AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT

1. CONTRACT ID CODE
J

2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NUMBER	3. EFFECTIVE DATE	4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQUISITION NUMBER	5. PROJECT NUMBER *(if applicable)*
0005	01 Jul 2026		DKGV 1137999

6. ISSUED BY CODE FA4418

FA4418 628 CONS LGC
101 E HILL BLVD, CP 843 963 5155
CHARLESTON AFB, SC 29404-5021
UNITED STATES
Byron Faison, Email: byron.faison@us.af.mil Telephone: (843) 963-5167
Byron Faison, Email: byron.faison@us.af.mil Telephone: (843) 963-5167

7. ADMINISTERED BY *(if other than Item 6)* CODE

SCD: PAS:

8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR *(Number, street, county, State and ZIP Code)*

CODE: FACILITY CODE:

☒ 9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NUMBER
FA441826R0013

9B. DATED *(SEE ITEM 11)*
04 Jun 2026

☐ 10A. MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT/ORDER NUMBER

10B. DATED *(SEE ITEM 13)*

11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS

☒ The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offers ☒ is extended. ☐ is not extended.
Offers must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended, by one of the following methods:
(a) By completing items 8 and 15, and returning 1 copies of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted;
or (c) By separate letter or electronic communication which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by letter or electronic communication, provided each letter or electronic communication makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.

12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA *(if required)*
See Section G - Contract Administration Data

**13. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS.
IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NUMBER AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.**

CHECK ONE	
☐	A.THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify authority) THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NUMBER IN ITEM 10A.
☐	B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as changes in paying office, appropriation data, etc.) SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(b).
☐	C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:
☐	D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and authority)

E. IMPORTANT: Contractor ☐ is not ☐ is required to sign this document and return ___ copies to the issuing office.

14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION *(Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.)*
The purpose of this amendment is to:

1. Establish a deadline for contractors to submit RFI questions. Questions are due Tuesday, 7 July 2026 at 3:00 PM EST.
2. Extend the proposal due date to 16 July 2026 at 3:00 PM EST.
3. Establish that CLIN 0001 will include HVAC repair and CLIN 0002 - Sanitary Sewer Repair will be the only option CLIN. CLIN 0003 has been removed.

Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.

15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)		16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER (Type or print)	
		Byron Faison	
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR (Signature of person authorized to sign)	15C. DATE SIGNED	16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA (Signature of Contracting Officer)	16C. DATE SIGNED 01 Jul 2026

SF 30 BLOCK 14 CONTINUATION PAGE

SUMMARY OF CHANGES

Section A - Solicitation/Contract Form

The following modifications were made:

Information	From	To
Response Due Date	07 Jul 2026	16 Jul 2026
Solicitation Number	FA441826R00130004	FA441826R00130005

Section B - Supplies or Services & Prices or Costs

Line Item 0001

The following Line Item modifications were made:

Information	From	To
Description	All work, materials, and labor required to repair B3370 as indicated in the IFC Design Documents. EEIC Code 522	All work, materials, and labor required to repair B3370 as indicated in the IFC Design Documents. EEIC Code 522 - This CLIN includes All work associated with HVAC repair, including electrical Upgrades due to HVAC equipment, which includes removing the existing transformer and concrete pad and provide a new transformer and concrete pad.

Option Line Item 0002

The following Line Item modifications were made:

Information	From	To
Description	HVAC Repair - All work associated with HVAC repair, including electrical Upgrades due to HVAC equipment, which includes removing the existing transformer and concrete pad and provide a new transformer and concrete pad.	Sanitary Sewer Repair - All work associated with the sanitary sewer repair, from interior to exterior connection, and the installation of a new sewer pump.

Option Line Item 0003

The following Line Item(s) were deleted:

Item	Supplies / Services	Quantity	Unit	Unit Price	Amount
Option Line Item 0003	Sanitary Sewer Repair - All work associated with the sanitary sewer repair, from interior to exterior connection, and the installation of a new sewer pump. Product Service Code: Z2JA Pricing Arrangement: Firm Fixed Price	1	Job		

Section E - Inspection and Acceptance

Option Line Item 0002

The following Inspection and Acceptance Location(s) were added:

Inspection and Acceptance Location - DoDAAC: F1M3E2

Information	Value
Action	Both
Location	Destination
Inspection and Acceptance Location	F1M3E2 F1M3E2 628 CES CECC AF BPN NO MILSBILL CP8439634955, 100 W STEWART AVE BLDG 661 JOINT BASE CHARLESTON, SC 29404-4827 UNITED STATES

Instructions	See Statement of Work (SOW) or Performance Work Statement (PWS) for Acceptance/inspection criteria.
POC Name	JONNATHAN VARGAS-SANCHEZ
POC Email	jonnathan.vargas-sanchez@us.af.mil
POC Telephone	8437944142

The following Inspection and Acceptance Location(s) were deleted:
Inspection and Acceptance Location - DoDAAC: F1M3E2

Option Line Item 0003

The following Inspection and Acceptance Location(s) were deleted:
Inspection and Acceptance Location - DoDAAC: F1M3E2

Section F - Deliveries or Performance

Option Line Item 0002

The following Delivery Information was added:
Place of Performance - DoDAAC: F1M3E2

Information	Value
Address	Place of Performance F1M3E2 F1M3E2 628 CES CECC AF BPN NO MILSBILL CP8439634955, 100 W STEWART AVE BLDG 661 JOINT BASE CHARLESTON, SC 29404-4827 UNITED STATES
POC Name	JONNATHAN VARGAS-SANCHEZ
POC Email	jonnathan.vargas-sanchez@us.af.mil
POC Telephone	8437944142
Delivery	502 Calendar Days, From Date of Notice to Proceed Receipt to completion of performance

The following Delivery Information was deleted:
Place of Performance - DoDAAC: F1M3E2

Option Line Item 0003

The following Delivery Information was deleted:
Place of Performance - DoDAAC: F1M3E2

Section L - Instructions, Conditions, & Notices to Offerors or Quoters

Header Miscellaneous Text (Modified):

Section L - Instructions, Conditions, and Notices to Offerors

1. Instructions for Preparation and Submission of Proposals:

a. To ensure timely and equitable evaluation of proposals, offerors must follow the instructions contained herein. Proposals must be complete, self-sufficient, and respond directly to the requirements of this solicitation.

The response shall consist of two (2) separately bound parts:
Part I - Price Proposal
Part II - Past Performance Information

b. The Contracting Officer has determined there is a high probability of adequate price competition in this acquisition. Upon examination of the initial offers, the Contracting Officer will review this determination and if, in the Contractor Officer's opinion, adequate price competition exists no additional cost information will be requested and certification under RFO 15.403-4 (Certificate of Current Cost or Pricing Data) will not be required. However, if at any time during this competition the Contracting Officer determines that adequate price competition no longer exists; offerors may be required to submit information, to the extent necessary, for the Contracting Officer to determine the reasonableness of price.

2. PART I - PRICE PROPOSAL - Submit original and one (1) copy.

a. SF 1442- Solicitation/Contract: complete Blocks 14 through 20 Section A. In doing so, the offeror accedes to the contract terms and conditions as written in this solicitation Sections A through K. This constitutes the model contract.

b. Pricing Schedule: Insert proposed unit and extended prices in Section B for each Contract Line-Item Number (CLIN) including all Bid Options. The total price shall then be inserted into the fill-in positions for each CLIN.

c. Complete the necessary fill-ins and certifications in section I through K. Section K shall be returned in its entirety. For sections C through I, the offeror shall submit only those pages that require a fill-in.

d. Standard Form 24, Bid Bond

3. PART II - PAST PERFORMANCE INFORMATION Limited to no more than three (3) pages per contract listed. Only references for same or similar type of contracts are desired.

a. Quality and Satisfaction Rating for Contracts Completed in the Past Three Years: Provide any information currently available (letters, metrics, and customer surveys, independent surveys, etc.) which demonstrate customer satisfaction with overall job performance and quality of completed products for the same or similar contract. In addition, explain corrective actions taken in the past, if any, for substandard performance and current performance problems such as cost overruns, extended performance periods, etc.

b. Past Performance Surveys: The Government will evaluate the quality and extent of offerors experience deemed relevant to the requirements of this solicitation. The Government will use information submitted by the offeror and other sources such as other Federal Government offices and commercial sources, to assess experience. Provide a list of no more than three (3) of the most relevant contracts performed for Federal agencies and commercial customers within the last five (5) years from the issue date of this solicitation. Contracts are limited to three (3) contracts total for the Prime and major Subcontractors. Performance information submitted for individual task orders issued under a single IDIQ contract will be counted individually. Relevant performance includes performance of multi-discipline efforts involving restoration or repair of commercial structures, including removal and replacement of renovation or reserve military facilities that are similar or greater in scope, magnitude, and complexity than the effort described in this solicitation. Additionally, relevant performance includes new HVAC units on the roof and providing any electrical equipment needed to support the new HVAC system, as well as providing duct smoke detectors. A HAZMAT survey was performed to determine the extent of mold that may need to be abated during demolition and refinishing. A plumbing sewer line needs repair at the latrines, to replace it with positive drainage to the existing sewer pump. Last, show ability to provide a work force capable of gaining clearance into a secure facility. The evaluation of past performance information will consider past performance information regarding predecessor companies, key personnel who have relevant experience, or subcontractors that will perform major or critical aspects of the requirement when such information is relevant to the instant acquisition. Furnish the following information for each contract referenced:

(i) Company/Division Name
(ii) Product/Service
(iii) Contracting Agency
(iv) Contract Number
(v) Contract Dollar Value
(vi) Period of Performance
(vii) Verified, up-to-date name, organization, email address, & telephone number of the contracting officer, project manager, or contracting officer's representative who evaluated performance
(viii) Comments regarding compliance with contract terms and conditions
(ix) Comments regarding any known performance deemed unacceptable to the customer, or not in accordance with the contract terms and conditions
(x) Role of the Offeror in Effort (state which): Prime Contractor or Subcontractor

If a teaming arrangement is contemplated, provide complete information as to the arrangement: including any relevant and recent past/present performance information on previous teaming arrangements with the same partner, and evidence of tasking appropriate to meet the subcontracting qualification. If this is a first-time joint effort, each partner to the arrangement must provide a list of past relevant projects. Teaming partners will be treated and evaluated as subcontractor arrangements.

c. Subcontractor Consent: Past performance information pertaining to a subcontractor cannot be disclosed to the prime offeror without the subcontractor's consent. Provide with the proposal a letter from all subcontractors that will perform major or critical aspects of the requirement, consenting to the release of their past performance information to the prime contractor.

4. GENERAL INFORMATION: Submitted documents must be fully responsive to and consistent with the following:

a. Requirements of the solicitation (CLINs &Specifications/drawings) and government standards and regulations pertaining to this project.

b. Evaluation factors for award in Section M of this solicitation.

c. **Offerors shall submit proposals via DOD SAFE at https://safe.apps.mil. Please contact the contract administrator to confirm your email address.** Once your email address is confirmed you will receive an email request drop off password to upload your files. Contractors are cautioned to request a DOD SAFE drop-off password from the contract administrator well in advance; a drop-off request is valid for 14 days. The Government will not be responsible for interruptions in communications, which cause delays. Late proposals will be processed in accordance with the provisions of FAR 52.215-1(c)(3), The Contracting Officer will accept modifications and revisions to the proposal via DOD SAFE as well.

Please contact byron.faison@us.af.mil or 843-963-5167 if you have any questions or concerns.

d. Offer Guarantee and Bond Requirements: The proposed price, for the purpose of the offer guarantee, is construed to mean the total of CLINs (0001 and 0002). The contract price, for the purpose of the performance and payment bonds, is construed to mean the sum of all CLINs (0001 and 0002).

(End of Section L)

Section M - Evaluation Factors for Award

Header Miscellaneous Text (Modified):

Section M - Evaluation Factors for Award

Basis of Contract Award: This is a competitive best value source selection in which competing offerors past performance history will be evaluated on a basis significantly more important than cost or price considerations. By submission of its offer, the offeror accedes to all solicitation requirements, including terms and conditions, and representations and certifications. All such offers shall be treated equally except for their prices and performance records. Offerors must clearly identify any exception to the solicitation terms and conditions and provide complete accompanying rationale. The evaluation process shall proceed as follows:

FACTOR 1 - PRICE EVALUATION

Initially offers shall be ranked according to total proposed price for the SUM OF CLINS (0001 and 0002). An offeror's proposed prices will be determined by multiplying the quantities identified in Section B, price Schedule, by the proposed unit price for each Line Item to confirm the extended amount for each. The extended amounts will be added together to determine the total evaluated price. Offerors whose total evaluated price is unreasonable (in accordance with RFO 15.404 and RFO 31.201-3), unbalanced (in accordance with RFO 15.404-1), or unaffordable (not within any budgetary information included in the solicitation) may not be considered for award. The price evaluation will document (for the offers evaluated under paragraph (a) below) the reasonableness of the proposed total evaluated price.

FACTOR II - PAST PERFORMANCE/EXPERIENCE

(a) The contracting officer shall seek relevant performance information on the lowest priced offerors (usually the lowest two to five) based on (1) the past and present efforts provided by the offeror and (2) data independently obtained from the offeror, as solicited, questionnaires tailored to the circumstances of the acquisition, and sources available to the Government, to include, but not limited to, the Past Performance Information Retrieval System (PPIRS), Federal Awardee Performance and Integrity Information System (FAPIIS), electronic Subcontract Reporting System (eSRS), or other databases; interview with Program Managers, Contracting officers, and Fee Determining Officials; and the Defense Contract Management Agency. The Government reserves the right to seek information on higher priced offerors if none of the lower priced offerors receive a Substantial Confidence performance assessment.

(b) Relevant performance includes performance of multi-discipline efforts involving restoration or repair of commercial structures, including removal and replacement of renovation or reserve military facilities that are similar or greater in scope, magnitude, and complexity than the effort described in this solicitation. Additionally, relevant performance includes new HVAC units on the roof and providing any electrical equipment needed to support the new HVAC system, as well as providing duct smoke detectors. A HAZMAT survey was performed to determine the extent of mold that may need to be abated during demolition and refinishing. A plumbing sewer line needs repair at the latrines, to replace it with positive drainage to the existing sewer pump. The purpose of the past performance evaluation is to allow the Government to assess the offeror's ability to perform the effort described in this solicitation, based on the offeror's demonstrated present and past performance. There are four levels of relevancy as shown in DoD Source Selection Procedures, Table 4. With respect to relevancy, more relevant past performance will typically be a stronger predictor of future success and have more influence on the past performance confidence assessment than past performance of lesser relevance.

Table 4. Past Performance Relevancy Rating Method	
Adjectival Rating	Description
Very Relevant	Present/past performance effort involved essentially the same scope and magnitude of effort and complexities this solicitation requires.
Relevant	Present/past performance effort involved similar scope and magnitude of effort and complexities this solicitation requires.
Somewhat Relevant	Present/past performance effort involved some of the scope and magnitude of effort and complexities this solicitation requires.
Not Relevant	Present/past performance effort involves little or none of the scope and magnitude of effort and complexities this solicitation requires.

(c) The assessment process will result in an overall performance confidence assessment of Substantial Confidence, Satisfactory Confidence, Neutral Confidence, Limited Confidence, or No Confidence, as defined in DoD Source Selection Procedures, Table 5. Past performance regarding predecessor companies, key personnel who have relevant experience, or sub-contractors that will perform major or critical aspects of the requirement will not be considered as highly as past performance information for the principal offeror. Offerors with no relevant past or present performance history shall receive the rating "Neutral Confidence," meaning the rating is treated neither favorably nor unfavorably. The Source Selection Authority may determine that "Substantial Confidence" or "Satisfactory Confidence" is worth more than "Neutral Confidence".

Table 5. Performance Confidence Assessments Rating Method	
Adjectival Rating	Description

Substantial Confidence	Based on the offeror's recent/relevant performance record, the Government has a high expectation that the offeror will successfully perform the required effort.
Satisfactory Confidence	Based on the offeror's recent/relevant performance record, the Government has a reasonable expectation that the offeror will successfully perform the required effort.
Neutral Confidence	No recent/relevant performance record is available or the offeror's performance record is so sparse that no meaningful confidence assessment rating can be reasonably assigned. The offeror may not be evaluated favorably or unfavorably on the factor of past performance.
Limited Confidence	Based on the offeror's recent/relevant performance record, the Government has low expectations that the offeror will successfully perform the required effort.
No Confidence	Based on the offeror's recent/relevant performance record, the Government has no expectation that the offeror will be able to successfully perform the required effort.

(d) In evaluating past performance, the Government reserves the right to consider information on those contracts deemed most relevant to the effort described in this solicitation.

(e) If the lowest priced evaluated offer is judged to have a Substantial Confidence performance assessment, that represents the best value for the Government, and the evaluation process stops at this point. Awards shall be made to that offeror without further consideration of any other offers. If the lowest priced offeror is not judged to have a "Substantial Confidence" performance confidence assessment, the next lowest priced offeror will be evaluated, and the process will continue (in order by price) until an offeror is judged to have a "Substantial Confidence" performance assessment or until all offerors are evaluated. The Source Selection Authority shall then make an integrated assessment of the best value award decision.

(f) Offerors are cautioned to submit sufficient information and, in the format specified in Section L. Offerors may be asked to clarify certain aspects of their proposal (for example, the relevance of past performance information) or respond to adverse past performance information to which the offeror has not previously had an opportunity to respond. Adverse past performance is defined as past performance information that supports a less than satisfactory rating on any evaluation element or any unfavorable comments received from sources without a formal rating system. Communication conducted to resolve minor or clerical errors will not constitute discussions and the contracting officer reserves the right to award a contract without the opportunity for proposal revision.

(g) The Government intends to award a contract without discussions with respective offerors. The Government, however, reserves the right to conduct discussions if deemed in its best interest. If, during the evaluation period, it is determined to be in the best interest of the government to hold discussions, offeror responses to Evaluation Notices (ENs) and the Final Proposal Revision (FPR) will be considered in making the source selection decision. If the offeror's proposal has been evaluated as acceptable at the time discussions are closed, any changes or expectations in the FPR are subject to evaluation and may introduce risk that the offeror's proposal be determined unacceptable and ineligible for award.

(End Section M)