

CITY AND COUNTY OF HONOLULU

**SOLICITATION NO. RFP-DFM-1883506
Storm Drain Inspection, CCTV, and Cleaning Services
Department of Facility Maintenance**

ADDENDUM NO. 3

Honolulu, Hawaii
Date: **JUN 03 2026**

TO ALL PROSPECTIVE OFFERORS:

The following changes are hereby made and incorporated as part of Solicitation No. RFP-DFM-1883506:

I. Appendix D: Special Provisions

Add the following section to the end of Appendix D: Special Provisions:

"IX. Loaning of Equipment.

"The City may loan equipment to the Contractor for use in its performance of work under this Agreement hereinafter called a Loan Agreement. The Loan Agreement shall be executed by the Contractor and the City and incorporated into the Agreement by a Work Order. In the event of any inconsistency between the Loan Agreement and the Agreement, the provision imposing the more stringent or restrictive obligation shall prevail."

II. The following requests for clarifications (Q) were received and the responses (A) are provided below:

Q1. Are there minutes or recordings available of the pre-proposal conference held on May 8, 2026?

A1. Please see Addendum No. 1 dated May 19, 2026 for the non-mandatory pre-proposal conference minutes, list of attendees, and Pre-proposal Presentation. The non-mandatory pre-proposal conference was not recorded, and therefore there is no recording available.

Q2. Can the deadline for the offers be extended in order for Offeror's [sic] to develop a more complete and competitive offer.[sic]

A2. Please see Addendum No. 2 dated May 21, 2026, which resulted in an extension to the dates provided in the Notice to Offerors – Schedule of Events.

Q3. I was only made aware for this RFP yesterday by an out of state service. I notice that there are no commodity codes listed on the HANDS site. So, I obviously missed the pre-bid meeting online so I would like to request the recording or transcript please.

- A3. Please see Addendum No. 1 dated May 19, 2026 for the non-mandatory pre-proposal conference minutes, list of attendees, and Pre-proposal Presentation. The non-mandatory pre-proposal conference was not recorded, and therefore there is no recording available.
- Q4. I notice [sic] that the term "an as needed basis" is used. The Department of Environmental Services has a similar type of contract for over 10 years and they call it Indefinite Quantity or IDIQ. Is there a reason that was not used in this case or is there a legal reason why this is not simply called Indefinite Quantity?
- A4. In order to keep a concise and short project title, the term "Indefinite Quantity" or "IDIQ" is not included in the project title. Though the terminology is not present in the title, the contract resulting from this solicitation will be an Indefinite Delivery Indefinite Quantity ("IDIQ"), Master Agreement ("MA") contract in which the City will order services on an as-needed basis.
- Q5. In Notice of Offerors Para. III. "Method of Award" Last Sentence "The award is subject to the availability of funding." Is funding available? What is the Budgeted Amount?
- A5. Currently (as of the issuance date of this addendum), there is approximately \$500,000 in funds available until June 30, 2026. The budgeted amount for future years is unknown and is subject to future pending budget approvals.
- Q6. Appendix "F" Evaluation Criteria for the Proposals
- The points will be allocated in accordance with HAR §3-122-52(d):
- (1) The proposal with the lowest cost factor must receive the highest available rating allocated to cost. Each proposal that has a higher cost factor than the lowest must have a lower rating for cost; and
 - (2) The points allocated to higher-priced proposals must be equal to the lowest proposal price multiplied by the maximum points available for price, divided by the higher proposal price.
- There seems to be some interchanging terms here ie. (1)"lowest cost factor" (2) "lowest proposal price"
- A. Does that mean total Proposal Price?
 - B. And how does that become a rating?
 - C. How do "Points for Price" effect [sic] the outcome to become the best value for the City?
 - D. Can examples of this process be provided?
- A6. "Lowest cost factor" and "lowest proposal price" are derived from the Hawaii Administrative Rules ("HAR") §3-122-52. Please see Notice to Offerors – Evaluation Criteria, Section I(D), Evaluation Criterion 4: Price, for more information. The aforementioned section displays a formula to assist Offerors in visualizing how points for price will be determined as it's subject to the lowest proposal price received.

- Q7. Section 33 01 40.50 Storm Drain and Manhole Cleaning, Part 3 - Execution, 3.01 Experience

"The Contractor shall have successfully performed a minimum of 10,000 linear feet of cleaning of storm drainpipe of 6-inch or larger diameter of which 1,000 linear feet shall have been for 15-inch diameter pipe or larger. The Contractor shall have a minimum of five (5) years of experience."

So a contractor could be qualified for this project with 9,000 Linear feet (L.F.) of 6"-12" & 1,000 L.F. of 15" of drain pipe when according to the total sums on the area map are added up, 99% of the cities [sic] drain pipes are 18" or larger?

The total Capacity [sic] or potential of material requiring removal for that scenario is 111 Cubic Yards. While less than 1/10th or 954 L.F. of 24" drain pipe holds the same amount of 111 Cubic Yards.

- A7. That is correct as the Contractor will have experience in the minimum 10,000 linear feet of experience.

- Q8. Appendix "A" II C. Usage Report - Usage of what? (Is there a form?)

- A8. There is no specific form or format to use for the Usage Report as specified in Appendix A: Scope of Work, Section II(C), Usage Report. However, it should entail the actual usage of the contract to provide insight to the City pertaining to the actual quantities used for each line item.

- Q9. Bid Item # 29 What size or shape is the Culvert [sic]?

- A9. The culverts may be "box"- or "oval"-shaped and will vary in size.

- Q10. Funding Source and Labor Compliance

Source of Funding

Can the City clarify the anticipated source(s) of funding for this contract (local, state, federal, or a combination thereof), and whether funding sources may vary by individual Work Order?

- A10. The City anticipates utilizing City funds and/or non-federal funding from the State of Hawaii. Fund sourcing may vary by individual Work Order.

- Q11. Prevailing Wage / Davis-Bacon Applicability

Can the City confirm whether State of Hawai'i prevailing wage requirements and/or Davis-Bacon Act requirements apply to inspection, camera inspection, jetting, traffic control, chemical sealing, or other services under this contract?

- A11. The State of Hawaii Prevailing Wage requirements as specified under Chapter 104 of the Hawaii Revised Statutes ("HRS") and Davis-Bacon Act requirements do not apply to the services under this contract as this work under the contract is not for construction improvements. The Contractor shall comply with HRS Section 103-55 as stated in Notice to Offerors – Special Instructions to Offerors, Section VIII, Wages, Hours and Working Conditions of Employees of Contractors

Supplying Services, and Appendix D: Special Provisions, Section II, Wages, Hours, and Working Conditions of Employees of Contractors Supplying Services.

Q12. Work-Order-Specific Labor Requirements

If federal funding is used for certain Work Orders, will Davis-Bacon or other federal labor requirements apply only to those Work Orders, and will such requirements be identified in advance of pricing and execution?

A12. Federal funding will not be utilized under the contract. Davis-Bacon would not apply to work under this contract as this is not a construction contract.

Q13. Jetting and Traffic Control Deployment

A. Conditional Use of Jetting

May jetting be deployed only when required by observed field conditions rather than assumed for every inspection location?

B. Conditional Use of Traffic Control

May traffic control be deployed only where roadway exposure or safety conditions require it, rather than assumed for all inspection activities?

A13. The Contractor is responsible for its means and methods. Jetting may be used if determined to be the best approach by the Contractor. Traffic control work may not be required in all situations; however, all inspection work must be performed in accordance with all Federal, State and City applicable laws and regulations. The Contractor shall ensure the safety of the public by providing, installing, and maintaining any necessary signage and other protective facilities, personnel and equipment.

Q14. Escalation-Based Work Orders

Does the City intend for Work Orders to support an escalation-based technical approach, where initial inspections identify assets requiring subsequent CCTV, jetting, traffic control, chemical sealing, or further assessment?

A14. Yes, the City intends to support an escalation-based-technical approach to allow for the increase in efficiencies of work performed under the contract.

Q15. Confined Space & Submerged Conditions

Frequency of Confined Space Entry

Can the City provide guidance on the anticipated frequency of confined space entry required under this contract (e.g., manholes, large-diameter pipes, box culverts), or whether confined space entry is expected to be infrequent and Work Order specific?

A15. The Department of Facility Maintenance, Storm Water Quality Division expects confined space entry to be infrequent except in instances where the Contractor is required to inspect larger culverts or submerged pipes, in which access and usage of CCTV equipment may be limited; however, other agencies (e.g., Department of Design and Construction, Civil Division) may utilize inspection services in which confined space entry will be used more frequently. Work Orders will specify the type of inspection to be performed.

- Q16. Expectation for Manned Entry vs Camera Inspection
For large-diameter storm drains, culverts, or structures, does the City anticipate that camera inspection will generally be sufficient, or should proposers assume regular manned entry inspections will be required?
- A16. Camera inspection may be sufficient; however, it is dependent on access to the structure, site specific conditions of the structure (e.g. debris/sediment accumulation, structural stability, tidal or groundwater intrusion) and the Contractor's equipment's capability (e.g. lighting, zoom). There may be conditions where regular manned entry may allow for better inspection of the structure.
- Q17. Is there an estimated timeframe when DFM expects to execute the contract and execute the first Work Order?
- A17. The City anticipates the contract to be executed in September 2026 with execution of the first Work Order occurring in late 2026.


for PAULA A. YOUNGLING
Purchasing Administrator 