DRAFT

REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL (RFP)

MARKETPLACE FOR THE ACQUISITION OF PROFESSIONAL SERVICES (MAPS)

27 March 2026

SECTION B – SUPPLIES AND SERVICES AND PRICES/COSTS (CLIN)

To be determined (TBD)

SECTION C – DESCRIPTIONS/SPECIFICATIONS/WORK STATEMENT

1.0 OBJECTIVE AND SCOPE

1.1 OBJECTIVE

Army Contracting Command-Aberdeen Proving Ground (ACC-APG), in support of the Capability Program Executive (CPE) Enterprise Software and Service (ES2) (formerly known as Program Executive Office (PEO) Enterprise), intends to award a Multiple Award (MA) Indefinite Delivery Indefinite Quantity (IDIQ) contract, MAPS, from the combination of the ACC-APG Responsive Strategic Sourcing for Services (RS3) and Product Lead (PL) Digital Market's Information Technology Enterprise Solutions – 3 Services (ITES-3S) IDIQ Contract Vehicles. The objective of the MAPS IDIQ contract is to provide Army customers, Portfolio Acquisition Executives (PAE), CPEs, Department of War (DoW) agencies, and other federal agencies with uncommon knowledge-based professional services and support the Army enterprise infrastructure and infostructure goals with information technology (IT) services worldwide (inside the contiguous United States (CONUS) and outside the contiguous United States (OCONUS)), including hostile areas. By combining these vehicles, the Army aims to enhance flexibility, reduce redundancy, and improve cost-efficiency in acquiring uncommon knowledge-based professional services. This integration will also ensure a unified approach in meeting the Army's evolving technology and strategic needs, thus optimizing mission readiness and effectiveness.

1.2 SCOPE

This Performance Work Statement (PWS) establishes the scope and requirements for contractor-provided support services for a diverse group of organizations that span the spectrum of mission areas for programs with knowledge-based service-related requirements.

1.2.1 TECHNICAL SUPPORT AREAS

The following technical support areas will be included in the scoring of this effort.

1. Engineering, Logistics and Operational Services
2. Research, Development, Test and Evaluation (RDT&E) Services
3. Management and Advisory Services
4. Emerging IT Services
5. Foundational IT Services

DRAFT

1.2.2 SERVICES NOT WITHIN SCOPE

The following services are not within scope of this contract. The Government will not issue any task order for which the predominant scope of the services is any of the following:

1. Inherently Governmental Functions as defined in FAR 2.101
2. Personal Services as defined in FAR 2.101
3. Architect & Engineering (A&E) Services as defined in FAR 2.101 and subject to the Brooks Architect- Engineers Act (40 U.S.C.1102)
4. Construction Services as defined in FAR 2.101

1.2.3 GOVERNMENT FURNISHED PROPERTY

Government Property is all property owned by the Government, including Government Furnished Property (GFP) and Contractor Acquired Property (CAP) (FAR 45.101).

GFP is property in the possession of or directly acquired by the Government and provided to a contractor for contract performance (FAR 45.101).

CAP is property acquired, fabricated, or otherwise provided by the contractor for contract performance and to which the Government has title (FAR 45.101).

Contractor Inventory is excess CAP, GFP, and any property the Government is obligated to take or has the option to take (applies to any type of contract) (45.101).

1.3 PERIOD OF PERFORMANCE

MAPS maximum ordering period may be 10 years, consisting of a five (5) year Base Ordering Period and one (1) five (5) year Optional Ordering Period.

1.4 GENERAL INFORMATION

1.4.2 RECOGNIZED HOLIDAYS

New Year's Day	Labor Day
Martin Luther King Jr.'s Birthday	Columbus Day
President's Day	Veteran's Day
Memorial Day	Juneteenth Day
Thanksgiving Day	Christmas Day
Independence Day	

Work at a Government site shall not take place on Federal holidays or weekends (but may require off-hour work due to network loading or other disruptions that could occur) unless directed by the Contracting Officer (KO) on individual task orders.

DRAFT

1.4.3 HOURS OF OPERATION

The contractor is responsible for conducting business during the hours required on each individual task order, except Federal holidays or when the Government facility is closed due to local or national emergencies, administrative closings, or similar Government directed facility closings. When hiring personnel, the contractor shall keep in mind that the stability and continuity of the workforce are essential. The contractor may also be required to support 24/7 operations 365 days per year as identified in individual task orders.

1.4.4 PLACE OF PERFORMANCE

The place of performance shall be identified in individual task orders. Locations will be Government or contractor sites within CONUS and/or OCONUS locations, including warzone areas. Locations may include Federal, State, or military data centers, and facilities, as defined in individual task orders.

1.4.5 TYPE OF CONTRACT

MAPS is a Multiple Award Indefinite Delivery Indefinite Quantity (MA IDIQ) contract. The types of services to be provided will be determined at the individual Task Order (TO) level. The base contract will be utilized with full and open competition with reserved awards for small businesses. TOs allowed under this contract are Fixed Price (FP), Time-and-Materials (T&M), and Cost Reimbursement (CR) with a hybrid of all types.

2.0 APPLICABLE DOCUMENTS

The contractor is responsible for all applicable documents to ensure compliance with Department of Defense (DOD) specifications and standards, applicable industry standards, or other required documentation shall be specified at the individual task order level.

3.0 TECHNICAL REQUIREMENTS

Technical requirements will be determined at task order level but will fall under one of the support areas identified below.

3.1 ENGINEERING, LOGISTICS AND OPERATIONAL SERVICES

Examples of service areas that are included under the Engineering, Logistics and Operational Services support area include, but are not limited to, the following:

Engineering Services: These services are primarily for applying physical laws and principles of engineering in the design, development, and utilization of machines, materials, instruments, structures, processes, and systems. These services may involve any of the following activities: provision of complex scientific detailed advice, preparation of feasibility studies, preparation of preliminary and final plans and designs, provision of technical services during the construction or installation phase, inspection and evaluation of engineering projects, and related services.

DRAFT

Logistics Services: These services are for operating advice and assistance to businesses and other organizations in: (1) operations improvement; (2) productivity improvement; (3) production planning and control; (4) quality assurance and quality control; (5) inventory management; (6) supply chain distribution networks; (7) warehouse operations, and utilization; (8) transportation and shipment of goods and materials; and (9) materials management and handling.

Manufacturing Readiness: These services can be used in general industry assessments, or for more specific applications in assessing capabilities of possible suppliers. These services can include effective communication and collaboration, continuous improvement, capacity planning, monitoring and production planning.

Medical Logistics: These services are for the process of planning, implementing, and controlling the flow of medical supplies, equipment, and information within the healthcare system.

Technology Insertion: These services refer to the introduction of new and/or improved hardware or software capabilities into an established operational system. It can help expand system capability, increase readiness, reduce life-cycle costs, and reduce the logistics footprint.

Integration: These services can be used for comprehensive analysis, design, and assessment of requirements, concepts, and resources for human factors engineering, manpower, personnel, training, safety and occupational health, force protection and survivability, and habitability.

Interoperability: These services can be used for the ability of different software components or systems to seamlessly exchange and use information. It involves ensuring that the software can integrate effectively with other systems, regardless of their operating platforms, programming languages, or data formats.

3.2 RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVALUATION (RDT&E) SERVICES

Research, Development, Test and Evaluation (RDT&E) Services include any services directed toward innovation, introduction, and improvement of products and processes.

Examples of service areas that are included under the RDT&E Services support area include, but are not limited to, the following:

Basic Research: These services include fundamental research, science-based investigation, is the aim of improving and resolving scientific theories for better understanding and prediction of natural or other phenomena. Basic research often fuels the technological innovations of applied science.

Applied Research: These services generate actionable insights and solutions that have a direct impact on practical situations. These services seek to bridge the gap between theory and practice by taking existing knowledge and applying it in real-world contexts. Applied research is driven

DRAFT

by the need to address specific challenges, make informed decisions, and drive innovation in various domains.

Experimental/Developmental Research: These services are used for systematic work, drawing on knowledge gained from research and practical experience and producing additional knowledge, which is directed to producing new products or processes or to improving existing products or processes.

Modeling and Simulation: These services are used for the process of developing and driving a model of a system with suitable inputs and observing the correspondingly outputs and the process of designing a model of a conceptual system and using it to conduct experiments for the purpose of understanding the performance of the system and/or evaluating alternative management strategies and decision-making processes using simulation results.

Prototyping and Fabrication Support: This involves creating early models of a product that simulate its design and functionality to test concepts, gather feedback, and iterate on the design before the final product is developed.

Exploratory Research: These services are a methodology approach that investigates research questions that have not previously been studied in depth. Services include reviewing existing research and publications, investigating questions, and studying experiences.

3.3 MANAGEMENT AND ADVISORY SERVICES

Examples of service areas that are included under the Management and Advisory Services support area include, but are not limited to, the following:

Acquisition and Strategic Planning: Defining an organization's direction and outlining a path toward a preferred future. It could involve creating specific business strategies, implementing them, and evaluating the results regarding the company's overall long-term goals or desires. Some other examples include risk management and mitigation, competition strategy, and developing a plan to acquire new systems or services.

Financial Services: Financial services encompass a broad range of service sector activities, especially as concerns financial management and finance. Some examples include accounting, budgeting, cost analysis and estimating, financial advice, financial and performance audits, and financial planning.

Training Services: Training services include any service for training, education, or exercise support. Some examples include customized business training, development of training documents, delivery of training and assessments, and mobile facility training.

Education Services: Services that provide instruction and training in various subjects.

DRAFT

Program Management: Services that relate to the overseeing of a group of individual projects linked together through a shared organizational goal or common area of impact.

Quality Assurance: Services related to systematic monitoring and evaluation of the various aspects of a project, service, or facility to ensure that standards of quality are being met.

Risk Management: Services related to identifying, assessing, and mitigating threats or uncertainties that can affect an organization. It involves analyzing the likelihood and impact of risks, developing strategies to minimize harm, and monitoring the effectiveness of measures.

3.4 EMERGING IT SERVICES

Examples of service areas that are included under the Emerging IT Services support area include, but are not limited to, the following:

Big Data and Big Data Analytics Data Services: The term "big data" refers to extremely large volumes of data that traditional processing methods may struggle to handle efficiently. Therefore, big data analytics is the process of examining large and complex datasets, commonly known as big data, to uncover valuable insights, patterns, trends, and correlations.

Quantum Computing: Emergent field of cutting-edge computer science harnessing the unique qualities of quantum mechanics to solve problems beyond the ability of even the most powerful classical computers. The field of quantum computing contains a range of disciplines, including quantum hardware and quantum algorithms.

Business Process Reengineering (BPR): Business process engineering (BPR) is a strategic management approach that is focused on fundamentally rethinking and redesigning core business processes to achieve significant improvements in performance and efficiency. BPR focuses on optimizing end-to-end processes and eliminating redundancies.

Network/Systems Operation and Maintenance Enterprise: Constitutes all the tasks and systems in place to monitor, update and run your organization's computer network before problems strike.

Integration and Consolidation Information Technology Services: Support the implementation and rollout of new network infrastructure, including consolidation of established network infrastructure. Activities may include hardware or software procurement, configuration, tuning, staging, installation and interoperability testing.

Cybersecurity Services: Professional products, processes, or solutions designed to safeguard information, devices, and networks from cyber threats. They protect organizations from unauthorized access, use, disruption, or destruction of sensitive data, systems, or applications.

3.5 FOUNDATIONAL IT SERVICES

DRAFT

Examples of service areas that are included under the Foundational IT Services support area include, but are not limited to, the following:

Help Desk Support: Serves as the frontline of customer support, connecting those seeking assistance with those who can provide guidance.

Independent Verification and Validation (IV&V): Structured and objective assessment process where an external entity evaluates a project, system, or process to ensure that it complies with requirements, standards, and best practices. It also identifies and mitigates potential risks or issues.

Information Technology (IT) Education and Training: Services that provide instruction and training in various subjects for information technology. Training services include any service for training, education, or exercise support.

IT Supply Chain Management: Supply chain management is the centralized management of the flow of goods and services. It includes all processes that transform raw materials into final products, such as shipping, production, and distribution of products, goods, and services.

IT Management Services: Activities performed by an organization to design, build, deliver, operate and control information technology (IT) services offered to customers. Planning, implementing, managing and optimizing information technology services to meet the needs of users and help organizations achieve their business goals.

IT Services: Information Technology Services (ITS) refer to a range of activities that involve the use of information technology to support, manage, and improve the operations of a business or organization. These services can include IT consultancy, hardware management, technical support, computer networking, and systems administration.

4.0 CONTRACT REQUIRMENTS

The contractor shall provide the services and documentation required by individual task orders pursuant to the general requirements specified herein. Contract types may include both firm-fixed-price and cost reimbursement and will be determined at the individual task order and contract line item (CLIN) levels.

4.1 MATERIAL, EQUIPMENT, AND FACILITIES

The requirements shall be defined in the individual task order which will contain the specificity of requirements including accountability, documentation and reporting and tracking requirements.

4.1.1 GOVERNMENT FURNISHED PROPERTY (GFP)

DRAFT

GFP which includes Government Furnished Material (GFM), Government Furnished Information (GFI), and Government Furnished Equipment (GFE) may be provided as defined in each individual task order.

4.1.2 GOVERNMENT FACILITIES

Government office or laboratory space may be made available for performance of specific task orders. The contractor may be required to establish operations and support facilities and warehouses within a government provided facility that complies with DOD and/or Department of State certification and accreditation requirements. Such facilities will be specified in the individual task orders.

4.1.3 CONTRACTOR ACQUIRED MATERIAL, PROPERTY, FACILITIES, AND LEASES

Individual task orders shall define contractor acquired/provided material, equipment, and facilities including any hardware and/or software required. The contractor may be required to purchase any hardware and/or software necessary to accomplish each task order. Any purchased material shall be in accordance with the current Government Property requirements and shall be accounted for and maintained pursuant to applicable clauses. Software integrity shall be maintained by the contractor within the licensing agreement of the producer until such software is delivered to the Government or otherwise disposed of in accordance with Government direction. Any purchased material shall become the property of the Government upon completion of the task order in accordance with the clauses in Section I of the contract and shall be accounted for and maintained pursuant to those clauses.

All leased equipment, property or other that is acquired by the contractor to support an individual task order shall be clearly defined and shall be established in the individual task order.

Only material, property, equipment, and/or facilities necessary to perform the services of the task order shall be acquired or leased. There shall be no production or limited production purchases under this contract. The contractor shall ensure that the applicable purchasing requirements are followed in accordance with DOD and local policy with regard to all purchases that are anticipated under this task order. Purchases and leases shall be reviewed and approved in advance by the authorized Government appointee (i.e. Contracting Officer, Contracting Officer Representative, or designee).

4.1.4 WARRANTY

Items acquired under task orders may require warranty protection. The type of warranty and extent of coverage will be determined for the individual task order.

4.1.5 EXPORT CONTROL

The contractor shall comply with all applicable laws and regulations regarding export-controlled information and technology and shall not use, distribute, transfer, or transmit technology (even if incorporated in products, software, or other information) except in compliance with such laws

DRAFT

and regulations. The contractor will plan for, obtain, and maintain any export licensing required in order to successfully perform the requirements of individual task orders.

4.2 ANTITERRORISM /OPERATIONALSECURITY (AT/OPSEC) REQUIREMENTS

Contractor personnel performing work under this contract shall have the appropriate security clearance as specified in each individual task order at time of the proposal submission and shall maintain the level of security required for the life of the contract.

4.2.1 AT LEVEL 1 TRAINING

This standard language is for contractor employees with an area of performance within an Army controlled installation, facility, or area. All contractor employees, to include subcontractor employees, requiring access to Army installations, facilities and controlled access areas shall complete AT Level 1 awareness training within 30 days after contract start date or effective date of incorporation of this requirement into the contract, whichever is applicable. The contractor shall submit certificates of completion for each affected contractor employee and subcontractor employee within 30 calendar days after completion of training by all employees and subcontractor personnel. AT Level 1 awareness training is available at the following website: http://jko.jten.mil

4.2.2 AT AWARNESS TRAINING FOR CONTRACTOR PERSONNEL TRAVELING OVERSEAS

U.S. based Contractor employees and associated sub-contractor employees shall receive Government provided area of responsibility (AOR) specific AT awareness training as directed by AR 525-13. Specific AOR training content is directed by the combatant commander with the unit ATO being the local point of contact. US based contractor employees and associated sub-contractor employees will submit an Isolated Personnel Report prior to deployment, in accordance with AR 525-28, Personnel Recovery. The contractor is required to fill out the survey on NIPRNET at https://prmsglobal.prms.af.mil/prmsconv/Profile/Survey/start.aspx prior to deployment.

4.2.3 ANTITERRORISM AWARENESS – iWATCH TRAINING

The contractor and all associated subcontractors shall brief all employees on the local iWATCH program (training standards provided by the requiring activity ATO). This local developed training will be used to inform employees of the types of behavior to watch for and instruct employees to report suspicious activity to the COR. This training shall be completed within 30 calendar days of contract award and within 30-calendar days of new employees commencing performance with the results reported to the COR NLT 30 calendar days after contract award.

4.2.4 FOR CONTRACTS THAT REQUIRE OPSEC TRAINING

Per AR 530-1 Operations Security, the contractor employees must complete Level I OPSEC Awareness training. New employees must be trained within 30 calendar days of their reporting for duty and annually thereafter. Level I OPSEC training is available at the following website: http://cdse.edu/ (Duration: 45 minutes).

DRAFT

4.2.5 ARMY TRAINING CERTIFICATION TRACKING SYSTEM (ATCTS)

All contractor employees with access or require access to a government info system must be registered in the ATCTS (Army Training Certification Tracking System) at commencement of services and must successfully complete the DOD Cybersecurity Awareness prior to access to the IS and then annually thereafter.

4.2.6 CYBERSECURITY/INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY (IT) TRAINING

All contractor employees and associated subcontractor employees must complete the DoD Cybersecurity awareness training before issuance of network access and annually thereafter. All contractor employees working Cybersecurity/IT functions must comply with DoD and Army training requirements in DoD 8140.01 and AR 25-2 within six months of appointment to Cybersecurity/IT functions.

4.2.7 FOR CYBERSECURITY/IT CERTIFICATION

Per DoD 8140.03 DFARS 252.239.7001 and AR 25-2, the contractor employees supporting Cybersecurity/IT functions shall be appropriately certified upon contract award. The baseline certification as stipulated in DoD 8140.03 must be completed upon contract award.

4.2.8 ACCESS AND GENERAL PROTECTION/SECURITY POLICY AND PROCEDURES

This standard language is for contractor employees with an area of performance within Army controlled installation, facility, or area. Contractor and all associated subcontractors' employees shall provide all information required for background checks to meet installation access requirements to be accomplished by installation Provost Marshal Office, Director of Emergency Services or Security Office. Contractor workforce must comply with all personal identity verification requirements (FAR clause 52.204-9, Personal Identity Verification of Contractor Personnel) as directed by DOD, Headquarter Department of the Army and/or local policy. In addition to the changes otherwise authorized by the changes clause of this contract, should the Force Protection Condition at any individual facility or installation change, the Government may require changes in contractor security matters or processes.

4.2.9 FOR CONTRACTS THAT REQUIRE HANDLING OR ACCESS TO CLASSIFIED INFORMATION

Contractor shall comply with FAR 52.204-2, Security Requirements. This clause involves access to information classified "Confidential," "Secret," or "Top Secret" and requires contractors to comply with— (1) The Security Agreement (DD Form 441), including the NIST Special Publication 800-88 "Guidelines for Media Sanitization", notice of which has been furnished to the contractor.

4.2.10 THREAT AWARNESS REPORTING PROGRAM

DRAFT

For all contractors with security clearances. Per AR 381-12 Threat Awareness and Reporting Program (TARP), contractor employees must receive annual TARP training by a CI agent or other trainer as specified in 2-4b.

4.2.11 CONTRACTORS REQUIRING COMMON ACCESS CARD (CAC)

Before CAC issuance, the contractor employee requires, at a minimum, a favorably adjudicated National Agency Check with Inquiries (NACI) or an equivalent or higher investigation in AR 525-28 favorable review of the Federal Bureau of Investigation fingerprint check and a successfully scheduled NACI at the Office of Personnel Management.

4.2.12 CONTRACTORS THAT DO NOT REQUIRE CAC, BUT REQUIRE ACCESS TO A DoD FACILITY OR INSTALLATION

Contractor and all associated sub-contractors employees shall comply with adjudication standards and procedures using the National Crime Information Center Interstate Identification Index and Terrorist Screening Database (Army Directive 2014-05/AR 190-13), applicable installation, facility and area commander installation/facility access and local security policies and procedures (provided by government representative), or, at OCONUS locations, in accordance with status of forces agreements and other theater regulations.

4.2.13 CONTRACTORS AUTHORIZED TO ACCOMPANY THE FORCE

DFARS Clause 252.225-7040 (DFARS Clause 252-225-7995 for CENTCOM), Contractor Personnel Authorized to Accompany U.S. Armed Forces Deployed. The clause shall be used in solicitations and contracts that authorize contractor personnel to accompany US Armed Forces deployed outside the US in contingency operations; humanitarian or peacekeeping operations; or other military operations or exercises, when designated by the combatant commander. The clause discusses the following AT/OPSEC related topics: required compliance with laws and regulations, pre-deployment requirements, required training (per combatant command guidance), and personnel data required.

4.2.14 CONTRACT REQUIRING PERFOMANCE OR DELIVERY IN A FOREIGN COUNTRY

DFARS Clause 252.225-7043, Antiterrorism/Force Protection for Defense Contractors Outside the US. The clause shall be used in solicitations and contracts that require performance or delivery in a foreign country. This clause applies to both contingencies and non-contingency support. The key AT requirement is for non-local national contractor personnel to comply with theater clearance requirements and allows the combatant commander to exercise oversight to ensure the contractor's compliance with combatant commander and subordinate task force commander policies and directives.

4.2.15 DD FORM 254

Overarching security requirements and contractor access to classified information shall be as specified in the basic DD Form 254, "Department of Defense Contract Security Classification Specification," which will be further identified in the DD Form 254 for each TO, as required. All

DRAFT

contractor personnel with access to unclassified information systems, including e-mail, shall have at a minimum a favorable National Agency Check (NAC).

4.2.16 VISITOR GROUP SECURITY AGREEMENT

The contractor may be required to sign a Contractor Visitor Group Security Agreement to protect classified information involved in performance under individual task orders. The Agreement will outline responsibilities in the following areas: Contractor security supervision; Standard Practice Procedures; access, accountability, storage, and transmission of classified material; marking requirements; security education; personnel security clearances; reports; security checks; security guidance; emergency protection; protection of Government resources; DD Forms 254; periodic security reviews; and other responsibilities, as required.

4.3 TRAVEL

Costs for transportation shall be based upon mileage rates, actual costs incurred, or a combination thereof, provided the method used results in a reasonable charge. Travel costs will be considered reasonable and allowable only to the extent that they do not exceed, on a daily basis, the maximum per diem rates in effect at the time of the travel. The Joint Federal Travel Regulations (JFTR), while not wholly applicable to contractors, shall provide the basis for the determination as to reasonable and allowable. Maximum use is to be made of the lowest available customary standard coach or equivalent airfare accommodation available during normal business hours. Necessary travel meeting the above requirements shall be reviewed and approved in advance by the authorized Government appointee (i.e. Contracting Officer, Contracting Officer Representative, or designee).

4.4 CONTRACTOR PROGRAM MANAGEMENT

Contractor employees performing services under this contract shall be controlled, directed and supervised at all times by management personnel of the contractor. The contractor's management shall ensure that employees properly comply with the performance standards outlined in this Performance Work Statement and as required by the contracting officer or the contracting officer's representative (COR). Contractor employees shall perform independent of and without the supervision of any Government official. Actions of contractor employees may not be interpreted or implemented in any manner that results in any contractor employee creating or modifying Federal policy, obligating the appropriated funds of the U.S. Government, overseeing the work of Federal employees, providing direct personal services to any Federal employee or otherwise violating the prohibitions set forth in Parts 7.5 and 37.1 of the Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR). The Government shall control access to the facility and shall perform the inspection and acceptance of completed work and tasks.

4.4.1 WORK CONTROL

All program requirements, contract actions and data interchange shall be conducted in a digital environment using electronic and web-based applications. At a minimum, such data shall be compatible with Microsoft Office 2019 or newer.

DRAFT

4.4.2 QUALITY CONTROL

The contractor shall implement and maintain a Quality Assurance System to ensure product integrity that meets or exceeds the requirements. These requirements are commonly accepted practices employed by industry both in national and international environments. The contractor shall identify specific military/commercial standards/procedures to be used or applied prior to implementing the system.

The Government shall evaluate the contractor's performance under this contract in accordance with the Quality Assurance Surveillance Plan (QASP). This plan is primarily focused on what the Government must do to ensure that the contractor has performed in accordance with the performance standards. It defines how performance standards will be applied, the frequency of surveillance, and the minimal acceptable defect rate(s).

4.4.2.1 DOCUMENT CONTROL

The contractor shall ensure that the latest revisions of drawings, specifications, work instructions, inspection/test instructions, and other documents required to satisfy the task order are utilized in production, inspection, and testing.

4.4.2.2 RECORDS

The contractor shall maintain records of all inspections and tests to demonstrate that the quality approach satisfies contract and task order requirements.

4.4.2.3 GOVERNMENT FURNISHED PROPERTY (GFP) MANAGEMENT

For all GFM received under the task orders issued under the contract, the contractor shall be responsible for conducting all necessary examinations, inspections, maintenance, and tests. The contractor shall be responsible for reporting all inspection results, maintenance actions, losses, and damage to the Government.

4.4.2.4 CONTINUIOUS PROCESS IMPROVEMENT

The contractor shall monitor the effectiveness of their quality system and continually improve quality processes.

4.5 PROGRAM MANAGEMENT REVIEWS (PMRs)

Designated contractor representatives shall participate in PMRs hosted by the Government in order to facilitate exchanges of information regarding the status of potential and awarded task orders, questions, and concerns regarding the program and contract.

4.6 ORGANIZATIONAL CONFLICT OF INTEREST (OCI) MITIGATION

All functions related to acquisition or strategic planning support services shall be on an advisory basis only.

DRAFT

Orders under this contract may require systems engineering, technical direction, specifications, work statements, and evaluations services. Therefore, some restrictions on future activities of the contractor may be required in accordance with FAR Subpart 9.5 and Clause H.9 of this contract, Organizational Conflict of Interest (OCI).

4.7 COMMON ACCESS CARD (CAC), SECURITY BADGES, AND CIVILIAN IDENTIFICATION (ID)

Contractor personnel may be required to have a valid Department of Defense (DoD) Common Access Card (CAC) if they are to be granted network access in performance of their duties. Contractor personnel shall return CACs to the sponsoring organization Security Officer when their employment as a DoD contract employee is terminated or the order is complete, whichever occurs first. Contractor personnel who do not require network access do not require a CAC; however, they may be required to obtain a security badge or civilian ID for physical access to Government sites.

The Contractor shall return any Government issued CACs, security badges, or civilian IDs to the COR or other designated security personnel.

5.0 CONTRACT DELIVERABLES

5.1 Service Contract Reporting (SCR)

The contractor shall report annually, by October 31, at https://www.sam.gov, on the services performed under this contract, including any first-tier subcontracts, during the preceding Government fiscal year (October 1 – September 30).

5.2 DATA DELIVERABLES

Technical data deliverables will be specified in individual task orders issued under the contract, either as CDRLs or as specified in the task order PWS. Data items ordered under individual task orders shall be prepared using standardized Data Item Descriptions (DID) listed in the DoD Acquisition Management System and Data Requirements Control List (AMSDL) current at the time of task order issuance. Deliverables may be required to be delivered as either hardcopy or electronic media or both as specified in the individual task order. Digital signatures and handwritten signatures on scanned documents may be acceptable.

5.3 PRODUCTS

All products will be delivered to the Government locations specified in the task order. Delivered products shall be accepted by authorized Government personnel via DD Form 250 or as specified in each individual task order. Inspection and acceptance will be specifically identified in each task order.

5.4 CONTRACT DATA REQUIREMETNS LIST (CDRL)

Deliverables may be incorporated through the attachment of a DD Form 1423 CDRL on individual task orders.

DRAFT

SECTION D – PACKAGING AND MARKING

Not applicable.

SECTION E – INSPECTION AND ACCEPTANCE

The following clauses will be added to Section E:

52.246-1

52.246-4

52.246-5

52.246-6

52.246-7

52.246-8

52.246-15

52.246-26

SECTION F – DELIVERIES OR PERFORMANCE

F.1 Period of Performance (PoP)

The PoP for this task order is as follows:

Base Period: 5 Years
Optional Ordering Period: 5 Years

There is no guarantee that the option will be exercised on any or all of the awarded contracts.

The PoP for orders will be determined for each order based on the requirements. The order RFP shall identify the PoP for the order.

F.2 Place of Performance

The place(s) of performance for the orders will be determined for each order based on the order requirements and may include Government sites and/or contractor sites. The place of performance may be Contiguous United States (CONUS), Outside the Contiguous United States (OCONUS), or a combination to support requirements throughout the world. The order RFP shall identify the place(s) of performance for the order.

SECTION G – CONTRACT ADMINISTRATION DATA

G.1 Reserved

G.2 Contractor Performance Assessment Reporting System (CPARS)

DRAFT

G.2.1 Order-Level CPARS

The IDIQ Contracting Officer shall not administer or evaluate Task Order performance. It is the sole responsibility of the Contracting Officer who administers the Task Order to evaluate each order exceeding the Simplified Acquisition Threshold (SAT) using the process and criteria in CPARS.

G.3 Mergers, Acquisitions, Novation's, and Change-of-Name Agreements

If a contractor merges, is acquired, or recognizes a successor in interest to Government contracts when Contractor assets are transferred; or, recognizes a change in a Contractor's name; or, executes novation agreements and change-of-name agreements by Government Contracting Officer other than the IDIQ Contracting Officer, the Contractor shall notify the IDIQ Contracting Officer and provide a copy of the novation or any other agreement that changes the status of the Contractor.

G.4 Invoicing Rates That Deviate from Proposed Rates (FEB 2025):

The following clause is applicable to any task order with a Cost Reimbursement Type CLIN. NOTE: When a resulting Cost Reimbursable Task Order is exempt from CPARS (i.e. basic, applied or advanced research), paragraphs G.4.2 and G.4.3 do not apply.

G.4.1. If in any performance period, the Contractor is projecting a cost overrun in excess of 10% of the proposed estimated cost for a particular labor rate for that period, which is determined to not be a result of Government direction and not attributable to Forward Pricing Rate Agreement (FPRA) changes, the projected cost overrun may trigger a Show Cause or Termination for Default subject to the Contracting Officer's discretion. Note: Contractors proposing at current FPRA rates and invoicing at current Provisional Billing rates will not trigger a Show Cause or Termination for Default.

G.4.2 If in performance of the Task Order, the Contractor incurs a cost overrun for a particular labor rate of greater than 5% and less than 10% during that Contract Performance Assessment Reporting System (CPARS) evaluation period, which is determined not to be a result of Government direction or Contractor Provisional Billing rates, the Contractor shall receive a Marginal rating for that period's CPARS cost element.

G.4.3 If in performance of the Task Order, the Contractor incurs a cost overrun greater than 10% during that CPARS evaluation period, which is determined not to be a result of Government direction or Contractor Provisional Billing rates, the Contractor shall receive an Unsatisfactory rating for that period's CPARS cost element.

G.4.4 In regard to cost overruns and estimated costs mentioned in paragraphs G.4.1 through G.4.3, the Government will compare actual cost of work performed to estimated cost at the end of each contract period. Contractors are cautioned that costs should be directly proportional to hours expended, and if in excess, may be considered a cost overrun by the Government.

G.4.5 Adjustment of Baseline Rates.

DRAFT

In the event of significant market condition changes or other unforeseen circumstances that materially impact the Contractor's ability to perform at the proposed rates, the Contractor and the Contracting Officer may mutually agree to establish a revised rate or rates as the new baseline. Such agreements/adjustments must be documented and will supersede the previously proposed rates for the applicable performance period. The Contractor must provide sufficient justification to support the revised rates. This adjustment mechanism shall not apply to cost overruns caused by the Contractor's mismanagement, inefficiency, or other factors attributable to the Contractor's performance.

SECTION H – SPECIAL CONTRACT REQUIREMENTS

H.1 Definitions

"Affiliates" are business concerns that are affiliates of each other if, directly or indirectly, either one controls or has the power to control the other, or another concern controls or has the power to control both.

"Division" is a separate business unit of a company representing a specific business function.

"Subsidiary" means an entity in which more than 50 percent of the entity is owned directly. By a parent corporation; or through another subsidiary of a parent corporation.

"Business Unit" means any segment of an organization, or an entire business organization that is not divided into segments.

"Joint Venture" (JV) and "Mentor-Protégé JV" as defined in 13 CFR Chapter I and by the United States Small Business Administration (SBA) (https://www.sba.gov/federal-contracting/contracting-assistance-programs/sba-mentor-protege-program).

"Populated JV" as defined in 13 CFR Chapter I, and in the context of this acquisition means the JV employs its own personnel to directly perform the labor on a contract that can act as a standalone operating company with its own payroll.

"Unpopulated JV" in the context of this acquisition means the JV does not have its own employees performing contract work, instead the employees of the partner companies perform the work, and they serve as a vehicle for the partner company to act as a collective prime contractor.

"Commercial-Sector Vendors" in the context of this acquisition means an entity that is not currently performing nor has performed, any contracts or subcontracts other than for "commercial services" as defined in FAR 2.101, with the United States Government within the last four (4) years prior to the final solicitation date and has never been subject to suspension, debarment by the United States Government, is not on the Excluded Parties List, and is registered in SAM.gov.

DRAFT

"Emerging Large Business" (aka Mid-Tier Businesses) in the context of this acquisition means an entity, to include parent and affiliates, that is not a small business under the applicable Small Business Administration size standard for the proposed Domain, has become other-than-small business within the last five (5) years from the final RFP solicitation date, and has an average annual revenue not exceeding $250M over the last five (5) years. The average shall include the revenue of the parent, affiliates, and any subsidiaries.

"Company" includes all of the above.

H.2 Minimum Guarantee

The minimum guarantee for this acquisition will be $100.00. The Government has no obligation to issue any orders except for the minimum guarantee of $100.00. The minimum guarantee will be satisfied by the first order, which is for attendance of two (2) individuals and participation in a Government hosted virtual Postaward meeting after award of the base contract.

H.3 Fee

The Government's objective is to negotiate a fee at a rate equal to or less than half the regulatory limits identified in 10 U.S.C. 3322(b) and adopted at Revolutionary FAR Overhaul (RFO) 15.404-9(c)(4). The maximum fee rates below apply to any and all Cost-Plus-Fixed-Fee (CPFF) Task Orders and/or Contract Line Item Numbers (CLIN). Contractors shall not propose fees for CPFF Task Order CLINs that exceed the maximum rates as follows:

Non-Experimental, Developmental, or Research Work	5%
Experimental, Developmental, or Research Work	7%

H.4 Ordering Procedures

H.4.1 In accordance with (IAW) RFO FAR 16.507, the Contracting Officer reserves the right to tailor procedures to each order and shall state the procedures in the order RFP.

H.4.2 Orders will be awarded IAW RFO FAR 16.507, DFARS 216.507 and FAR 52.216-19. Only Contracting Officers with delegation of procurement authority by the MAPS IDIQ Contracting Officer has the authority to award orders against this contract.

H.4.3 Contractors may choose whether or not to submit proposals in response to order RFPs at their discretion. Contractor shall propose IAW the RFP for each order.

H.4.4 In the event an order is restricted to Small Business IAW H.16, the RFP for the order shall indicate the restriction. Only Contractors eligible to compete as a Small Business at time of proposal submission to that order may submit a proposal in response to the order RFP. Prime Contract holders who are categorized as a Large Business or Commercial-Sector Vendor are not permitted to submit proposals in response to the restricted Small Business order RFPs.

DRAFT

H.4.5 If an order RFP does not indicate any restriction to Small Businesses, then any contract holder except Commercial-Sector Vendors within the solicited domain(s) may submit a proposal in response to the order RFP.

H.4.6 If order is determined to be for commercial services, as defined in FAR 2.101, the order will be in accordance with FAR Part 12. No commercial orders will be used to develop commercial capabilities. Any contract holder within the solicited domain(s) able to meet the order's RFP commercial requirements will be permitted to submit a proposal in response to the order RFP.

H.4.7 All costs associated with the preparation, presentation, and discussion of the Contractor's proposal in response to an order's RFP will be at the Contractor's sole and exclusive expense. Each Task Order will be funded by the ordering agency at the Task Order level.

H.5 On and Off Ramps

H.5.1 On-Ramps

The Government reserves the right to evaluate and conduct an on-ramp based on Government needs or priorities. The objective of an on-ramp is to maintain competitive sources for orders. Offerors who are not already contract holders may be eligible to become a contract holder; current contract holders shall not submit a proposal for a new contract during the on-ramp. The Contracting Officer may conduct market research to assess additional technologies and capabilities available in the open market. The Contracting Officer may also assess contractor performance and the amount of competition that is available. The Government reserves the right to on-ramp at any point during the life of the contract. To consider an on-ramp under the MAPS IDIQ the Government shall:

a. Release a notice of an on-ramp solicitation, and the on-ramp procedures will be publicized on SAM.gov;

b. The solicitation will identify the total approximate number of new contracts awards the Government intends to make, however the actual number of awards may depend on the number of quality proposals received;

c. The basis for award under the solicitation will be substantially the same as the original solicitation;

d. The terms and conditions of any contracts resulting from the solicitation will be materially identical to the current version of the existing contracts under the MAPS multiple award IDIQ vehicle;

e. The ordering period of any new contracts resulting from the solicitation will be coterminous with the ordering period of the existing contracts under the MAPS multiple award IDIQ vehicle; and,

DRAFT

f. If awarded a contract resulting from the solicitation, the new contractor will be eligible to submit a proposal in response to an order RFP with the same rights and obligations as any other contractor on the MAPS multiple award IDIQ vehicle.

H.5.2 Off-Ramps

The Government intends to maintain only the highest performing base contract holders through the Base and Optional Ordering Period. The objective of an off-ramp is to reduce the administrative burden and costs for the Government and contractors and to maintain a streamlined and efficient process on the contract. The Government reserves the unilateral right to off-ramp non-performing or non-responsive contractors at any time during the life of the contract.

Contract holders may be off-ramped from the MAPS IDIQ for any Domains for any of the following:

- One (1) or more contractor-induced cost overrun on an awarded Task Order under the MAPS IDIQ;
- Any "Marginal" or "Unsatisfactory" final CPARS ratings on an awarded Task Order under the MAPS IDIQ;
- The average Vacancy Rate on any Task Order (# of vacant position at the end of the last full year of performance of the Task Order/ # of positions proposed * 100) of 10% or more;
- The average Time to Fill Rate on any Task Order (Date of Offer Acceptance minus Date Vacancy Opened for each vacancy on the Task Order over the last full year of performance) is equal to or greater than 60 calendar days or more;
- This solicitation and resulting awards will be subject to DFARS 252.204-7025, Notice of Cybersecurity Maturity Model Certification Level Requirements. Any offeror who does not receive the required CMMC assessment within the required timeframes may be off-ramped;
- Not submitting deliverables addressed in their Task Order(s) Performance Work Statement (PWS).

Contractors who are off-ramped from their specific Domain shall not submit proposals or receive any further order awards under that Domain. However, any contractor off-ramped from their specific Domain shall continue performance, with the Contracting Officer's approval, of any existing order that was awarded prior to the off-ramp effective date including order option periods, if exercised. A Contractor with a base contract in more than one Domain can be off-ramped from one or multiple Domains, depending on the criteria identified above.

The Government reserves the right to use the following methods to off-ramp a contractor from any Domain in accordance with the following:

a. The Contracting Officer shall affect a no-cost settlement instead of issuing a termination notice if applicable; or,

DRAFT

b. The Contracting Officer shall implement a termination for convenience in accordance with FAR 52.249-2, 52.249-4, 52.249-6, or 52.249-8 as applicable.

H.6 One Contract Per Entity

The Government will award no more than one (1) contract per Domain to a single legal entity including any parent, subsidiary, or affiliate. This includes through review and approval of novations.

At any given time, a Contractor, including any parent, subsidiary, or affiliate, may not hold more than one (1) contract per Domain. This limitation applies regardless of changes in ownership or control.

This section does not prevent affiliated companies from participating in future orders. Any proposal submitted in response to a task order solicitation must be submitted in the portal through the account/contract of the Prime contract holder and, if an affiliate will be performing the work, the proposal should clearly identify that.

Only the prime contractor, as identified by the Company Commercial and Government Entity (CAGE) code on the base IDIQ contract, is authorized to submit proposals for and receive awards of any subsequent task orders. The CAGE code on all task order proposals and awards must match the CAGE code of the prime IDIQ contract holder. All payments and CPARS evaluations will be issued to the Prime contract holder.

H.7 Novation

Any transfer of this Contract, in whole or in part, by the contractor to another entity through merger, sale of assets, reorganization, or otherwise, shall not be binding upon the Government unless and until approved in writing by the Government through a novation agreement executed in accordance with FAR Part 42 and DFARS PGI Subpart 242.9
Novation is not automatic and will be considered only upon the Contractor's timely submission of a complete novation package and determination by the Government that such action is in the Government's interest. The Government reserves the right to approve or disapprove any requested novation at its sole discretion.

Contractors are cautioned that if a JV holds a MAPS base contract and that JV relationship dissolves, the MAPS contract does not automatically transfer to one (1) of the remaining partners. MAPS base contract holders may request the Government recognize a successor in interest via a novation agreement in accordance with FAR Part 42 and DFARS
DFARS PGI Subpart 242.9. Upon completion of a formal novation, the MAPS base contract will be subject to size status recertification in accordance with SBA regulations (13 CFR 121.404); the contract would thereafter acquire the size status of the official holder.

H.8 Intellectual Property

All intellectual property shall be reviewed and negotiated at the individual Task Order Level.

DRAFT

852
853 **H.9 Safety and Environmental Considerations**

854 Contractors shall comply with all applicable Federal, State, and Local safety, health and
855 environmental regulations.
856
857 **H.10 Subcontract Fees**

858 Allowable Fee on subcontractor labor is at the discretion of the Contracting Officer at the Task
859 Order level and inclusion of this Base Contract paragraph will be identified in the Task Order's
860 RFP.
861
862 If incorporated, Prime Contractor fee is prohibited from being applied to Subcontractor labor.
863 Prime Contractor Fee may be applied to cost elements such as Subcontractor-Handling and
864 General & Administrative (G&A).
865
866 **H.11 Contracting Officer's Representatives (COR)**

867 The Contracting Officer will appoint a COR from the requiring activity for each order. The COR
868 does not have the authority to award, agree to, or sign any contract, order, or modification or in
869 any way to obligate the payment of money by the Government. The COR does not have the
870 authority to take action that may affect contract or order schedules, funds, or scope. All
871 contractual agreements, commitments, or modifications that involve price, quantity, quality,
872 schedules or other terms and conditions of the contract or order shall be made by the Contracting
873 Officer in writing only.

874 **H.12 Orders Restricted to Small Businesses**

875 H.12.1 The Government will conduct market research for each individual order through the
876 issuance of a Request for Information (RFI) specific to the requirements of the order. When the
877 results of the market research indicate that two (2) or more capable Small Businesses intend to
878 propose, the orders may be restricted to Small Business. Further restriction to specific
879 socioeconomic categories based on market research may be done so at the Contracting Officer's
880 discretion.

881 H.12.2 The order RFP shall indicate if the order is restricted to Small Business.

882 H.12.3 Any proposals submitted for an order restricted to Small Businesses shall include the
883 following representation:

884 The Contractor represents that it [ ] is, [ ] is not a Small Business concern under NAICS 541330;
885 541611; 541715; 541512; or 541519 assigned to contract number TBD.

886 Representation is based on the Prime Contractor's status at time of proposal submission to the
887 specific Task Order. In the event a Small Business graduates into a Large Business after award,
888 that awardee will be considered a Large Business under the applicable Domain for Task Order

DRAFT

competitions. Further representation will be required when an order is restricted for a particular socioeconomic category.

H.13 Organizational Conflict of Interest (OCI)

H.13.1 For all contract and/or orders, Offerors shall identify and address all actual or potential OCI situations with itself, subcontractors, or any other Offeror, per FAR Subpart 9.5.

H.13.2 Awardees of orders for uncommon knowledge-based professional services may provide systems engineering, technical direction, specifications, work statements, and evaluation of services. Therefore, some restrictions on future activities of the awardee may be required IAW FAR Subpart 9.5.

H.13.3 Contractor personnel providing uncommon knowledge-based professional services shall be required to sign a non-disclosure agreement.

H.13.4 Award of an order on this acquisition may preclude the contractor from submitting proposals in response to solicitations or working on other Government contracts and/or orders, as either the prime or subcontractor. This restraint also applies to future contract and/or orders when employees have privileged information that may subject the contractor to OCI. The Government may require, with proposals for these orders, 1) A signed non-compete agreement for any new work on which contractor personnel may provide acquisition and strategic planning support services, or 2) an OCI mitigation plan outlining the contractors plan to avoid and/or mitigate any potential or current OCI issues. The non-compete agreement shall include a statement that the contractor, any subsidiary, joint venture or team member, or other affiliate does not currently, and shall not in the future, hold any Government contracts or task orders that create an OCI issue from providing acquisition and strategic planning support services under this effort. The OCI mitigation plan shall include the contractor's organizational structure and separation or firewalls of contractor employees performing this effort from the company's other operating groups, including access to databases and email.

H.13.5 If, during performance of contract or order, the contractor discovers actual or potential OCI with respect to this contract or any order under this contract, the contractor shall make immediate and full disclosure in writing to the Contracting Officer or OCO, as applicable. The disclosure shall include identification of the conflict, the way it arose, and a description of the action the contractor has taken to avoid, eliminate, or neutralize the conflict.

H.13.6 After any document for a contract or order have been released, even if only in draft form, contractors shall not communicate with anyone other than the Contracting Officer or Contract Specialist; this includes any requiring activity, or the designated COR or Technical Representatives. Contracting any other Government personnel other than the Contract Specialist or Contracting Officer identified may result in OCI and may result in an Offeror being excluded from competition for award.

H.14 Government Site Closures

H.14.1 All or part of a Government site may be closed in response to an unforeseen emergency. Such emergencies may include, but are not limited to, adverse weather such as snow or flood, a natural disaster such as tornado or earthquake, or a site disaster such as a gas leak or fire. Contractor personnel are non-essential personnel for purposes of any instructions regarding such emergencies.

H.14.2 Contractor personnel shall be officially dismissed upon notification of a Government site closure. Contractor personnel shall promptly secure all Government furnished property appropriately and evacuate in an expedient but safe manner.

H.14.3 Government Site Notifications Contractors shall follow instructions for non-essential personnel provided by local radio, television, official websites, and/or official Government site hotlines. Contractors may not receive any other form of notification of a Government site closure from the Government. If a decision to close all or part of a Government site is made during the duty day and the decision is transmitted through official notification channels, contractors shall follow the instructions provided.

H.14.4 The Government shall retain the following options, in regard to the requirements of an order under this contract:

- The Government may grant an extension for any order delayed by the closure equal to the time of the closure, subject to the availability of funds.
- The Government may forego work. Contractors shall not receive payment for any work not performed.
- The Government may reschedule the work on any day that is mutually satisfactory.
- The Government may, at its discretion, permit the contractor personnel to perform at an off-site location during the period of the Government site closure, if meaningful work can be accomplished. The contractor shall certify to the Government in writing within five (5) business days of returning to the Government site the nature and scope of the work completed off-site. If applicable, the contractor shall be permitted to bill the Government at the labor rates identified in the order.

H.15 Security Requirements

H.15.1 Access to Government Systems

In accordance with DoW Directive Number 7045.14, dated 21 January 25, 2013, contractors are not allowed access to any DoW system without explicit authorization of a relevant Government official based on a need-to-know basis. Individuals shall have the appropriate clearance for access to a particular system.

H.15.2 DD Form 254 (DD254)

The DD254 and/or additional specific security requirements shall be defined in each individual order as applicable.

H.16 APG Vendor Report

DRAFT

The APG Vendor Report requirements are at the discretion of the Contracting Officer at the Task Order level and inclusion of this Base Contract paragraph will be identified in the Task Order's RFP. The paragraph shall only apply to Task Orders with a CPFF-Term CLIN.

If incorporated, the Government will provide the necessary oversight, management, and review of cost and performance data submitted IAW the Performance and Cost Report, Monthly Status Report, and Status Report, Monthly In-Progress Review (IPR)) CDRLs addressed in the individual Task Order PWS. In addition to the formal reporting requirements, the Government will maintain appropriate oversight through informal technical interchange meetings with the contractor. Template and submission instructions shall be provided at the Task Order level.

H.17 ELECTRONIC COST REPORTING AND FINANCIAL TRACKING (eCRAFT) SYSTEM REPORTING**

The eCRAFT System Reporting requirements are at the discretion of the Contracting Officer at the Task Order level and inclusion of this Base Contract paragraph will be identified in the Task Order's RFP. If incorporated, the Contractor shall utilize the eCRAFT System, for Task Orders that contain Cost-Reimbursement type CLINs, to report all contract expenditures in accordance with the "Funds and Man-Hours Expenditure Report" (eCRAFT Vendor Report). Compliance with this requirement is a material requirement of this contract.

The Funds and Man-hours Expenditure Report reports contractor expenditures for labor, materials, travel, subcontractor usage, and other contract charges.

Access: eCRAFT: Reports are uploaded through the eCRAFT System Periodic Report Utility (ePRU). The ePRU spreadsheet and user manual can be obtained at: https://www.navsea.navy.mil/Home/Warfare-Centers/NUWC-Newport/Partnerships/Commercial-Contracts/Information-eCraft-/ under eCRAFT information. The link for eCRAFT report submission is: https://www.pdrep.csd.disa.mil/pdrepweb/ecraft.

If you have problems uploading reports, please see the ePRU User Guide at the site address above.

Submission and Acceptance/Rejection: The contractor shall submit their reports on the same day and for the same timeframe the contractor submits an invoice in WAWF. The amounts shall be the same. eCRAFT acceptance/rejection will be indicated by e-mail notification from eCRAFT. The vendor must submit an eCRAFT vendor report with no corresponding WAWF cost voucher submission when a credit payment is submitted directly to the Treasury outside of WAWF (e.g. Pay.gov website).

SECTION I – CONTRACT CLAUSES

TBD (Clauses will be added in ACWS)

SECTION J – LIST OF ATTACHMENTS

Attachment 0001 – Cover Letter Form

DRAFT

Attachment 0002 – Scorecard

Attachment 0003 – Qualifying Project Form

Attachment 0004 – Past Performance Questionnaire (PPQ) Form

SECTION K – REPRESENTATIONS, CERTIFICATIONS, AND OTHER STATEMENT OF BIDDERS

TBD

SECTION L – INSTRUCTIONS, CONDITIONS, AND NOTICE TO OFFERORS

L.1 GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS & COMPLIANCE

1. Proposal submissions will be made through the Digital Market Portal. Instructions for setting up user accounts are in Appendix A.

2. The Offeror shall prepare proposal as follows:

Document	File Name*	Notes
Volume I – Cover Letter	xxxxCOVERDDMMMYYYY.doc or .pdf	Attachment 0001
Volume II – Screening Questions and Scorecard	xxxxSCORECARDDDMMMYYYY.doc or .pdf	Attachment 0002
Volume III – Past Performance	xxxxDOMAINQP1DDMMMYYYY.doc or .pdf xxxxDOMAINQP2DDMMMYYYY.doc or .pdf xxxxDOMAINQP3DDMMMYYYY.doc or .pdf	Attachment 0003
Volume IV – Small Business Subcontracting Plan	xxxxSBSPLANDDMMMYYYY.doc or .pdf	Required only for Large Businesses

*Offerors shall replace "xxxx" with the Offerors name.
*Offerors shall replace "DDMMYYYY" with date, month and year of submission.
Example – "02APR2026"

3. Each volume shall be properly identified, numbered, clearly indexed, and logically assembled. Each volume shall also contain clearly identified sections, and all pages shall include the proper Attachments, be numbered and identified by the complete company name, date, and solicitation number in the header and/or footer. Any attachments and/or information that are submitted in the wrong volume will not be considered for award.

4. The entire proposal shall remain valid for a minimum of 360 calendar days from receipt by the Government. Proposals offering a shorter period will not be considered for award.

DRAFT

5. The proposal shall be clear, concise, and shall include sufficient detail for effective evaluation and for substantiating the validity of stated claims.

6. All information the Offeror intends to have considered shall be submitted with the initial proposal. The Government proposal evaluation will be limited to the information provided by the Offeror, and nothing will be assumed. Offerors are responsible for including sufficient details to permit a complete, thorough, and accurate evaluation of the submitted proposal.

7. Offerors may propose to multiple Domains, but proposals shall identify the Domain(s) in their proposal response. Offerors shall select which Domain(s) they are proposing to on their cover letter Attachment 0001. The five (5) Domains are:
    - Engineering, Logistics and Operational Services (NAICS code: 541330)
    - Management and Advisory Services (NAICS code: 541611)
    - Research Development Testing and Evaluation (RDT&E) Services (NAICS code: 541715)
    - Emerging IT Services (NAICS code: 541512)
    - Foundational IT Services (NACIS code: 541519)

8. Offerors proposing to multiple Domains must submit a separate proposal for each. The Cover Letter (Attachment 0001), Qualifying Projects (QP) (Attachment 0003), and (as applicable) Past Performance Questionnaire (PPQ) (Attachment 0004) can be reused for each Domain proposal submission, but the Scorecard (Attachment 0002) must be unique to each Domain.

9. Offerors shall submit all proposals as full packages via the Digital Market Portal. Offerors may resubmit proposals until the due date, but only the final, complete submission will be reviewed. A full package will consist of the following:
    - One (1) completed Attachment 0001 Cover Letter Form
    - One (1) completed Attachment 0002 Scorecard per Domain in which they are proposing to (and applicable supporting documentation for applicable business size(s))
    - Up to 15 Attachment 0003 Qualifying Projects (QP) and all applicable supporting documentation. Offerors can submit up to three (3) QPs per Domain. Each QP shall identify what Domain(s) it is being submitted for and shall be signed and certified by both the Offeror and Government/Customer POC.
    - Large Businesses shall submit one (1) Small Business Subcontracting Plan
    - If applicable, Attachment 0004 PPQ

    NOTE: Offerors must register on the Digital Market Portal before they are able to submit any proposals. Instructions for registration will be provided in Appendix A. Offerors shall

DRAFT

have one (1) primary person registered in Digital Market to submit proposals; Offerors will be allowed to list one (1) alternate person in case of emergencies.

10. The Offeror must select the appropriate Scorecard (Attachment 0002) corresponding to its business size for each domain for which a proposal being submitted. The Offeror shall consolidate the completed scorecard, and all required supporting documentation into a single PDF file.

11. All Attachments shall be submitted as a complete single PDF to include all supporting documentation.

12. Failure to comply with these instructions may result in the proposal being deemed noncompliant and removed from further consideration.

L.1.1 ONE CONTRACT PER OFFEROR

L.1.1.1 DEFINITIONS (Refer to section H.1)

L.1.1.2 COMPETITION

To foster full and open competition among MAPS base contract holders and to prevent diluting the competitive pool by allowing more than one (1) contract to be held by individual parts of the same corporate entity, only one (1) proposal may be submitted per Company for each Domain. (Refer to section H.6). This includes corporate entities proposing as a JV. Please refer to section L.1.1.3 for additional information.

In the event a Small Business graduates into a Large Business between the proposal submission due date and the award date, that Offeror will be awarded under the size status at the time of proposal submission in accordance with 13 C.F.R 121.404(b)(2).

Mentor-Protégé relationships shall provide verification of the SBA signed agreement to be included as part of Volume I Cover Letter.

L.1.1.3 JOINT VENTURES (JV)

Members of a Joint Venture must decide which –the Joint Venture or an individual partner – will submit a proposal for the opportunity to be a MAPS base contract holder for each Domain. Members of JVs can propose as a JV or a single offeror under each Domain, they cannot propose as both.

The JV or the individual partner(s) of the JV that will be performing the necessary security work shall have the Active Secret Facility Clearance.

Every member of the JV that processes, stores, or transmits data must hold their own CMMC certification. A JV can rely on a managing or mentoring partner's (mentor protégé JVs)

DRAFT

CMMC certification if the JV intends to use the managing partner's IT systems and enclaves to store or process all Federal Contract Information (FCI) or Controlled Unclassified Information (CUI).

The JV can rely on the managing or mentoring partner's (mentor protégé JVs) ISO/IEC 27001:2022 Certification.

Unpopulated JVs can rely on the managing or mentoring partner's (mentor protégé JVs) Government Determined Acceptable business systems.

Populated JVs must have their own Government Determined Acceptable business systems.

The JV agreement provided as part of Volume I- Cover Letter, should explicitly state and identify whose specific systems that the JV is operating under.

L.1.2 PROTEST AFTER AWARD

In the event of a protest affecting any awarded domain, all domains not impacted by the protest will proceed to award and commence performance.

L.2 PROPOSAL CONTENTS

All information the Offeror intends to have considered shall be submitted with the initial proposal. The initial proposal shall contain the best offer. Offerors are responsible for including sufficient information to permit a complete, thorough, and accurate evaluation of the submitted proposal. Proprietary information shall be clearly marked.

To be eligible for award, the Offeror must adhere to the directions and submit the following information:

L.2.1 VOLUME I – COVER LETTER (ATTACHMENT 0001)

Offerors shall submit Attachment 0001 "Cover Letter Form" that consists of the following information:

- Point of Contact for Proposal;
- Domain(s) Offeror is proposing to;
- Company Name;
- Business Size;
- CAGE Code as well as the Parent Company CAGE Codes;
- Affiliate/Subsidiary Information (if applicable);
- Unique Entity Identifier (UEI)
- Joint Venture/Mentor Protégé Information and copy of signed agreement (if applicable);
- Organizational Conflict of Interest (OCI); and,

DRAFT

- Firm-Fixed-Price (FFP) Submission

L.2.1.1 FIRM FIXED PRICE SUBMISSION

LARGE BUSINESSES, EMERGING LARGE BUSINESSES, SMALL BUSINESSES AND COMMERCIAL-SECTOR VENDORS:

The Government will conduct a price evaluation through a price analysis, specifically price reasonableness in accordance with FAR 15.404-1. Offerors will be required to submit a total FFP to attend a Government hosted virtual Postaward Conference. For pricing purposes, no more than two (2) people will be in attendance from each awardee's company, and the conference will be for one (1) hour. The two (2) personnel from the awardees company shall be the two (2) people who are authorized to submit proposal responses on behalf of the Offeror. The Offeror shall identify on Attachment 0001 "Cover Letter" the total FFP to attend the Postaward Conference. The total fixed price will be utilized for the purpose of the Government's award decision. All prices will be provided as FFP, therefore all proposed prices shall be inclusive of all direct costs (i.e., direct labor, material, travel, and other direct costs), associated indirect costs, and profit.

If any of the information on the Cover Letter does not match the Joint Venture or Mentor Protégé Agreement, the Government will utilize the information on the Agreement.

L.2.2 VOLUME II – SCORECARD (ATTACHMENT 0002)

Each Offeror shall calculate and submit a numerical self-score as part of its proposal Attachment 0002 for each Domain they are proposing to. Offerors shall provide detailed, verifiable, and substantiating information and documentation for all points claimed. Failure to provide clear substantiation for any claimed points may result in a Government downward adjusted Verified Score. The Offeror will need to choose the correct corresponding "Scorecard" for their business size (Large Business, Emerging Large Business, Small Business, or Commercial-Sector Vendor) for each Domain they are proposing to. Offerors shall compile all supporting documents and Scorecard as one (1) PDF to submit.

L.2.2.1 SECTION 01 – SCREENING QUESTIONS

Offerors will be required to complete Section 01 of the Scorecard, which will ensure the Offeror meets the Screening Questions in order for their proposal to be fully evaluated. If the Offeror answers "No" to any of the questions in Section 01 on the Scorecard, they will not be evaluated further for award.

Section 01 will be self-scored by the Offeror, and the Government will verify all supporting documentation, to validate the score submitted by the Offeror. The Government reserves the right to change any scores the Government cannot verify using the supporting documentation received. If the Government finds that any self-scored Screening Questions are false, the Government will not evaluate that proposal any further.

DRAFT

The Government will not be including CPARS Element "Regulatory Compliance" in the Screening Question CPARS evaluation. The Government will not be including CPARS Element "Small Business Subcontracting" in the Screening Question CPARS for Emerging Large Businesses, Small Businesses or Commercial-Sector Vendors.

Offerors shall only propose as one (1) entity per CAGE Code (refer to H.6). If an Offeror chooses to submit an affiliate or subsidiary QP, then that affiliate or subsidiary will also be evaluated against the Screening Questions and all supporting documentation for the affiliate or subsidiary is also required.

NOTE: All Screening Question Certifications shall be valid at the time of proposal submission.

The Screening Questions consists of the following:

1. **Large Businesses:**
    - Submission Requirements
        - Offerors shall adhere to all submission requirements per RFP Section L.1.
    - Active Secret Facility Clearance
        - Offerors shall submit documentation to demonstrate that they hold an active Secret Clearance. The Government will evaluate the documentation to ensure it is current and valid.
    - Certifications
        - ISO 9001:2015
            - Offerors shall submit documentation to demonstrate that they hold an active ISO 9001:2013 or active ISO 9001:2015 Certification. The Government will evaluate the documentation to ensure it is current and valid.
        - CMMC Final Level 2 (self) or higher
            - Offerors shall provide documentation to demonstrate their CMMC Final Level 2 (self) or higher CMMC Certification. *Documentation shall include a screenshot of your Supplier Performance Risk System (SPRS) certificate.
    - Government Determined Acceptable Accounting System
        - The Offeror shall provide verification from the Defense Contract Management Agency (DCMA), or any Cognizant Federal Agency (CFA), of Government determined acceptable accounting system in a single PDF file format to include:
            - Part 1 – Provide the UEI and CAGE Code of the Business Entity that is being credited and Point of Contact (POC) information that includes the name, address, phone number, and email of the representative at the Cognizant DCMA or CFA that determined acceptability.
            - Part 2 – Provide a copy of the Offeror's official accounting system audit report and/or official letterhead from DCMA or CFA

DRAFT

verifying the adequacy of the accounting system. The Offeror shall make reference to the page number and paragraph of the audit or letter that determined the adequacy of the accounting system.

- Government Determined Acceptable Purchasing System
  - The Offeror shall provide verification from DCMA, or any CFA, of Government determined acceptable purchasing system in a single PDF file format to include:
    - Part 1 – Provide the UEI and CAGE Code of the Business Entity that is being credited, and POC information that includes the name, address, phone number, and email of the representative at the Cognizant DCMA or CFA that determined approval.
    - Part 2 – Provide a copy of the Offeror's official Contractor Purchasing System Review (CPSR) report and/or official letterhead from DCMA or CFA verifying the adequacy of the purchasing system. The Offeror shall make reference to the page number and paragraph of the CPSR audit or letter that determined the acceptability of the purchasing system.
- CPARS Ratings
  - CPARS will be pulled for all five (5) NAICS codes under the acquisition over the last three (3) years for the last completed assessment (the date will be calculated by the final proposal submission due date identified in this RFP).
  - If 5% or more of the element ratings are MARGINAL or below, the Offeror will be excluded from evaluations.
  - If the Offeror has an evaluation area rating of "N/A" for a CPARS rating, the Government will exclude that element from the evaluation. This will not negatively impact an Offeror's score.
  - If an Offeror has no CPARS ratings, the Offeror will not be evaluated for this screening question.

2. **Emerging Large Businesses:**
   - Submission Requirements
     - Offerors shall adhere to all submission requirements per RFP Section L.1.
   - Emerging Large Business Confirmation
     - Offerors shall submit a copy of their SAM.gov update history showing the size standard change, or a formal size determination letter from the Small Business Administration.
     - Offerors shall submit audited financial statements over the last five (5) years or a CPA letter certifying their average annual receipts over the last five (5) years has not exceeded $250M.
   - Active Secret Facility Clearance

DRAFT

    - Offerors shall submit documentation to demonstrate that they hold an active Secret Clearance. The Government will evaluate the documentation to ensure it is current and valid.
- Certifications
    - ISO 9001:2015
        - Offerors shall submit documentation that they hold an active ISO 9001:2013 or active ISO 9001:2015 Certification. The Government will evaluate the documentation to ensure it is current and valid.
    - CMMC Final Level 2 (self) or higher
        - Offerors shall provide documentation proof of their CMMC Final Level 2 (self) or higher CMMC Certification. **Documentation shall include a screenshot of your Supplier Performance Risk System (SPRS) certificate.
- CPARS Ratings
    - CPARS will be pulled for all five (5) NAICS codes under the acquisition over the last three (3) years for the last completed assessment (the date will be calculated by the final proposal submission due date identified in this RFP).
    - If 10% or more of the element ratings are MARGINAL or below, the Offeror will be excluded from evaluations.
    - If the Offeror has an evaluation area rating of "N/A" for a CPARS rating, the Government will exclude that element from the evaluation. This will not negatively impact an Offeror's score.
    - If an Offeror has no CPARS ratings, the Offeror will not be evaluated for this screening question.

3. Small Businesses:

- Submission Requirements
    - Offerors shall adhere to all submission requirements per RFP Section L.1.
- Small Business Certification
    - Offerors shall submit documentation of their active Small Business Certification. The Government will evaluate the documentation to ensure it is accurate and up to date.
- Active Secret Facility Clearance
    - Offerors shall submit documentation that they hold an active Secret Clearance. The Government will evaluate the documentation to ensure it is accurate and up to date.
- Certifications
    - ISO 9001:2015
        - Offerors shall submit documentation that they hold an active ISO 9001:2013 OR ISO 9001:2015 Certification. The Government will evaluate the documentation to ensure it is accurate and up to date.

DRAFT

        - CMMC Level 2 or higher
            - Offerors shall provide documentation of your CMMC Final Level 2 (self) or higher CMMC Certification. *Documentation of CMMC Final Level 2 (Self) can include a screenshot of your Supplier Performance Risk System (SPRS) certificate.
    - CPARS Ratings
        - CPARS will be pulled for all five (5) NAICS codes under the acquisition over the last three (3) years for the last completed assessment (the date will be calculated by the final proposal submission due date identified in this RFP.)
        - If five (5) or more of the element ratings are MARGINAL or below the Offeror will be excluded from evaluations.
        - If the Offeror has an evaluation area rating of "N/A" for a CPARS rating, the Government will exclude that element from the evaluation. This will not negatively impact an Offeror's score.
        - If an Offeror has no CPARS ratings, the Offeror will not be evaluated for this screening question.

4. **Commercial-Sector Vendors:**
    - Submission Requirements
        - Offerors shall adhere to all submission requirements per RFP Section L.1.
    - Commercial-Sector Vendors definition – refer to Section H.1.
        - Offerors shall submit documentation of their SAM.gov registration.
    - Certifications
        - CMMC Level 2 or higher
            - Offerors shall provide documentation of your CMMC Final Level 2 (self) or higher CMMC Certification. **Documentation shall include a screenshot of your Supplier Performance Risk System (SPRS) certificate.

L.2.2.2 SECTION 02 – SCORECARD

Offerors will be required to complete Section 02 of the Scorecard, if they have passed through Section 01 – Screening Questions. Section 02 will be self-scored by the Offeror, and the Government will verify all supporting documentation, to validate the score submitted by the Offeror. The Government reserves the right to change any scores the Government cannot verify using the supporting documentation received.

Section 02 – Scorecard includes the following;

L.2.2.2.1 SYSTEMS AND CERTIFICATIONS

The Offeror shall submit the required documentation in accordance with the scorecard to show proof of holding specific systems, and/or certifications. Offerors shall compile all documents as one (1) PDF in accordance with the scorecard.

DRAFT

A. GOVERNMENT ACCEPTABLE SYSTEMS

If the Offeror has any of the following systems, they shall provide documentation to demonstrate that the systems have been reviewed and determined acceptable by the Government. Systems that have not been reviewed and approved by the Government will not be considered valid.

1. Large Businesses:

GOVERNMENT DETERMINED ACCEPTABLE PROPERTY MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

The Offeror shall provide current verification from DCMA, or any CFA of an audited and Government determined acceptable property management system in a single PDF file format to include the following information:

- Part 1 – Provide the UEI and CAGE Code of the Business Entity that is being credited, and the point of contact information that includes the name, address, phone number, and email of the representative at DCMA, or the CFA that determined approval.
- Part 2 – Provide a copy of the Offerors official Property Management System Review report, if available and/or official letterhead from DCMA or CFA verifying the acceptable determination of the property management system. The Offeror shall refer to the page number and paragraph of the audit or letter that determined the acceptability of the property management system.

2. Emerging Large Businesses:

GOVERNMENT DETERMINED ACCEPTABLE PROPERTY MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

The Offeror shall provide current verification from DCMA, or any CFA of an audited and Government determined acceptable property management system in a single PDF file format to include the following information:

- Part 1 – Provide the UEI and CAGE Code of the Business Entity that is being credited, and the point of contact information that includes the name, address, phone number, and email of the representative at DCMA, or the CFA that determined approval.
- Part 2 – Provide a copy of the Offerors official Property Management System Review report, if available and/or official letterhead from DCMA or CFA verifying the adequacy of the property management system. The Offeror shall

DRAFT

refer to the page number and paragraph of the audit or letter that determined the acceptability of the property management system.

GOVERNMENT DETERMINED ACCEPTABLE PURCHASING SYSTEM

The Offeror shall provide verification from DCMA, or any CFA, of Government determined acceptable purchasing system in a single PDF file format to include:

- Part 1 – Provide the UEI and CAGE Code of the Business Entity that is being credited, and POC information that includes the name, address, phone number, and email of the representative at the Cognizant DCMA or CFA that determined approval.
- Part 2 – Provide a copy of the Offeror's official CPSR report and/or official letterhead from DCMA or CFA verifying the adequacy of the purchasing system. The Offeror shall make reference to the page number and paragraph of the CPSR audit or letter that determined the acceptability of the purchasing system.

GOVERNMENT DETERMINED ACCEPTABLE ACCOUNTING SYSTEM

The Offeror shall provide verification from DCMA, or any CFA, of Government determined acceptable accounting system in a single PDF file format to include:

- Part 1 – Provide the UEI and CAGE Code of the Business Entity that is being credited and POC information that includes the name, address, phone number, and email of the representative at the Cognizant DCMA or CFA that determined acceptability.
- Part 2 – Provide a copy of the Offeror's official accounting system audit report and/or official letterhead from DCMA or CFA verifying the adequacy of the accounting system. The Offeror shall make reference to the page number and paragraph of the audit or letter that determined the adequacy of the accounting system.

3. Small Businesses:

GOVERNMENT DETERMINED ACCEPTABLE ACCOUNTING SYSTEM

The Offeror shall provide verification from DCMA, or any CFA, of Government determined acceptable accounting system in a single PDF file format to include:

- Part 1 – Provide the UEI and CAGE Code of the Business Entity that is being credited and POC information that includes the name, address, phone number, and email of the representative at the Cognizant DCMA or CFA that determined acceptability.

DRAFT

- Part 2 – Provide a copy of the Offeror's official accounting system audit report and/or official letterhead from DCMA or CFA verifying the adequacy of the accounting system. The Offeror shall make reference to the page number and paragraph of the audit or letter that determined the adequacy of the accounting system.

4. Commercial-Sector Vendors:

Not Applicable.

B. CERTIFICATIONS

If the Offeror has any of the following certifications, they shall provide documentation to demonstrate that the certifications have been reviewed and approved or have been determined acceptable by the Government. Certifications that have not been reviewed and approved by the Government will not be considered valid.

1. Large Businesses, Emerging Large Businesses, And Small Businesses:

TOP SECRECT FACILITY CLEARANCE

The Offeror shall provide verification of a current and active TOP SECRET facility clearance.

CAPABILITY MATURITY MODEL CERTIFICATION (CMMC) LEVEL 2 (C3PAO) OR HIGHER

The Offeror shall provide verification of either a current CMMC Conditional Level 2 Certification Certified Third-Party Assessment Organization (C3PAO), a current CMMC Final Level 2 (C3PAO), a current Conditional Level 3 Department of Defense Industrial Base Cybersecurity Assessment Center (DIBCAC), or a current Final Level 3 (DIBCAC). Verification requirements include a copy of the Offeror's official certification from an approved CMMC Third Party Assessment Organization (C3PAO). The Offeror shall provide POC information that includes the name of the certification body and name, address, phone number, and email address of the representative who provided the CMMC Certification.

If a CMMC Final Level 2 (C3PAO) or higher CMMC certification (current Conditional Level 3 Department of Defense Industrial Base Cybersecurity Assessment Center (DIBCAC), or a current Final Level 3 (DIBCAC)) has not been obtained, the Offeror shall provide documentation showing that a CP3AO CMMC Level 2 (C3PAO) review has been scheduled. Documentation shall include a

DRAFT

screenshot or an email pdf of the time, date, and identify the C3PAO party performing the assessment.

ISO/IEC 27001:2022

The Offeror shall provide verification of a current ISO/IEC 27001:2022 Certification. Verification requirements include a copy of the Offeror's official certification from an approved ISO/IEC 27001:2022 certification body. The Offeror shall provide POC information that includes the name of the certification body and name, address, phone number, and email address of the representative who provided the ISO/IEC 27001:2022 Certification.

2. Commercial-Sector Vendors:

If the Offeror has any of the following certifications, they shall provide documentation to demonstrate that the certifications have been reviewed and approved or have been determined acceptable by the Government. Certifications that have not been reviewed and approved by the Government will not be considered valid.

FACILITY CLEARANCE

The Offeror shall provide verification of a current and active SECRET facility clearance or shall provide verification of a current and active TOP SECRET facility clearance.

CAPABILITY MATURITY MODEL CERTIFICATION (CMMC) LEVEL 2 (C3PAO) OR HIGHER

The Offeror shall provide verification of either a current CMMC Conditional Level 2 Certification Certified Third-Party Assessment Organization (C3PAO), a current CMMC Final Level 2 (C3PAO), a current Conditional Level 3 Department of Defense Industrial Base Cybersecurity Assessment Center (DIBCAC), or a current Final Level 3 (DIBCAC). Verification requirements include a copy of the Offeror's official certification from an approved CMMC Third Party Assessment Organization (C3PAO). The Offeror shall provide POC information that includes the name of the certification body and name, address, phone number, and email address of the representative who provided the CMMC Certification.

If a CMMC Final Level 2 (C3PAO) or higher CMMC certification (current Conditional Level 3 Department of Defense Industrial Base Cybersecurity Assessment Center (DIBCAC), or a current Final Level 3 (DIBCAC)) has not been obtained, the Offeror shall provide documentation showing that a CP3AO CMMC Level 2 (C3PAO) review has been scheduled. Documentation shall include a

DRAFT

screenshot or an email pdf of the time, date, and identify the C3PAO party performing the assessment.

ISO 9001:2013 OR ISO 9001:2015

Offeror shall provide verification of a current ISO 9001:2015 or 9001:2013 Certification. Verification requirements include a copy of the Offeror's official certification from an approved ISO 9001:2015 or 9001:2013 certification body. The Offeror shall provide POC information that includes the name of the certification body and name, address, phone number, and email address of the representative who provided the ISO 9001:2015 or 9001:2013 Certification.

ISO/IEC 27001:2022

The Offeror shall provide verification of a current ISO/IEC 27001:2022 Certification. Verification requirements include a copy of the Offeror's official certification from an approved ISO/IEC 27001:2022 certification body. The Offeror shall provide POC information that includes the name of the certification body and name, address, phone number, and email address of the representative who provided the ISO/IEC 27001:2022 Certification.

L.2.3 VOLUME III – PAST PERFORMANCE

Large Businesses, Emerging Large Businesses, Small Businesses and Commercial-Sector Vendors:

L.2.3.1 DEFINITIONS – The following definitions are solely for the purposes of this solicitation:

"Level Of Effort (LOE) Qualifying Project (QP)" is defined as a Contract or Order that requires the contractor to provide a stated number of labor hours, using defined labor categories, or full-time equivalents over a defined period of time, with payment based primarily on the effort expended rather that the achievement of specific measurable outcomes. If a QP is one of the following contract types, it will be considered a "LOE QP": All Cost Reimbursement types (except for Cost Plus Fixed Fee (CPFF) Completion Form), Firm-Fixed-Price-LOE Term, Time and Materials (T&M), and Labor-Hour (LH).

"Outcome-Based QP" is defined as a Contract, Order, or Agreement that expresses the required results, definite goal or target, or performance outcomes/end-product to be achieved. Payment is based on achievement of defined measurable outcomes or results. If a QP is one of the following contract types, it will be considered a "Outcome-Based QP": All Fixed Price Types (except for Firm-Fixed-Price-LOE Term), CPFF Completion Form, Firm Fixed Price contract types except level of effort, Grants, and Agreements.

SPECIAL NOTES:

DRAFT

1. For any contract/order that is a hybrid of contract types between those as defined in the above terms, the QP shall be considered a LOE QP.

2. If the Offeror is using a subcontract for a QP submission, the Offeror shall use the Prime Contract's contract-type.

L.2.3.2 QP SUBMISSION

QPs will be used to evaluate Section 02 Scorecard - Past Performance. Offerors may submit a maximum of three (3) distinct QPs for each specific Domain they are proposing to. The Offeror may use QP's of their affiliates or subsidiaries. Offerors may use the same QP for more than one (1) Domain if applicable.

Offerors shall fully complete Attachment 0003 "Qualifying Project Form" for each QP and submit it as part of their proposal. The "Qualifying Project Form" shall be completed fully and accurately. The form requires two (2) signed certifications from both the offeror and the representative of the contracting party (either Government or Private). For government contracts, this representative shall be the contracting officer or their designated representative.

If the QP does not include the signed certification of the Government and/or Private Representative the QP will be deemed incomplete and will not be evaluated by the Government.

Offerors shall provide a copy of the PWS, statement of work, statement of objectives, and applicable technical guidance letter that is being referenced, and a copy of the Contract, Order, and/or Agreement with the Offeror's QP Submission as part of their supporting documentation.

To be considered a QP, each submitted project must meet all the following minimum criteria:

- Be any of the following (including Federal, State, and Local Government and/or Private)
  - A single contract – including prime or commercial; or
  - A single Task Order awarded under an IDIQ contract, Blanket Purchase Agreement (BPA), or Basic Ordering Agreement, including single or multiple award; or
  - A Task Order under a Federal Supply Schedule contract (FAR 8.405-2) or BPA (FAR 8.405-3); or
  - A subcontract (Only permissible for Small Business, Emerging Large Business and Commercial-Sector Vendor Offerors, this can include examples where the Prime Offeror performed as a subcontractor); or
  - Other Transactions Authority Agreements

DRAFT

- Meet or exceed a minimum total contract value of $2.5M;
- For Level of Effort (LOE) Based QPs shall have at least one (1) year of performance that is not over four (4) years old. The period of performance end date shall be within the last four (4) years prior to the final solicitation date;
- For Outcome Based QPs period of performance shall be completed. The period of performance end date shall be within the last four (4) years prior to the final solicitation date;
- The NAICS code of the QP must be one of the overarching NAICS codes (541330, 541611, 541715, 541512, and 541519) or a NAICS Code identified below. The QP does not have to match the exact NAICS code the Offeror is proposing to. *For example, Offeror A may submit a proposal to the Engineering, Logistics and Operational Domain (NAICS code: 541330) and utilize a QP that has a NAICS Code: 541715 (the RDT&E Domain).*

  NAICS Codes must align as follows for each Domain:
  - **ENGINEERING, LOGISTICS AND OPERATIONAL DOMAIN:** The QP must be one of the five (5) overarching NAICS Codes or 541614 or 541712.
  - **MANAGEMENT AND ADVISORY DOMAIN**: The QP must be one of the five (5) overarching NAICS Codes or 611430 or 541712.
  - **RDT&E DOMAIN:** The QP must be one of the five (5) overarching NAICS Codes or 541614 or 541712.
  - **EMERGING IT DOMAIN:** The QP must be one of the five (5) overarching NAICS Codes or 541511, 541712 or 518210.
  - **FOUNDATIONAL IT DOMAIN:** The QP must be one of the five (5) overarching NAICS Codes 541513, 541712 or 611420.
- For Offerors proposing QPs that do not have a NAICS code identified on their agreement, the NAICS code shall be identified on Attachment 0003 "Qualifying Project Form" and shall provide a brief description on how their QP aligns with that NAICS code; and
- QPs shall have signed certifications from both the offeror and the representative of the contracting party (either Government or Private). For government contracts, this representative shall be the contracting officer or their designated representative

Offerors shall only propose as one (1) entity per CAGE Code. If an Offeror chooses to submit an affiliate or subsidiary QP, then that affiliate or subsidiary then that affiliate or subsidiary will also be evaluated against the Screening Questions and all supporting documentation for the affiliate or subsidiary is also required.

L.2.3.3 QP SUBMISSION VACANCY RATE, TIME TO FILL RATE, SCHEDULE AND COMPLETENESS

As a part of the "Qualifying Project Form", Offerors shall properly select if the QP is "LOE QP" or "Outcome-Based QP" as defined in paragraph L.2.3.1.

DRAFT

If a proposed QP is identified as a LOE QP, the Offeror shall complete only the Vacancy Rate and Time to Fill Rate evaluation criteria on their applicable Attachment 0002 – Scorecard. Offerors shall not complete the Schedule and Completeness evaluation criteria for that specific QP.

If a proposed QP is identified as an Outcome-Based QP, the Offeror shall complete only the Schedule and Completeness evaluation criteria on their applicable Attachment 0002 – Scorecard. Offerors shall not complete the Vacancy Rate and Time to Fill Rate evaluation criteria for that specific QP.

L.2.4 VOLUME IV – SMALL BUSINESS SUBCONTRACTING PLAN

Large Businesses Only:

Offerors that are Large Business concerns for any NAICS within the proposed Domains shall provide a Small Business Subcontracting Plan in accordance with FAR 19.206.

SECTION M – EVALUATION FACTORS FOR AWARD

M.1 BASIS FOR AWARDS

The Government intends to make 70 awards per Domain (30 Large Businesses (15 reserved for Emerging Large Businesses), 25 Small Businesses and 15 Commercial-Sector Vendors in each) but reserves the right to upward or downward adjust the number of awards without limit. The Government intends to award to the Highest Rated Technical Offerors per RFO 15.103-3 in each Domain, consistent with the breakout above. The Government will not consider tradeoffs between cost or price and non-cost or non-price factors. The highest technically rated proposal will be selected for award if it offers a fair and reasonable price.

The Government intends to award contracts without discussion but reserves the right to conduct discussions at its discretion. Proposals must contain the best offer. The Government may conduct clarifications, as described in RFO 15.202(a)(2).

In the event there are fewer awardable Commercial-Sector Vendors to fill the desired spots, the Government may or may not reallocate the remaining spots. If it does, the allocation may be to any of the other Business Types at the Government's discretion.

In the event there are fewer awardable Small Businesses to fill the desired spots, the remaining spots will be allocated to Emerging Large Businesses.

In the event there are fewer awardable Emerging Large Businesses to fill the desired spots, the remaining spots will be allocated to Large Businesses.

In the event there are fewer awardable Large Businesses to fill the desired spots, the remaining spots will be allocated to Small Businesses.

DRAFT

To be considered eligible for award, an Offeror's proposal, at a minimum, shall follow all instructions in this RFP. If an Offeror's proposal is incomplete, the proposal shall not be evaluated further and will be ineligible for award.

M.2 OVERALL EVALUATION APPROACH

Phase 1: Initial Ranking Based on Self-Scores

After receiving all submissions, the Government will organize the proposals in order from highest self-score to the lowest self-score in each Domain.

Phase 2: Verification Review and Downward Adjustments

Starting with the highest self-score proposal, the Government will conduct a Verification Review. The Verification Review consists of reviewing all submitted supporting documentation to ensure the proposal submission is current, complete, accurate, and meets the criteria for the information requested in the Solicitation. An Offeror's submission of unsubstantiated and/or undocumented claims may result in the Government determining that the Offeror's proposed self-score is inaccurate. If this occurs, the Government may unilaterally downward adjust the Offeror's self-score, potentially down to zero (0) points, in accordance with the scoring rubric/parameters of the Scorecard. ***Offerors are cautioned that as a result of the Verification Review, the Government will not adjust any Offeror's self-score upwards.*** The Offeror's self-score is the maximum possible score, subject to downward adjustments as a result of the Verification Review. The Government's Verification Review will result in the Offeror's Verified Score, which is either equal to or less than the Offeror's self-score.

At any time, if the evaluation team discovers misleading, falsified or fraudulent proposal information, the Offeror shall be eliminated from further consideration for award.

Once the Government has the highest 70 Verified Scores per Domain broken out as follows: top 30 Large Businesses (15 reserved for Emerging Large Businesses), top 25 Small Businesses, and top 15 Commercial-Sector Vendors per Domain, further evaluation of all remaining proposals shall cease. The price of the highest technically rated proposals will then be evaluated to determine if it is fair and reasonable in accordance with RFO Subpart 15.4. If the price is determined to be fair and reasonable, the Government will proceed with pre-award contract clearance and responsibility procedures for all Preliminary Prospective Awardees.

Rolling Evaluation Procedure

If, during the Verification Review, an Offeror's score is reduced, that proposal will be re-organized in accordance with Phase 1 procedures. This iterative process of evaluation, verification, and potential replacement will continue until the highest 70 Verified Scores per Domain are broken out as follows: top 30 Large Businesses (15 reserved for Emerging Large Businesses), top 25 Small Businesses, and top 15 Commercial-Sector Vendors are identified. Offerors are advised that the Government will only evaluate the number of proposals necessary to establish the final group of the top 70 highest Verified Scores per Domain. Proposals falling outside of this progressively established group of highest Verified Scores may not be evaluated.

DRAFT

TIE BREAKER – LARGE, EMERGING LARGE AND SMALL BUSINESSES:

In the event of a tie between Large, Emerging Large, and Small Businesses, the Government will look at the percentage of those tied Offeror's CPARS/submitted PPQ element ratings over the last three (3) years, for the five (5) NAICS codes under this acquisition, that are rated as Exceptional. The date will be calculated by the final proposal submission due date identified in this RFP. The Offeror that has a higher percentage of Exceptional ratings will win the tie.

If this review does not resolve the tie, the Government will then invoke a second tie breaker. The Government will look at the percentage of those tied Offerors' CPARS/submitted PPQ element ratings over the last three (3) years for the five (5) NAICS codes under this acquisition that are rated as Very Good. The date will be calculated by the final proposal submission due date identified in this RFP. The Offeror that has a higher percentage of Very Good ratings will win the tie.

TIE BREAKER - COMMERCIAL-SECTOR VENDORS:

In the event of a tie between Commercial-Sector Vendors, the Government will look at the percentage of those tied Offeror's submitted PPQ element ratings for the three (3) submitted QPs that are rated as Exceptional. The Offeror that has a higher percentage of Exceptional ratings will win the tie.

If this review does not resolve the tie, the Government will then invoke a second tie breaker. The Government will look at the percentage of those tied Offerors' submitted PPQ element ratings for the three (3) submitted QPs that are rated as Very Good. The Offeror that has a higher percentage of Very Good ratings will win the tie.

If this review does not resolve the tie, the Government will then invoke a third tie breaker. The Government will calculate the mean average of the total points for Passthrough rate for all submitted QPs. The Offeror that has the highest average total points will win the tie.

Phase 3: Preliminary Prospective Awardees

The Government will proceed with pre-award contract clearance and responsibility procedures for all Preliminary Prospective Awardees. The Government will use the DORA Contractor Responsibility bot as defined in AFARS Subpart 5109.103 to determine the responsibility of each prospective awardee within each Domain. For Large Businesses, the Government will also review Small Business Subcontracting Plans for acceptability in accordance with RFO 19.206.

Rolling Evaluation Procedure

If any Preliminary Prospective Awardee is found ineligible for award for any reason, including the pre-award contract clearance and responsibility procedures, they will be removed from the competition. Subsequently, the next highest Verified Score in that domain and reserve will move up in rank and become a Preliminary Prospective Awardee subject to the pre-award contract clearance and responsibility procedures.

Phase 4: Final Awardees

DRAFT

Once the Government has 70 Highest Verified Scores for per Domain broken out as follows: top 30 Large Businesses (15 reserved for Emerging Large Businesses), top 25 Small Businesses, and top 15 Commercial-Sector Vendors from Offerors that are eligible for awards, they will be identified as the Awardees.

The Government anticipates 70 awards per Domain broken out as follows: top 30 Large Businesses (15 reserved for Emerging Large Businesses), top 25 Small Businesses, and top 15 Commercial-Sector Vendors but reserves the right to upward or downward adjust the number of awards without limit.

M.3 EVALUATION OF SCREENING QUESTIONS

Evaluation of Screening Questions will be evaluated on a Pass/Fail basis in accordance with Section L.2.2.1. If the Offeror answers "no" to any of the applicable business size Screening Questions, per Domain, or the Government cannot verify and validate the information the Offeror provided the Offeror will Fail the Screening Questions and not be evaluated further.

The Government will not be including CPARS Element "Regulatory Compliance" in the Screening Question CPARS evaluation. The Government will not be including CPARS Element "Small Business Subcontracting" in the Screening Question CPARS for Emerging Large Businesses, Small Businesses or Commercial-Sector Vendors.

NOTE: All Screening Question Certifications shall be valid at the time of proposal.

The Screening Questions consist of the following:

1. **Large Businesses:**
   - Submission Requirements
   - Active Secret Facility Clearance
   - Certifications
     - ISO 9001:2015 or ISO 9001:2013
     - CMMC Final Level 2 (self) or higher
   - Government Determined Acceptable Accounting System
   - Government Determined Acceptable Purchasing System
   - CPARS Ratings

2. **Emerging Large Businesses:**
   - Submission Requirements
   - Emerging Large Business Confirmation
   - Active Secret Facility Clearance
   - Certifications
     - ISO 9001:2015 or ISO 9001:2013
     - CMMC Final Level 2 (self) or higher
   - CPARS Ratings

DRAFT

3. **Small Businesses:**
    - Submission Requirements
    - Small Business Certification
    - Active Secret Facility Clearance
    - Certifications
        - ISO 9001:2015 or ISO 9001:2013
        - CMMC Level 2 or higher
    - CPARS Ratings

4. **Commercial-Sector Vendors:**
    - Submission Requirements
    - Commercial-Sector Vendors Confirmation
    - Certifications
        - CMMC Level 2 or higher

M.4 EVALUATION OF SYSTEMS AND CERTIFICATIONS

M.4.1 SYSTEMS

The Offeror will receive points per Government determined acceptable systems and Small Business Offerors will receive additional points if they are NOT proposing as a JV. These Government determined acceptable systems, outside of the required Attachment 0002 Section 01 Screening Questions include:

Large Businesses:

- 2,500 points if the Offeror has a Government Determined Acceptable Property Management System.

The maximum points available is 2,500.

Emerging Large Businesses:

- 2,000 points if the Offeror has a Government Determined Acceptable Property Management System.
- 2,500 points if the Offeror has a Government Determined Acceptable Purchasing System.
- 3,500 points if the Offeror has a Government Determined Acceptable Accounting System

The maximum points available is 8,000.

Small Businesses:

- 3,000 points if the Offeror has a Government Determined Acceptable Accounting System
- 2,000 if the Offeror is NOT proposing as a JV

DRAFT

The maximum points available is 5,000.

Commercial-Sector Vendors: Not applicable.

In order to earn points for Systems, the Offeror must ensure all the documentation requested is current, accurate, and complete in accordance with Section L.2.2.2.

M.4.2 CERTIFICATIONS

The Offeror will receive points per active certification, outside of the required Attachment 0002 Section 01 Screening Questions Certifications to include:

Large Businesses:

- 3,500 points if the Offeror has Top Secret Facility Clearance
- CMMC:
  - 2,000 points if the Offeror has a scheduled Conditional or scheduled Final CMMC Level 2 (C3PAO) Certification (without an approved conditional in place); or,
  - 2,500 points if the Offeror has an active and approved Conditional CMMC Level 2 (C3PAO); or,
  - 3,500 points if the Offeror has an active and approved Final CMMC Level 2 (C3PAO) or higher Certification.
- 3,500 points if the Offeror has an active and approved ISO/IEC 27001:2022 Certification.

The maximum points available is 10,500.

Emerging Large Businesses:

- 1,000 points if the Offeror has Top Secret Facility Clearance
- CMMC:
  - 1,000 points if the Offeror has a scheduled Conditional or scheduled Final CMMC Level 2 (C3PAO) Certification (without an approved conditional in place); or,
  - 2,000 points if the Offeror has an active and approved Conditional CMMC Level 2 (C3PAO); or,
  - 3,000 points if the Offeror has an active and approved Final CMMC Level 2 (C3PAO) or higher Certification.
- 1,000 points if the Offeror has an active and approved ISO/IEC 27001:2022 Certification.

The maximum points available is 5,000.

Small Businesses:

- 2,500 points if the Offeror has Top Secret Facility Clearance
- CMMC:

DRAFT

- 1,000 points if the Offeror has a scheduled Conditional or scheduled Final CMMC Level 2 (C3PAO) Certification (without an approved conditional in place); or,
- 2,000 points if the Offeror has an active and approved Conditional CMMC Level 2 (C3PAO); or,
- 3,000 points if the Offeror has an active and approved Final CMMC Level 2 (C3PAO) or higher Certification.

- 2,500 points if the Offeror has an active and approved ISO/IEC 27001:2022 Certification.

The maximum points available is 8,000.

Commercial-Sector Vendors:

- Facility Clearance:
  - 1,500 points if the Offeror has Secret Facility Clearance; or,
  - 2,500 points if the Offeror has Top Secret Facility Clearance
- CMMC:
  - 2,000 points if the Offeror has a scheduled Conditional or scheduled Final CMMC Level 2 (C3PAO) Certification (without an approved conditional in place); or,
  - 2,500 points if the Offeror has an active and approved Conditional CMMC Level 2 (C3PAO); or,
  - 3,500 points if the Offeror has an active and approved Final CMMC Level 2 (C3PAO) or higher Certification.
- 3,500 points if the Offeror has an active and approved ISO 9001:2015 or ISO 9001:2013
- 3,500 points if the Offeror has an active and approved ISO/IEC 27001:2022 Certification

The maximum points available is 13,000.

In order to earn points, the Offeror must ensure all the documentation requested is current, accurate, and complete in accordance with Section L.2.2.2.

M.5 EVALUATION OF PAST PERFORMANCE

The Government will evaluate the Offeror's QPs for the following Past Performance factors:

Large Businesses, Emerging Large Businesses and Small Businesses:

Recency, Relevancy, NAICS Alignment, Performance Quality, Dollar Value, Vacancy Rate, Time to Fill Rate, and Passthrough Rate for each Domain Specific NAICS the Offeror is proposing to.

Commercial-Sector Vendors:

Recency, Relevancy, NAICS Alignment, Performance Quality, Dollar Value, Passthrough Rate, Schedule, and Completeness for each Domain Specific NAICS the Offeror is proposing to.

DRAFT

In order for the Offeror to earn points for each of the Past Performance areas, the Offeror must submit Attachment 0003 Qualifying Project in accordance with Section L.2.3.1. The Offeror may submit a **maximum of three (3)** QP per Domain proposed.

M.5.1 RECENCY:

Large Businesses, Emerging Large Businesses, Small Businesses, and Commercial-Sector Vendors:

LOE QP:
The Government will evaluate each LOE QP to ensure it has at least one (1) year of completed performance that has occurred within the last four (4) years prior to the final solicitation release date. Each LOE QP will be scored as follows:

- Offerors will earn 1,000 points per LOE QP that has at least one (1) year of completed performance that has occurred within the last two (2) years prior to the final solicitation release date.

Outcome-Based QP:
The Government will evaluate each Outcome-Based QP to ensure the project is complete and that it has occurred within the last four (4) years prior to the final solicitation release date. If the Outcome-Based QP is not recent, and completed, the QP will not be evaluated further. Each Outcome-Based QP will be scored as follows:

- Offerors will earn 1,000 points per QP that has a Period of Performance end date within two (2) years prior to the final solicitation release date.

The maximum points available is 3,000.

M.5.2 RELEVANCE:

Large Businesses, Emerging Large Businesses, Small Businesses, and Commercial-Sector Vendors:
The Government will evaluate each QP submitted by the Offeror to determine its relevance to the Technical Capabilities identified for each Domain in the Performance Work Statement (PWS).

TECHNICAL CAPABILITIES PER DOMAINS

Engineering, Logistics and Operational Domain (NAICS code: 541330)

The Technical Capabilities under the Engineering, Logistics and Operational Services Domain are as follows:

1. Engineering Services
2. Logistics Services
3. Manufacturing Readiness
4. Medical Logistics
5. Technology Insertion
6. Integration
7. Interoperability

Management and Advisory Domain (NAICS code: 541611)

The Technical Capabilities under the Management and Advisory Services Domain are as follows:

1. Acquisition and Strategic Planning
2. Financial Services
3. Training Services
4. Education Services
5. Program Management
6. Quality Assurance
7. Risk Management

RDT&E Domain (NAICS code: 541715)

The Technical Capabilities under the RDT&E Services Domain are as follows:

1. Basic Research
2. Applied Research
3. Experimental/Developmental Research
4. Modeling and Simulation
5. Prototyping and Fabrication Support
6. Exploratory Research

Emerging IT Domain (NAICS code: 541512)

The Technical Capabilities under the Emerging IT Services Domain are as follows:

1. Big Data and Big Data Analytics Data Services
2. Quantum Computing
3. Business Process Reengineering (BPR)
4. Network/Systems Operation and Maintenance Enterprise
5. Integration and Consolidation Information Technology Services
6. Cybersecurity Services

Foundational IT Domain (NAICS code: 541519)

The Technical Capabilities under the Foundational IT Services Domain are as

DRAFT

follows:

1. Help Desk Support
2. Independent Verification and Validation (IV&V)
3. IT Education and Training
4. IT Supply Chain Management
5. IT Management Services
6. IT Services

Each QP will be scored based on the following:

- Offerors will earn 7,000 points per QP that meets 100.00% of the Technical Capabilities under the Domain they are proposing.
- Offerors will earn 5,000 points per QP that meets 75.00-99.99% of the Technical Capabilities under the Domain they are proposing.
- Offerors will earn 2,500 points per QP that meets 50.00-74.99% of the Technical Capabilities under the Domain they are proposing.
- Offerors will earn 1,000 points per QP that meets 25.00-49.99% of the Technical Capabilities under the Domain they are proposing.
- Offerors will earn zero (0) points per QP that meets 0.00-24.99% of the Technical Capabilities under the Domain they are proposing.

The maximum points available is 21,000.

M.5.3 NAICS ALIGNMENT:

Large Businesses, Emerging Large Businesses, Small Businesses, and Commercial-Sector Vendors:
The Government will evaluate each of the Offeror's QPs to determine if the QP aligns with the Domain Specific NAICS. Each QP will be scored as follows:

- Offerors will receive 1,000 points per QP that is determined to align with the Domain Specific NAICS.

The maximum points available is 3,000.

M.5.4 PERFORMANCE QUALITY:

Large Businesses, Emerging Large Businesses, Small Businesses, and Commercial-Sector Vendors:
The Government will evaluate the QPs to see how many of the CPARS or submitted PPQ elements have Satisfactory or above ratings for the latest finalized completed CPARS of their submitted QPs. Offerors will receive points based on how many of their submitted QPs have all evaluation areas of the CPARS (Quality, Schedule, Cost

DRAFT

Control, Management, and Small Business Subcontracting) or PPQ rated as follows:

- The Offeror will receive 10,000 points per QP with Exceptional PP Rating in all CPARS/PPQ elements.
- The Offeror will receive 7,500 points per QP with a Very Good (or combination of Very Good and higher) PP Rating in all CPARS/PPQ elements.
- The Offeror will receive 4,500 points per QP with a Satisfactory (or a combination of Satisfactory and higher) PP Rating in all CPARS/PPQ elements.
- The Offeror will receive 1,000 points per QP with a neutral PP Rating in all CPARS/PPQ elements.
- The Offeror will receive zero (0) points per QP with a rating of Marginal (or below) Past Performance (PP) Rating in any CPARS/PPQ elements.

The maximum points available is 30,000.

When a rating on any element for the QP is "Unsatisfactory", the overall rating will be "Unsatisfactory" for the entire QP, and no additional points will be awarded for that QP.

If the Offeror has an evaluation area rating of "N/A" the Government will exclude that element from the evaluation. This will not negatively impact an Offeror's score.

Any non-relevant QP will not be evaluated for Performance Quality. A non-relevant project is defined by less than 25.00%.

If the Offeror has CPARS, the Government will utilize CPARS. If no CPARS are available, then the Government will utilize the PPQ (Attachment 0004) submitted by the Offeror.

M.5.5 DOLLAR VALUE: The Government will evaluate each of the Offeror's QPs to determine if the QP aligns with the minimum required dollar value of $2.5M. If the QP is determined to exceed the minimum requirement of $2.5M, the Offeror will receive points per QP. Each QP will be scored as follows:

Large Businesses:

- The Offeror will receive 4,000 points per QP if their total contract value is greater than $50M.
- The Offeror will receive 2,000 points per QP if their total contract value is greater than $25M to $50M.
- The Offeror will receive 1,000 points per QP if their total contract value is

DRAFT

greater than $2.5M to $25M.

Emerging Large Businesses:

- The Offeror will receive 4,000 points per QP if their total contract value is greater than $25M.
- The Offeror will receive 2,000 points per QP if their total contract value is greater than $10M to $25M.
- The Offeror will receive 1,000 points per QP if their total contract value is greater than $2.5M to $10M.

Small Businesses and Commercial-Sector Vendors:

- The Offeror will receive 4,000 points per QP if their total contract value is greater than $10M.
- The Offeror will receive 2,000 points per QP if their total contract value is greater than $5M to $10M.
- The Offeror will receive 1,000 points per QP if their total contract value is greater than $2.5M to $5M.

The maximum points available is 12,000.

M.5.6 PASSTHROUGH RATE:

Large Businesses, Emerging Large Businesses, Small Businesses, and Commercial-Sector Vendors:

The Government will evaluate each of the Offeror's QPs to determine the passthrough rate.

The passthrough rate will be calculated by the Total Incurred Subcontractor Labor Dollars divided by the Total Incurred Labor Dollars for each QP. Labor Dollars shall be fully burdened.
*(Total Incurred Subcontractor Labor Dollars/Total Incurred Labor Dollars)*100 = Subcontracted Amount*

Each QP will be scored as follows:

- Offerors will receive 6,000 points per QP if the Subcontractor performed between 0.00% to 30.00% of the work.
- Offerors will receive 2,500 points per QP if the Subcontractor performed greater than 30.00% but less than/or equal to 50.00% of the work.
- Offerors will receive 1,000 points per QP if the Subcontractor performed greater than 50.00% but less than/or equal to 65.00% of the work.
- Offerors will receive zero (0) points per QP if the Subcontractor performed

DRAFT

greater than 65.00% of the work.

The maximum points available is 18,000.

M.5.7 QP SUBMISSION VACANCY RATE, TIME TO FILL RATE, SCHEDULE AND COMPLETENESS

The maximum points available is 30,000.

M.5.7.1 VACANCY RATE:

LOE QPs:

The Government will evaluate the QPs to determine the vacancy rate for the last full year of performance on the QP.

The vacancy rate shall be determined by the following: the number of vacant positions on the QP, divided by the total number of proposed positions, multiplied by 100.
*(# of vacant position at the end of the last full year of performance of the QP / # of positions proposed)*100*

If the QP had a reduction of labor due to an official descope of the requirements by the requirements owner, and as a result the number of positions was reduced, the Offeror may update the total number of employees proposed to reflect this reduction in labor.

Each QP will be scored as follows:

- Offerors will receive 5,000 points per QP with a 0.00% vacancy rate.
- Offerors will receive 3,500 points per QP with a greater than 0.00% to 4.99% vacancy rate.
- Offerors will receive 2,750 points per QP with a 5.00% to 8.99% vacancy rate.
- Offerors will receive 2,000 points per QP with a 9.00% to 11.99% vacancy rate.
- Offerors will receive 1,000 points per QP with a 12.00% to 14.99% vacancy rate.
- Offerors will receive zero (0) points per QP that with a 15.00% or greater vacancy rate.

Outcome-Based QPs:
Not applicable.

DRAFT

M.5.7.2 TIME TO FILL RATE:

LOE QPs:
The Government will evaluate the QPs to determine the average time to fill for any job vacancy on the QP for the last full year of performance on the QP.

The Time to Fill shall be calculated by the Date of Offer Acceptance – Date Vacancy Opened for each vacancy on the QP over the last full year of performance. If more than one vacancy was filled on the QP over the last full year of performance, the average time to fill shall be calculated by using the mean average of all filled positions.

Date Of Offer Acceptance – Date Vacancy Opened = Time to Fill

(Time to Fill Vacancy 1 + Time to Fill Vacancy 2 + Time to Fill Vacancy 3 (etc.) / Total Number of Vacancies Filled for the Last Year of Performance = Mean Average

Each QP will be scored as follows:

- Offerors will receive 5,000 points per QP that meets 30 calendar days or less to fill.
- Offerors will receive 3,500 points per QP that meets 31-45 days to fill.
- Offerors will receive 2,750 points per QP that meets 46-50 days to fill.
- Offerors will receive 2,000 points per QP that meets 51-60 days to fill.
- Offerors will receive 1,000 points per QP that meets 61-74 days to fill.
- Offerors will receive zero (0) points per QP that meets 75 days or more to fill.

Outcome-Based QPs:
Not applicable.

M.5.7.3 SCHEDULE:

LOE QPs:
Not Applicable.

Outcome Based QPs:
The Government will evaluate each QP to determine the timeliness/delivery of the service outcome as identified in the contract/agreement.

In order for a QP to earn points for this criteria, the QP shall meet the Acceptable Quality Level (AQL) which is 100% of the Overall Project Schedule has been met.

DRAFT

Calculation: (Actual Delivery/Contractual Delivery) *100%
* Unit of measure (i.e. days, months, years) is dependent on terms of the QP.

Each QP will be scored as follows:

- Offerors will receive 5,000 points per QP if greater than 50.00% of the AQL.
- Offerors will receive 3,500 points per QP if greater than 20.00% but less than/or equal to 50.00% of the AQL.
- Offerors will receive 2,500 points per QP if greater than the AQL but less than/or equal to 20.00% of the AQL.
- Offerors will receive 1,000 points per QP if AQL has been met.
- Offerors will receive zero (0) points per QP if less than AQL is met.

M.5.7.4 COMPLETENESS:

LOE QPs:
Not Applicable.

Outcome-Based QPs:
The Government will evaluate each QP to determine its completeness against the project requirements as identified in the contract/agreement, as well as customer recognized efficiencies. A customer recognized efficiency must have been experienced during the performance of the QP and show measurable improvements tied to results, not just level of effort. These efficiencies may include, but are not limited to cost efficiencies, administrative efficiencies, performance and operations efficiencies, improved reliability/stability, reduced oversight burden, better quality outputs, and contractor-initiated improvements. Efficiencies related to schedule shall not be attributable to this section but are in paragraph M.5.7.3 above.

In order for a QP to earn points for this criteria, the QP shall meet the AQL which is 100% of the requirements fulfilled.

Each QP will be scored as follows:

- Offerors will receive 5,000 points per QP that meets AQL and has greater than 10 documented efficiencies.
- Offerors will receive 3,500 points per QP that meets AQL and has 6-10 documented efficiencies.
- Offerors will receive 2,500 points per QP that meets AQL and has 1-5 documented efficiencies.
- Offerors will receive 1,000 points per QP if it only meets the AQL.
- Offerors will receive zero (0) points per QP if it meets less than the AQL.

DRAFT

M.6 EVALUATION OF PRICE

Large Businesses, Emerging Large Businesses, Small Businesses and Commercial-Sector Vendors:

The Government will evaluate the total FFP inclusive of all direct costs (i.e., direct labor, material, travel, and other direct costs), associated indirect costs, and profit the Offeror lists on Volume I, Attachment 0001, through a price analysis, specifically price reasonableness in accordance with RFO 15.404. In order to have a fair and reasonable price, the Offerors FFP submission shall be no less than $50 and no more than $100. If the FFP submission is not found to be fair and reasonable they will not receive award, and the next highest rated Offeror will be evaluated.

Fair and Reasonable	No less than $50 and no more than $100

At the time of award, the Offeror will be required to provide a Point of Contact list as their deliverable. The Point of Contact will consist of the contact information of two individuals who will be listed as the contacts for the Offeror in the Chess Portal. Once the deliverable is received by the Government the FFP will be paid to the awardee.

M.7 EVALUATION OF SMALL BUSINESS SUBCONTRACTING PLAN (LARGE BUSINESSES ONLY)

For a Large Business, if the Government determines that the potential Offeror is not responsible, then the Offeror will be excluded from award. Those Large Businesses found responsible will then have their Small Business Subcontracting Plans reviewed for acceptability in accordance with RFO 19.206 on an Acceptable/Unacceptable scale. If the Offeror's Small Business Subcontracting Plan is unacceptable, they will not receive award, and the next highest rated Offeror will be evaluated.

Acceptable	Proposal indicates an adequate approach and understanding of Small Business objectives.
Unacceptable	Proposal does not meet Small Business objectives.

M.8 EVALUATION OF FINAL GATE

Large Businesses, Emerging Large Businesses, Small Businesses and Commercial-Sector Vendors:

Offerors that are ranked within the top 70 of each Domain, broken down as follows: top 30 Large Businesses (15 reserved for Emerging Large Businesses), top 25 Small Businesses, and top 15 Commercial-Sector Vendors, will move onto the final Gate Criteria step of the evaluation phase. The Government will make a responsibility determination for each prospective awardee by utilizing the DORA Contractor

DRAFT

Responsibility bot to determine the responsibility of each prospective awardee in accordance with AFARS Subpart 5109.1.

For a Small Business, if the Government determines that the potential offeror is not responsible, the Government shall refer the matter to the cognizant Small Business Administration Government Contracting Area Office in accordance with RFO Subpart 19.204

END OF TEXT

DRAFT