time for acceptance specified in the offer, shall result in a binding contract without further action by either party. Before the offer's specified expiration time, the Government may accept an offer (or part of an offer), whether or not there are negotiations after its receipt, unless a written notice of withdrawal is received before award.

The Government will evaluate the reasonableness of the offered prices. The Government will NOT evaluate the realism of offered prices. Normally, adequate price competition establishes a fair and reasonable price. Analytical techniques and procedures described in FAR 15.4 be used, singly or in combination with others, to ensure that the price is fair and reasonable.

(End of provision)